



CITY OF ONTARIO DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY BOARD

AGENDA

July 2, 2018

- ▶ **All documents for public review are on file in the Planning Department located in City Hall at 303 East “B” St., Ontario, CA 91764.**

**MEETING WILL BE HELD AT 1:30 PM IN ONTARIO CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
LOCATED AT 303 East “B” St.**

Scott Ochoa, City Manager
Scott Murphy, Executive Director, Development Agency
John P. Andrews, Executive Director, Economic Development
Kevin Shear, Building Official
Cathy Wahlstrom, Planning Director
Louis Abi-Younes, City Engineer
Chief Derek Williams, Police Department
Fire Marshal Paul Ehrman, Fire Department
Scott Burton, Utilities General Manager
Brent Schultz, Executive Director, Housing and Neighborhood Preservation

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Citizens wishing to address the Development Advisory Board on any matter that is not on the agenda may do so at this time. Please state your name and address clearly for the record and limit your remarks to five minutes.

Please note that while the Development Advisory Board values your comments, the members cannot respond nor take action until such time as the matter may appear on the forthcoming agenda.

AGENDA ITEMS

For each of the items listed below the public will be provided an opportunity to speak. After a staff report is provided, the chairperson will open the public hearing. At that time the applicant will be allowed five (5) minutes to make a presentation on the case. Members of the public will then be allowed five (5) minutes each to speak. The Development Advisory Board may ask the speakers questions relative to the case and the testimony provided. The question period will not count against your time limit. After all persons have spoken, the applicant will be allowed three minutes to summarize or rebut any public testimony. The chairperson will then close the public hearing portion of the hearing and deliberate the matter.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

A. MINUTES APPROVAL

Development Advisory Board Minutes of June 18, 2018, approved as written.

PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

- B. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT PLAN, AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PDEV17-033 AND PCUP17-015:** A Development Plan (File No. PDEV17-033) and Conditional Use Permit (File No. PCUP17-015) to construct and establish a drive-thru restaurant for Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers, totaling 3,233 square feet on 0.81 acres of land, located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan. The project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15332 (Class 32, In-Fill Development Projects) of the CEQA Guidelines. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); (APN: 1008-431-21) **submitted by Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers. Planning Commission action is required.**

1. CEQA Determination

No action necessary – Exempt: CEQA Guidelines Section 15332

2. File No. PCUP17-015 (Conditional Use Permit)

Motion to recommend Approval/Denial

3. File No. PDEV17-033 (Development Plan)

Motion to recommend Approval/Denial

C. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PDEV17-047: A Development Plan (File No. PDEV17-47) to construct a 39,056 square foot athletic center (gymnasium and student center) on approximately 26 acres of land within the LDR-5 (Low Density Residential) zoning district, located at 931 West Philadelphia Street (Ontario Christian High School). The environmental impacts of this project were previously reviewed in conjunction with a Conditional Use Permit (PCUP08-028), for which a Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted by the Planning Commission on August 25, 2009. This project introduces no new significant environmental impacts. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); (APNs: 1015-151-01, 1015-171-01, 1015-141-04, 1015-141-05, 1015-141-06 and 1015-141-12) **submitted by Ontario Christian School Association.**

1. CEQA Determination

No action necessary – use of previous Mitigated Negative Declaration

2. File No. PDEV17-047 (Development Plan)

Motion to Approve / Deny

D. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PDEV18-004: A Development Plan (File No. PDEV18-004) to construct a 10,858 square foot office building on 0.71 acres of land within the Sixth Street District land use designation of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, located at the northwest corner of Palmetto Avenue and Sixth Street. The project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15332 (Class 32, In-fill Development Projects) of the CEQA Guidelines. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); (APNs: 1008-261-47 and 1008-261-48) **submitted by Ken Cheng.**

1. CEQA Determination

No action necessary – Exempt: CEQA Guidelines Section 15332

2. File No. PDEV18-004 (Development Plan)

Motion to Approve / Deny

E. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR MISSION BLVD. BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PADV18-004: Construction of 5 miles of one-way buffered bike lanes (Class IV) and 3 miles of sidewalks on Mission Blvd., from Benson to Bon View Avenues, including curb and gutter, parkway, street lights and bike detection of signalized intersections. Staff is recommending the

adoption of an Addendum to an Environmental Impact Report (SCH# 2008101140) adopted by City Council on January 27, 2010 in conjunction with the project. This project introduces no new significant environmental impacts. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); **submitted by City of Ontario.**

1. CEQA Determination

Motion to Approve / Deny – use of an addendum to a previous EIR

2. File No. PADV18-004 (Bike Pedestrian Improvements)

Motion to Approve / Deny

If you wish to appeal a decision of the **Development Advisory Board**, you must do so within ten (10) days of the **Development Advisory Board** action. Please contact the **Planning Department** for information regarding the appeal process.

If you challenge any action of the **Development Advisory Board** in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the **Development Advisory Board** at, or prior to, the public hearing.

The next **Development Advisory Board** meets on **July 16, 2018**.

I, Maureen Duran, Office Specialist of the City of Ontario, or my designee, hereby certify that a true, accurate copy of the foregoing agenda was posted on or before **June 28, 2018**, at least 72 hours prior to the meeting per Government Code Section 54954.2 at 303 East “B” Street, Ontario.



CITY OF ONTARIO

Development Advisory Board

Minutes

June 18, 2018

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

Khoi Do, Chairman, Engineering Department
Kevin Shear, Building Department
Charity Hernandez, Economic Development Agency
Michelle Starkey, Fire Department
Donnie Flores, Housing and Municipal Services Agency
Ahmed Aly, Municipal Utilities Company
Rudy Zeledon, Planning Department
Doug Sorel, Police Department

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT

Paul Ehrman, Fire Department
Joe De Sousa, Housing and Municipal Services Agency

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT

Jeanie Aguilo, Planning Department
Antonio Alejos, Engineering Department
Luis Batres, Planning Department
Gwen Berendsen, Planning Department
Denny Chen, Planning Department
Maureen Duran, Planning Department
Bryan Lirley, Engineering Department

PUBLIC COMMENTS

No one responded from the audience.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

- A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** Motion to approve the minutes of the June 4, 2018, meeting of the Development Advisory Board was made by Mr. Shear; seconded by Mr. Zeledon; and approved unanimously by those present (8-0).

PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

- B. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND REVIEW FOR TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP FILE NO. PMTT17-011 AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN FILE NO. PDEV17-057:** A Tentative Parcel Map (File No. PMTT17-011/TPM 19738) to subdivide 119.31 acres of land into 9 parcels in conjunction with a Development Plan (File No. PDEV17-057) to construct two industrial buildings totaling 2,217,016 square feet. The project site is bounded by Eucalyptus Avenue to the north, Cucamonga Creek Channel to the east, Merrill Avenue to the south, and Carpenter Avenue to the west, located within the General Industrial land use district of the West Ontario Commerce Center Specific Plan. The environmental impacts of this project were analyzed in the West Ontario Commerce Center Specific Plan (File No. PSP16-002) EIR (SCH#2017041074), that is scheduled for adoption by the City Council on June 19, 2018. This application is consistent with the EIR and introduces no new significant environmental impacts. All adopted mitigation measures of the related EIR shall be a condition of project approval and are incorporated herein by reference. The project site is located within the Airport Influence Area of the Ontario International Airport (ONT), and has been found to be consistent with the policies and criteria set forth within the ALUCP for ONT. The project site is also located within the Airport Influence area of Chino Airport and is consistent with policies and criteria set forth within the 2011 California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook published by the California Department of Transportation, Division of Aeronautics. (APNs: 0218-221-09, 0218-261-16, 0218-261-22, 0218-261-23, 0218-261-32, 0218-271-04, 0218-271-08, 0218-271-10, 0218-271-13 and 0218-271-18) **submitted by REDA, OLV.**

This item is continued to the July 16, 2018, meeting. There were no questions or comments.

- C. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PDEV15-034:** A Development Plan to construct a non-stealth wireless telecommunications facility (monopole) totaling 204 square feet on 25.8 acres of land, generally located southwest of Airport Drive and Wineville Avenue in an SCE easement, within the UC (Utilities Corridor) zoning district. The project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15303 (Class 3, New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures) of the CEQA Guidelines. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); (APNs: 0238-241-10) **submitted by Verizon Wireless. Planning Commission action is required.**

Representative Chris Colten of Spectrum, on behalf of Verizon, was present. Mr. Colten stated that his client, Verizon, has agreed to the conditions. Mr. Do asked Project Planner Jeanie Aguilo to clarify the revision that was made in the staff report, and Ms. Aguilo stated that there was no change in the conditions. Mr. Do informed the board it would not affect the decision as the change was just a correction and did not affect the conditions. There were no further questions.

Motion recommending approval of **File No. PDEV15-034** subject to conditions to the Planning Commission was made by Mr. Shear; seconded by Mr. Zeledon; and approved unanimously by those present (8-0)

- D. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PDEV17-021:** A Development Plan to attach a non-stealth wireless telecommunications facility to an existing Southern California Edison (SCE) tower, including the construction of a 400 square foot equipment enclosure, on property located at 3252 East Riverside Drive, within the UC (Utilities Corridor) zoning district. Staff has determined that the project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15301 (Class 1, Existing Facilities) and Section 15303 (Class 3, New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures) of the CEQA Guidelines. This project introduces no new significant environmental impacts. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport (ONT) and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the ONT Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP). (APN: 0218-151-45); **submitted by T-Mobile; Planning Commission action is required.**

Representative Mr. Aly Romero of T-Mobile was present and stated he could answer any questions the board may have. Mr. Do asked if they had reviewed the conditions. Mr. Romero stated they did, and they agreed to these conditions. There were no further questions or concerns.

Motion recommending approval of **File No. PDEV17-021** subject to conditions to the Planning Commission was made by Mr. Shear; seconded by Mr. Sorel; and approved unanimously by those present (8-0).

- E. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PDEV17-052:** A Development Plan (File No. PDEV17-052) to construct a 1,255,382 square foot industrial building on 57.68 acres of land, for property generally located along the southeast corner of Merrill Avenue and Carpenter Avenue, within Planning Area 1 (PA-1) of the Colony Commerce Center West Specific Plan. The environmental impacts of this project were analyzed in the EIR (SCH# 2015061023) prepared for the Colony Commerce Center West Specific Plan (File No. PSP15-001) that was certified by the City Council on October 3, 2017. All adopted mitigation measures of the related EIR shall be a condition of project approval and are incorporated herein by reference. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport (ONT) and Chino Airport, and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of both the ONT Airport and Chino Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans. (APNs: 0218-292-05 and 0218-311-11); **submitted by Colony Commerce Center LLC. Planning Commission Action is required.**

Representative Tom Donahue of Colony Commerce Center LLC, was present and agreed to the conditions of approval. Mr. Do asked if there were any questions for the board. Mr. Donahue stated there was a clarification made with staff on sections 2.09 and 2.10, so he had no further questions. Mr. Do confirmed this was part of the development agreement approved by city council which supersedes the conditions of approval. There were no further questions or concerns.

Motion recommending approval of **File No. PDEV17-052** subject to conditions to the Planning Commission was made by Mr. Aly; seconded by Mr. Zeledon; and approved unanimously by those present (8-0).

- F. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PDEV17-058:** A Development Plan to add 5,601 square feet to an existing 108-room hotel (Candlewood Suites) and a request to remodel the exterior facades for the property located on 1.92 acres of land, at 1818 East Holt Boulevard, within the CCS (Convention Center Support Commercial) zoning district. Staff has determined that the project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15332 (Class 32, In-Fill


Development Projects) of the CEQA guidelines. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport (ONT) and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the ONT Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP). (APN: 0110-101-03); **submitted by Steeno Design Studio, Inc.**

Representative Tom Steeno of Steeno Design Studio was present. Mr. Steeno stated the description should reflect only the hotel pool and not a jacuzzi as the jacuzzi will be removed. He also clarified the front desk operation would not include 24-hour shuttle services as this was not part of the Candelwood agreement. Mr. Sorel asked Mr. Steeno why they would not provide this service. Mr. Steeno responded that is not how Candlewood operates. He confirmed there would be staff at the front desk 24 hours for check-ins; however, the shuttle service would not be available 24 hours. Mr. Do asked if there were any other questions. No one responded. Mr. Do stated the conditions would be revised as discussed.

Motion to approve **File No. PDEV17-058** subject to conditions was made by Mr. Aly; seconded by Mr. Shear; and approved unanimously by those present (8-0).

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,



Maureen Duran
Recording Secretary



Development Advisory Board Decision

July 2, 2018

DECISION NO.: [insert #]

FILE NO.: PCUP17-015

DESCRIPTION: A Conditional Use Permit to establish a drive-thru in conjunction with a fast food restaurant (Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers) totaling 3,233 square feet on 0.81 acres of land, located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan (APNs: 1008-431-21 & 1008-431-22); **submitted by Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers.**

Part I—BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS

RAISING CANE'S CHICKEN FINGERS, (herein after referred to as "Applicant") has filed an application requesting Conditional Use Permit approval, File No. PCUP17-015, as described in the subject of this Decision (herein after referred to as "Application" or "Project").

(1) **Project Setting:** The project site is comprised of 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, and is depicted in Exhibit A: Aerial Photograph, attached. Existing land uses, General Plan and zoning designations, and specific plan land uses on and surrounding the project site are as follows:

	<i>Existing Land Use</i>	<i>General Plan Designation</i>	<i>Zoning Designation</i>	<i>Specific Plan Land Use</i>
<i>Site:</i>	Vacant	GC (General Commercial)	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Main Street District
<i>North:</i>	Carl's Jr. Restaurant	GC (General Commercial)	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Main Street District
<i>South:</i>	Retail	GC (General Commercial)	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Main Street District
<i>East:</i>	Residential	LDR (Low Density Residential)	RE4 (Residential Estate)	N/A
<i>West:</i>	Medical Offices	GC (General Commercial)	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Main Street District

(2) **Project Description:** In accordance with the land use requirements of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, the Applicant is requesting approval of a Conditional Use Permit ("CUP") to establish a drive-thru in conjunction with fast food restaurant (Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers) totaling 3,233 square feet, to be constructed on the project site (refer to File No. PDEV17-033). The restaurant will feature a single lane drive-thru having a stacking length of 234 feet, which will accommodate up to 9 vehicles behind the first drive-thru window (a minimum of 6 stacking spaces are required).

The restaurant's primary public entrance faces east, toward Mountain Avenue, while a secondary public entrance will face north, toward the site's primary parking off-street parking area. The restaurant will have a similar layout to the Carl's Jr., restaurant, which abuts the project site on the north side, with parking surrounding the building.

Primary site access is from Mountain Avenue via a shared driveway with the Carl's Jr. Restaurant. A future secondary access point will be constructed by the City at the rear of the site (identified as Main Street by the Mountain Village Specific Plan), which will connect to Fifth Street to the north and Hawthorn Street to the south.

The Project has been parked in accordance with the "restaurant" parking standards of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, which requires that a minimum of one off-street parking space must be provided for each 75 square feet of "public service area" within the restaurant (outdoor dining area is exempt from the parking requirement, up to 25 percent of the restaurants built floor area). Based upon 970 square feet of public service area contained within the restaurant, the project must provide a minimum of 13 off-street parking spaces. A total of 43 off-street parking spaces have been provided, exceeding the minimum parking requirement for the Project. In addition to the Mountain Village Specific Plan parking standards, the Project provides and meets off-street parking pursuant to the "restaurant" parking standards of the Ontario Development Code.

Part II—RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Application is a project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) ("CEQA"); and

WHEREAS, the Project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to a categorical exemption (listed in CEQA Guidelines Article 19, commencing with Section 15300) and the application of that categorical exemption is not barred by one of the exceptions set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2; and

WHEREAS, Ontario Development Code Table 2.02-1 (Review Matrix) grants the Development Advisory Board ("DAB") the responsibility and authority to review and make recommendations to the Planning Commission on the subject Application; and

WHEREAS, all members of the DAB of the City of Ontario were provided the opportunity to review and comment on the Application, and no comments were received opposing the proposed development; and

WHEREAS, the Project has been reviewed for consistency with the Housing Element of the Policy Plan component of The Ontario Plan, as State Housing Element law (as prescribed in Government Code Sections 65580 through 65589.8) requires that development projects must be consistent with the Housing Element, if upon consideration of all its aspects, it is found to further the purposes, principals, goals, and policies of the Housing Element; and

WHEREAS, the Project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, which encompasses lands within parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties, and is subject to, and must be consistent with, the policies and criteria set forth in the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan ("ALUCP"), which applies only to jurisdictions within San Bernardino County, and addresses the noise, safety, airspace protection, and overflight impacts of current and future airport activity; and

WHEREAS, City of Ontario Development Code Division 2.03 (Public Hearings) prescribes the manner in which public notification shall be provided and hearing procedures to be followed, and all such notifications and procedures have been completed;

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2018, the DAB of the City of Ontario conducted a hearing on the Application and concluded said hearing on that date; and

WHEREAS, all legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Decision have occurred.

Part III—THE DECISION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED by the Development Advisory Board of the City of Ontario, as follows:

SECTION 1: Environmental Determination and Findings. As the recommending body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the information contained in the administrative record for the Project. Based upon the facts and information contained in the administrative record, including all written and oral evidence presented to the DAB, the DAB finds as follows:

(1) The proposed project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA), as amended, and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder, pursuant to Section 15332 (Class 32, In-Fill Development Projects) of the CEQA Guidelines, meeting the following conditions:

- (a) The Project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies, as well as the applicable zoning designation and regulations;
- (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits, on a project site of no more than five acres, and is substantially surrounded by urban uses;
- (c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species;
- (d) Approval of the Project will not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and
- (e) The Project site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

(2) The application of the categorical exemption is not barred by one of the exceptions set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2; and

(3) The determination of CEQA exemption reflects the independent judgment of the DAB.

SECTION 2: Housing Element Compliance. Pursuant to the requirements of California Government Code Chapter 3, Article 10.6, commencing with Section 65580, as the recommending body for the Project, the DAB finds that based on the facts and information contained in the Application and supporting documentation, at the time of Project implementation, the project is consistent with the Housing Element of the Policy Plan (General Plan) component of The Ontario Plan, as the project site is not one of the properties in the Available Land Inventory contained in Table A-3 (Available Land by Planning Area) of the Housing Element Technical Report Appendix.

SECTION 3: Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (“ALUCP”) Compliance. The California State Aeronautics Act (Public Utilities Code Section 21670 et seq.) requires that an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan be prepared for all public use airports in the State; and requires that local land use plans and individual development proposals must be consistent with the policies set forth in the adopted Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. On April 19, 2011, the City Council of the City of Ontario approved and adopted the Ontario International Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (“ALUCP”), establishing the Airport Influence Area for Ontario International Airport (“ONT”), which encompasses lands within parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties, and limits future land uses and development within the Airport Influence Area, as they relate to noise, safety, airspace protection, and overflight impacts of current and future airport activity. As the recommending body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the facts and information contained in the Application and supporting documentation against the ALUCP compatibility factors, including [1] Safety Criteria (ALUCP Table 2-2) and Safety Zones (ALUCP Map 2-2), [2] Noise Criteria (ALUCP Table 2-3) and Noise Impact Zones (ALUCP Map 2-3), [3] Airspace protection Zones (ALUCP Map 2-4), and [4] Overflight Notification Zones (ALUCP

Map 2-5). As a result, the DAB, therefore, finds and determines that the Project, when implemented in conjunction with the conditions of approval, will be consistent with the policies and criteria set forth within the ALUCP.

SECTION 4: Concluding Facts and Reasons. Based upon the substantial evidence presented to the DAB during the above-referenced hearing and upon the specific findings set forth in Sections 1 through 4, above, the DAB hereby concludes as follows:

(1) **The scale and intensity of the proposed land use would be consistent with the scale and intensity of land uses intended for the particular zoning or land use district.** The proposed location of the Conditional Use Permit is in accord with the objectives and purposes of the City of Ontario Development Code and the Main Street District land use district of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, and the scale and intensity of land uses intended for the zoning district in which the use is proposed to be located. Furthermore, the proposed fast food restaurant with drive-thru will be established and operated consistent with the objectives and purposes, and development standards and guidelines, of the Main Street District land use district of the Mountain Village Specific Plan; and

(2) **The proposed use at the proposed location, and the manner in which it will be operated and maintained, is consistent with the goals, policies, plans and exhibits of the Vision, Policy Plan (General Plan), and City Council Priorities components of The Ontario Plan.** The proposed fast food restaurant with drive-thru will be located within the General Commercial land use district of the Policy Plan Land Use Map, and the Main Street District land use district of the Mountain Village Specific Plan. The development standards, and the conditions of approval under which the proposed land use will be established, operated, and maintained, are consistent with the goals, policies, plans, and exhibits of the Vision, City Council Priorities, and Policy Plan (General Plan) components of The Ontario Plan; and

(3) **The proposed use at the proposed location, and the manner in which it will be operated and maintained, is consistent with the objectives and requirements of the Development Code and any applicable specific plan or planned unit development.** The proposed fast food restaurant with drive-thru is located within the General Commercial land use district, and the Main Street District land use district of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, and has been reviewed and conditioned to ensure the establishment, operation and maintenance of the proposed land use, consistent with all applicable objectives, purposes, standards, and guidelines of the Development Code and Mountain Village Specific Plan; and

(4) **The establishment, maintenance, and operation of the proposed use at the proposed location would not be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements within the vicinity, nor would it be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of persons residing or working in the surrounding neighborhood.** The Development Advisory Board has required certain safeguards, and imposed certain conditions of approval, which have been established to ensure that: [i] the purposes of the Mountain Village Specific Plan are maintained; [ii] the project will not endanger the public health, safety or general welfare; [iii] the project will not result in any significant environmental impacts; and [iv] the project will be in harmony with the surrounding area in which it is proposed to be located.

SECTION 5: Development Advisory Board Action. Based on the findings and conclusions set forth in Sections 1 through 4, above, the DAB hereby recommends the Planning Commission APPROVES the Application subject to each and every condition set forth in the Department reports included as Attachment A of this Decision, and incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 6: Indemnification. The Applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul this

approval. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

SECTION 7: Custodian of Records. The documents and materials that constitute the record of proceedings on which these findings have been based are located at the City of Ontario City Hall, 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, California 91764. The custodian for these records is the City Clerk of the City of Ontario. The records are available for inspection by any interested person, upon request.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 2nd day of July 2018.

Development Advisory Board Chairman

Exhibit A—PROJECT LOCATION MAP




Exhibit B—PROJECT SITE UTILIZATION MAP




Exhibit C—SITE PLAN




Exhibit D—ELEVATIONS



01 EAST ELEVATION
1/4" = 1'-0"



02 SOUTH ELEVATION (DRIVE-THRU)
1/4" = 1'-0"

Exhibit D—ELEVATIONS




01 | WEST ELEVATION
1/4" = 1'-0"



02 | NORTH ELEVATION
1/4" = 1'-0"

Exhibit E—LANDSCAPE PLAN



Attachment A—Departmental Conditions of Approval

(Departmental conditions of approval follow this page)



City of Ontario
Planning Department
303 East B Street
Ontario, California 91764
Phone: 909.395.2036
Fax: 909.395.2420

*Planning Department
Land Development Division
Conditions of Approval*

Meeting Date: July 2, 2018

File No: PCUP17-015

Related Files: PDEV17-033

Project Description: A Conditional Use Permit (File No. PCUP17-015) to establish a drive-thru restaurant for Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers, totaling 3,233 square feet on 0.81 acres of land, located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan (APNs: 1008-431-21 & 1008-431-22); **submitted by Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers.**

Prepared By: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner
Phone: 909.395.2418 (direct)
Email: jaguilo@ontarioca.gov

The Planning Department, Land Development Section, conditions of approval applicable to the above-described Project, are listed below. The Project shall comply with each condition of approval listed below:

1.0 Standard Conditions of Approval. The project shall comply with the *Standard Conditions for New Development*, adopted by City Council Resolution No. 2017-027 on April 18, 2017. A copy of the *Standard Conditions for New Development* may be obtained from the Planning Department or City Clerk/Records Management Department.

2.0 Special Conditions of Approval. In addition to the *Standard Conditions for New Development* identified in condition no. 1.0, above, the project shall comply with the following special conditions of approval:

2.1 Time Limits.

(a) Conditional Use Permit approval shall become null and void two years following the effective date of application approval, unless a building permit is issued and construction is commenced, and diligently pursued toward completion, or a time extension has been approved by the Planning Director, except that a Variance approved in conjunction with a Development Plan shall have the same time limits as said Development Plan. This condition does not supersede any individual time limits specified herein, or any other departmental conditions of approval applicable to the Project, for the performance of specific conditions or improvements.

2.2 General Requirements. The Project shall comply with the following general requirements:

(a) All construction documentation shall be coordinated for consistency, including, but not limited to, architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, landscape and irrigation, grading, utility and street improvement plans. All such plans shall be consistent with the approved entitlement plans on file with the Planning Department.

(b) The project site shall be developed in conformance with the approved plans on file with the City. Any variation from the approved plans must be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to building permit issuance.

(c) The herein-listed conditions of approval from all City departments shall be included in the construction plan set for project, which shall be maintained on site during project construction.

2.3 Landscaping.

(a) The Project shall provide and continuously maintain landscaping and irrigation systems in compliance with the provisions of Ontario Development Code Division 6.05 (Landscaping).

(b) Comply with the conditions of approval of the Planning Department; Landscape Planning Division.

(c) Landscaping shall not be installed until the Landscape and Irrigation Construction Documentation Plans required by Ontario Development Code Division 6.05 (Landscaping) have been approved by the Landscape Planning Division.

(d) Changes to approved Landscape and Irrigation Construction Documentation Plans, which affect the character or quantity of the plant material or irrigation system design, shall be resubmitted for approval of the revision by the Landscape Planning Division, prior to the commencement of the changes.

2.4 Walls and Fences. All Project walls and fences shall comply with the requirements of Ontario Development Code Division 6.02 (Walls, Fences and Obstructions).

2.5 Parking, Circulation and Access.

(a) The Project shall comply with the applicable off-street parking, loading and lighting requirements of City of Ontario Development Code Division 6.03 (Off-Street Parking and Loading).

(b) All drive approaches shall be provided with an enhanced pavement treatment. The enhanced paving shall extend from the back of the approach apron, into the site, to the first intersecting drive aisle or parking space.

(c) Areas provided to meet the City's parking requirements, including off-street parking and loading spaces, access drives, and maneuvering areas, shall not be used for the outdoor storage of materials and equipment, nor shall it be used for any other purpose than parking.

(d) The required number of off-street parking spaces and/or loading spaces shall be provided at the time of site and/or building occupancy. All parking and loading spaces shall be maintained in good condition for the duration of the building or use.

(e) Parking spaces specifically designated and conveniently located for use by the physically disabled shall be provided pursuant to current accessibility regulations contained in State law (CCR Title 24, Part 2, Chapters 2B71, and CVC Section 22507.8).

(f) Bicycle parking facilities, including bicycle racks, lockers, and other secure facilities, shall be provided in conjunction with development projects pursuant to current regulations contained in CALGreen (CAC Title 24, Part 11).

2.6 Outdoor Loading and Storage Areas.

(a) Loading facilities shall be designed and constructed pursuant to Development Code Division 6.03 (Off-Street Parking and Loading).

(b) Areas designated for off-street parking, loading, and vehicular circulation and maneuvering, shall not be used for the outdoor storage of materials or equipment.

(c) Outdoor loading and storage areas, and loading doors, shall be screened from public view pursuant to the requirements of Development Code Paragraph 6.02.025.A.2 (Screening of Outdoor Loading and Storage Areas, and Loading Doors) Et Seq.

2.7 Site Lighting.

(a) All off-street parking facilities shall be provided with nighttime security lighting pursuant to Ontario Municipal Code Section 4-11.08 (Special Residential Building Provisions) and Section 4-11.09 (Special Commercial/Industrial Building Provisions), designed to confine emitted light to the parking areas. Parking facilities shall be lighted from sunset until sunrise, daily, and shall be operated by a photocell switch.

(b) Unless intended as part of a master lighting program, no operation, activity, or lighting fixture shall create illumination on any adjacent property.

2.8 Mechanical and Rooftop Equipment.

(a) All exterior roof-mounted mechanical, heating and air conditioning equipment, and all appurtenances thereto, shall be completely screened from public view by parapet walls or roof screens that are architecturally treated so as to be consistent with the building architecture.

(b) All ground-mounted utility equipment and structures, such as tanks, transformers, HVAC equipment, and backflow prevention devices, shall be located out of view from a public street, or adequately screened through the use of landscaping and/or decorative low garden walls.

2.9 Security Standards. The Project shall comply with all applicable requirements of Ontario Municipal Code Title 4 (Public Safety), Chapter 11 (Security Standards for Buildings).

2.10 Signs. All Project signage shall comply with the requirements of Ontario Development Code Division 8.1 (Sign Regulations).

2.11 Sound Attenuation. The Project shall be constructed and operated in a manner so as not to exceed the maximum interior and exterior noised levels set forth in Ontario Municipal Code Title 5 (Public Welfare, Morals, and Conduct), Chapter 29 (Noise).

2.12 Environmental Review.

(a) The proposed project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA), as amended, and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder, pursuant to **Section 15332 (Class 32, In-Fill Development Projects)** of the CEQA Guidelines, meeting the following conditions:

(i) The Project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies, as well as the applicable zoning designation and regulations;

(ii) The proposed development occurs within city limits, on a project site of no more than five acres, and is substantially surrounded by urban uses;

(iii) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species;

(iv) Approval of the Project will not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and

(v) The Project site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

(b) If human remains are found during project grading/excavation/construction activities, the area shall not be disturbed until any required investigation is completed by the County Coroner and Native American consultation has been completed (if deemed applicable).

(c) If any archeological or paleontological resources are found during project grading/excavation/construction, the area shall not be disturbed until the significance of the resource is determined. If determined to be significant, the resource shall be recovered by a qualified archeologist or paleontologist consistent with current standards and guidelines, or other appropriate measures implemented.

2.13 Indemnification. The applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the City of Ontario, whether by its City Council, Planning Commission or other authorized board or officer. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

2.14 Additional Fees.

(a) Within 5 days following final application approval, the Notice of Determination (NOD) filing fee shall be provided to the Planning Department. The fee shall be paid by check, made payable to the "Clerk of the Board of Supervisors", which shall be forwarded to the San Bernardino County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, along with all applicable environmental forms/notices, pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Failure to provide said fee within the time specified may result in a 180-day extension to the statute of limitations for the filing of a CEQA lawsuit.

(b) After the Project's entitlement approval, and prior to issuance of final building permits, the Planning Department's Plan Check and Inspection fees shall be paid at the rate established by resolution of the City Council.

2.15 Additional Requirements.

(a) The approval of File No. PCUP17-015 shall be final and conclusive upon the approval of File No. PDEV17-033 by the City of Ontario Planning Commission.



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hassan Haghani, Development Director
Scott Murphy, Planning Director (Copy of Memo only)
Cathy Wahlstrom, Principal Planner (Copy of memo only)
Charity Hernandez, Economic Development
Kevin Shear, Building Official
Khoi Do, Assistant City Engineer
Carolyn Bell, Landscape Planning Division
Sheldon Yu, Municipal Utility Company
Doug Sorel, Police Department
Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Fire Marshal
Jay Bautista, T. E., Traffic/Transportation Manager
Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Steve Wilson, Engineering/NPDES
Bob Gluck, Code Enforcement Director
Jimmy Chang, IT Department
David Simpson, Development/IT (Copy of memo only)

FROM: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner

DATE: July 27, 2017

SUBJECT: FILE #: PCUP17-015 Finance Acct#:

The following project has been submitted for review. Please send one (1) copy and email one (1) copy of your DAB report to the Planning Department by **Thursday, August 10, 2017**.

- Note:**
- Only DAB action is required
 - Both DAB and Planning Commission actions are required
 - Only Planning Commission action is required
 - DAB, Planning Commission and City Council actions are required
 - Only Zoning Administrator action is required

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Conditional Use Permit in conjunction with a Development Plan to establish a drive-thru restaurant for Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers totaling 3,233 square feet on 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan Specific Plan (APN: 1008-431-21).

- The plan does adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
 - No comments
 - Report attached (1 copy and email 1 copy)
 - Standard Conditions of Approval apply
- The plan does not adequately address the departmental concerns.
 - The conditions contained in the attached report must be met prior to scheduling for Development Advisory Board.

Building
Department

Signature

Title

Date

CITY OF ONTARIO MEMORANDUM

TO: PLANNING DEPARTMENT, Jeanie Irene Aguilo
FROM: BUILDING DEPARTMENT, Kevin Shear
DATE: July 31, 2017
SUBJECT: PCUP17-015



-
1. The plan **does** adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
No comments.

KS:lm



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hassan Haghani, Development Director
Scott Murphy, Planning Director (Copy of Memo only)
Cathy Wahlstrom, Principal Planner (Copy of memo only)
Charity Hernandez, Economic Development
Kevin Shear, Building Official
Khoi Do, Assistant City Engineer
Carolyn Bell, Landscape Planning Division
Sheldon Yu, Municipal Utility Company
Doug Sorel, Police Department
Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Fire Marshal
Jay Bautista, T. E., Traffic/Transportation Manager
Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Steve Wilson, Engineering/NPDES
Bob Gluck, Code Enforcement Director
Jimmy Chang, IT Department
David Simpson, Development/IT (Copy of memo only)

FROM: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner

DATE: July 27, 2017

SUBJECT: FILE #: PCUP17-015 Finance Acct#:

The following project has been submitted for review. Please send one (1) copy and email one (1) copy of your DAB report to the Planning Department by **Thursday, August 10, 2017**.

- Note:**
- Only DAB action is required
 - Both DAB and Planning Commission actions are required
 - Only Planning Commission action is required
 - DAB, Planning Commission and City Council actions are required
 - Only Zoning Administrator action is required

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Conditional Use Permit in conjunction with a Development Plan to establish a drive-thru restaurant for Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers totaling 3,233 square feet on 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan Specific Plan (APN: 1008-431-21).

- The plan does adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
 - No comments
 - Report attached (1 copy and email 1 copy)
 - Standard Conditions of Approval apply
- The plan does not adequately address the departmental concerns.
 - The conditions contained in the attached report must be met prior to scheduling for Development Advisory Board.

Code
Department

Jackie Do
Signature

Supervisor
Title

7/31/17
Date



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hassan Haghani , Development Director
Scott Murphy, Assistant Development Director (Copy of memo only)
Cathy Wahlstrom, Principal Planner (Copy of memo only)
Charity Hernandez, Economic Development
Kevin Shear, Building Official
Khoi Do, Assistant City Engineer
Carolyn Bell, Landscape Planning Division
Sheldon Yu, Municipal Utility Company
Doug Sorel, Police Department
Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Fire Marshal
Jay Bautista, T. E., Traffic/Transportation Manager
Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Steve Wilson, Engineering/NPDES
Joe De Sousa, Supervising Code Enforcement Officer
Jimmy Chang , IT Department
David Simpson , IT Department (Copy of memo only)

FROM: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner

DATE: November 02, 2017

SUBJECT: FILE #: PCUP17-015

Finance Acct#:

The following project has been resubmitted for review. Please send one (1) copy and email one (1) copy of your DAB report to the Planning Department by **Thursday, August 10, 2017**.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Conditional Use Permit to establish a 3,233-square foot drive-thru restaurant (Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers) on 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan Specific Plan (APN: 1008-431-21). Related File: PDEV17-033.

The plan does adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.

- No comments
- See previous report for Conditions
- Report attached (1 copy and email 1 copy)
- Standard Conditions of Approval apply

The plan does not adequately address the departmental concerns.

- The conditions contained in the attached report must be met prior to scheduling for Development Advisory Board.

Landscape Planning Carolyn Bell Sr. Landscape Architect
Department Signature Title Date 11/29/17



Development Advisory Board Decision

July 2, 2018

DECISION NO.: [insert #]

FILE NO.: PDEV17-033

DESCRIPTION: A Development Plan to construct a fast food restaurant (Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers) with drive-thru, totaling 3,233 square feet on 0.81 acres of land, located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan (APNs: 1008-431-21 & 1008-431-22); **submitted by Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers.**

Part I—BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS

RAISING CANE'S CHICKEN FINGERS, (herein after referred to as "Applicant") has filed an application requesting Development Plan approval, File No. PDEV17-033, as described in the subject of this Decision (herein after referred to as "Application" or "Project").

(1) **Project Setting:** The project site is comprised of 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, and is depicted in Exhibit A: Aerial Photograph, attached. Existing land uses, General Plan and zoning designations, and specific plan land uses on and surrounding the project site are as follows:

	<i>Existing Land Use</i>	<i>General Plan Designation</i>	<i>Zoning Designation</i>	<i>Specific Plan Land Use</i>
<i>Site:</i>	Vacant	GC (General Commercial)	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Main Street District
<i>North:</i>	Carl's Jr. Restaurant	GC (General Commercial)	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Main Street District
<i>South:</i>	Retail	GC (General Commercial)	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Main Street District
<i>East:</i>	Residential	LDR (Low Density Residential)	RE4 (Residential Estate)	N/A
<i>West:</i>	Medical Offices	GC (General Commercial)	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Main Street District

(2) **Project Description:** The Applicant is requesting approval of a Development Plan to construct a fast food restaurant (Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers) totaling 3,233 square feet. The restaurant will feature a single lane drive-thru for which Conditional Use Permit approval has been requested in accordance with the land use requirements of the Mountain Village Specific Plan (refer to File No. PCUP17-015). Upon completion, the drive-thru will have a stacking length of 234 feet, which will accommodate up to 9 vehicles behind the first drive-thru window (a minimum of 6 stacking spaces are required).

The restaurant's primary public entrance faces east, toward Mountain Avenue, while a secondary public entrance will face north, toward the site's primary parking off-street parking area. The restaurant will have a similar layout to the Carl's Jr., restaurant, which abuts the project site on the north side, with parking surrounding the building.

Primary site access is from Mountain Avenue via a shared driveway with the Carl's Jr. Restaurant. A future secondary access point will be constructed by the City at the rear of the site (identified as Main Street by the Mountain Village Specific Plan), which will connect to Fifth Street to the north and Hawthorn Street to the south.

The Project has been parked in accordance with the "restaurant" parking standards of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, which requires that a minimum of one off-street parking space must be provided for each 75 square feet of "public service area" within the restaurant (outdoor dining area is exempt from the parking requirement, up to 25 percent of the restaurants built floor area). Based upon 970 square feet of public service area contained within the restaurant, the project must provide a minimum of 13 off-street parking spaces. A total of 43 off-street parking spaces have been provided, exceeding the minimum parking requirement for the Project. In addition to the Mountain Village Specific Plan parking standards, the Project provides and meets off-street parking pursuant to the "restaurant" parking standards of the Ontario Development Code.

Part II—RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Application is a project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) ("CEQA"); and

WHEREAS, the Project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to a categorical exemption (listed in CEQA Guidelines Article 19, commencing with Section 15300) and the application of that categorical exemption is not barred by one of the exceptions set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2; and

WHEREAS, Ontario Development Code Table 2.02-1 (Review Matrix) grants the Development Advisory Board ("DAB") the responsibility and authority to review and recommendation to the Planning Commission on the subject Application; and

WHEREAS, all members of the DAB of the City of Ontario were provided the opportunity to review and comment on the Application, and no comments were received opposing the proposed development; and

WHEREAS, the Project has been reviewed for consistency with the Housing Element of the Policy Plan component of The Ontario Plan, as State Housing Element law (as prescribed in Government Code Sections 65580 through 65589.8) requires that development projects must be consistent with the Housing Element, if upon consideration of all its aspects, it is found to further the purposes, principals, goals, and policies of the Housing Element; and

WHEREAS, the Project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, which encompasses lands within parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties, and is subject to, and must be consistent with, the policies and criteria set forth in the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan ("ALUCP"), which applies only to jurisdictions within San Bernardino County, and addresses the noise, safety, airspace protection, and overflight impacts of current and future airport activity; and

WHEREAS, City of Ontario Development Code Division 2.03 (Public Hearings) prescribes the manner in which public notification shall be provided and hearing procedures to be followed, and all such notifications and procedures have been completed; and

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2018, the DAB of the City of Ontario conducted a hearing on the Application and concluded said hearing on that date; and

WHEREAS, all legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Decision have occurred.

Part III—THE DECISION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED by the Development Advisory Board of the City of Ontario, as follows:

SECTION 1: Environmental Determination and Findings. As the recommending body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the information contained in the administrative record for the Project. Based upon the facts and information contained in the administrative record, including all written and oral evidence presented to the DAB, the DAB finds as follows:

(1) The proposed project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA), as amended, and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder, pursuant to Section 15332 (Class 32, In-Fill Development Projects) of the CEQA Guidelines, and meeting all of the following conditions:

(a) The Project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies, as well as the applicable zoning designation and regulations;

(b) The proposed development occurs within city limits, on a project site of no more than five acres, and is substantially surrounded by urban uses;

(c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species;

(d) Approval of the Project will not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and

(e) The Project site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

(2) The application of the categorical exemption is not barred by one of the exceptions set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2; and

(3) The determination of CEQA exemption reflects the independent judgment of the DAB.

SECTION 2: Housing Element Compliance. Pursuant to the requirements of California Government Code Chapter 3, Article 10.6, commencing with Section 65580, as the recommending body for the Project, the DAB finds that based on the facts and information contained in the Application and supporting documentation, at the time of Project implementation, the project is consistent with the Housing Element of the Policy Plan (General Plan) component of The Ontario Plan, as the project site is not one of the properties in the Available Land Inventory contained in Table A-3 (Available Land by Planning Area) of the Housing Element Technical Report Appendix.

SECTION 3: Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (“ALUCP”) Compliance. The California State Aeronautics Act (Public Utilities Code Section 21670 et seq.) requires that an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan be prepared for all public use airports in the State; and requires that local land use plans and individual development proposals must be consistent with the policies set forth in the adopted Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. On April 19, 2011, the City Council of the City of Ontario approved and adopted the Ontario International Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (“ALUCP”), establishing the Airport Influence Area for Ontario International Airport (“ONT”), which encompasses lands within parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties, and limits future land uses and development within the Airport Influence Area, as they relate to noise, safety, airspace protection, and overflight impacts of current and future airport activity. As the recommending body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the facts and information contained in the Application and supporting documentation against the ALUCP compatibility factors, including [1] Safety Criteria (ALUCP Table 2-2) and Safety Zones (ALUCP Map 2-2), [2] Noise Criteria (ALUCP Table 2-3) and Noise Impact Zones (ALUCP Map 2-3), [3] Airspace protection Zones (ALUCP Map 2-4), and [4] Overflight Notification Zones (ALUCP

Map 2-5). As a result, the DAB, therefore, finds and determines that the Project, when implemented in conjunction with the conditions of approval, will be consistent with the policies and criteria set forth within the ALUCP.

SECTION 4: Concluding Facts and Reasons. Based upon the substantial evidence presented to the DAB during the above-referenced hearing and upon the specific findings set forth in Sections 1 through 4, above, the DAB hereby concludes as follows:

(1) **The proposed development at the proposed location is consistent with the goals, policies, plans and exhibits of the Vision, Policy Plan (General Plan), and City Council Priorities components of The Ontario Plan.** The proposed Project is located within the General Commercial land use district of the Policy Plan Land Use Map, and the Main Street District land use district of the Mountain Village Specific Plan. The development standards and conditions under which the proposed Project will be constructed and maintained, is consistent with the goals, policies, plans, and exhibits of the Vision, Policy Plan (General Plan), and City Council Priorities components of The Ontario Plan; and

(2) **The proposed development is compatible with those on adjoining sites in relation to location of buildings, with particular attention to privacy, views, any physical constraint identified on the site and the characteristics of the area in which the site is located.** The Project has been designed consistent with the requirements of the City of Ontario Development Code and the Main Street District land use district of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, including standards relative to the particular land use proposed (drive-thru restaurant), as-well-as building intensity, building and parking setbacks, building height, number of off-street parking and loading spaces, on-site and off-site landscaping, and fences, walls and obstructions; and

(3) **The proposed development will complement and/or improve upon the quality of existing development in the vicinity of the project and the minimum safeguards necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare have been required of the proposed project.** The Development Advisory Board has required certain safeguards, and impose certain conditions of approval, which have been established to ensure that: [i] the purposes of the Mountain Village Specific Plan are maintained; [ii] the project will not endanger the public health, safety or general welfare; [iii] the project will not result in any significant environmental impacts; [iv] the project will be in harmony with the area in which it is located; and [v] the project will be in full conformity with the Vision, City Council Priorities and Policy Plan components of The Ontario Plan, and the Mountain Village Specific Plan; and

(4) **The proposed development is consistent with the development standards and design guidelines set forth in the Development Code, or applicable specific plan or planned unit development.** The proposed Project has been reviewed for consistency with the general development standards and guidelines of the Mountain Village Specific Plan that are applicable to the proposed Project, including building intensity, building and parking setbacks, building height, amount of off-street parking and loading spaces, parking lot dimensions, design and landscaping, bicycle parking, on-site landscaping, and fences and walls, as-well-as those development standards and guidelines specifically related to the particular commercial land use being proposed. As a result of this review, the Development Advisory Board has determined that the Project, when implemented in conjunction with the conditions of approval, will be consistent with the development standards and guidelines described in the Mountain Village Specific Plan.

SECTION 5: Development Advisory Board Action. Based on the findings and conclusions set forth in Sections 1 through 4, above, the DAB hereby recommends the Planning Commission APPROVES the Application subject to each and every condition set forth in the Department reports included as Attachment A of this Decision, and incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 6: Indemnification. The Applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul this

approval. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

SECTION 7: **Custodian of Records.** The documents and materials that constitute the record of proceedings on which these findings have been based are located at the City of Ontario City Hall, 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, California 91764. The custodian for these records is the City Clerk of the City of Ontario. The records are available for inspection by any interested person, upon request.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 2nd day of July 2018.

Development Advisory Board Chairman

Exhibit A—PROJECT LOCATION MAP




Exhibit B—PROJECT SITE UTILIZATION MAP




Exhibit C—SITE PLAN




Exhibit D—ELEVATIONS



01 EAST ELEVATION
1/4" = 1'-0"



02 SOUTH ELEVATION (DRIVE-THRU)
1/4" = 1'-0"

Exhibit D—ELEVATIONS




01 | WEST ELEVATION
1/4" = 1'-0"



02 | NORTH ELEVATION
1/4" = 1'-0"

Exhibit E—LANDSCAPE PLAN



Attachment A—Departmental Conditions of Approval

(Departmental conditions of approval follow this page)



City of Ontario
Planning Department
303 East B Street
Ontario, California 91764
Phone: 909.395.2036
Fax: 909.395.2420

*Planning Department
Land Development Division
Conditions of Approval*

Meeting Date: July 2, 2018

File No: PDEV17-033

Related Files: PCUP17-015

Project Description: A Development Plan (File No. PDEV17-033) to construct a drive-thru restaurant for Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers, totaling 3,233 square feet on 0.81 acres of land, located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan (APNs: 1008-431-21 & 1008-431-22); **submitted by Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers.**

Prepared By: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner
Phone: 909.395.2418 (direct)
Email: jaguilo@ontarioca.gov

The Planning Department, Land Development Section, conditions of approval applicable to the above-described Project, are listed below. The Project shall comply with each condition of approval listed below:

1.0 Standard Conditions of Approval. The project shall comply with the *Standard Conditions for New Development*, adopted by City Council Resolution No. 2017-027 on April 18, 2017. A copy of the *Standard Conditions for New Development* may be obtained from the Planning Department or City Clerk/Records Management Department.

2.0 Special Conditions of Approval. In addition to the *Standard Conditions for New Development* identified in condition no. 1.0, above, the project shall comply with the following special conditions of approval:

2.1 Time Limits.

(a) Development Plan approval shall become null and void 2 years following the effective date of application approval, unless a building permit is issued and construction is commenced, and diligently pursued toward completion, or a time extension has been approved by the Planning Director. This condition does not supersede any individual time limits specified herein, or any other departmental conditions of approval applicable to the Project, for the performance of specific conditions or improvements.

2.2 General Requirements. The Project shall comply with the following general requirements:

(a) All construction documentation shall be coordinated for consistency, including, but not limited to, architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, landscape and irrigation, grading, utility and street improvement plans. All such plans shall be consistent with the approved entitlement plans on file with the Planning Department.

(b) The project site shall be developed in conformance with the approved plans on file with the City. Any variation from the approved plans must be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to building permit issuance.

(c) The herein-listed conditions of approval from all City departments shall be included in the construction plan set for project, which shall be maintained on site during project construction.

2.3 Landscaping.

(a) The Project shall provide and continuously maintain landscaping and irrigation systems in compliance with the provisions of Ontario Development Code Division 6.05 (Landscaping).

(b) Comply with the conditions of approval of the Planning Department; Landscape Planning Division.

(c) Landscaping shall not be installed until the Landscape and Irrigation Construction Documentation Plans required by Ontario Development Code Division 6.05 (Landscaping) have been approved by the Landscape Planning Division.

(d) Changes to approved Landscape and Irrigation Construction Documentation Plans, which affect the character or quantity of the plant material or irrigation system design, shall be resubmitted for approval of the revision by the Landscape Planning Division, prior to the commencement of the changes.

2.4 Walls and Fences. All Project walls and fences shall comply with the requirements of Ontario Development Code Division 6.02 (Walls, Fences and Obstructions).

2.5 Parking, Circulation and Access.

(a) The Project shall comply with the applicable off-street parking, loading and lighting requirements of City of Ontario Development Code Division 6.03 (Off-Street Parking and Loading).

(b) All drive approaches shall be provided with an enhanced pavement treatment. The enhanced paving shall extend from the back of the approach apron, into the site, to the first intersecting drive aisle or parking space.

(c) Areas provided to meet the City's parking requirements, including off-street parking and loading spaces, access drives, and maneuvering areas, shall not be used for the outdoor storage of materials and equipment, nor shall it be used for any other purpose than parking.

(d) The required number of off-street parking spaces and/or loading spaces shall be provided at the time of site and/or building occupancy. All parking and loading spaces shall be maintained in good condition for the duration of the building or use.

(e) Parking spaces specifically designated and conveniently located for use by the physically disabled shall be provided pursuant to current accessibility regulations contained in State law (CCR Title 24, Part 2, Chapters 2B71, and CVC Section 22507.8).

(f) Bicycle parking facilities, including bicycle racks, lockers, and other secure facilities, shall be provided in conjunction with development projects pursuant to current regulations contained in CALGreen (CAC Title 24, Part 11).

2.6 Outdoor Loading and Storage Areas.

(a) Loading facilities shall be designed and constructed pursuant to Development Code Division 6.03 (Off-Street Parking and Loading).

(b) Areas designated for off-street parking, loading, and vehicular circulation and maneuvering, shall not be used for the outdoor storage of materials or equipment.

(c) Outdoor loading and storage areas, and loading doors, shall be screened from public view pursuant to the requirements of Development Code Paragraph 6.02.025.A.2 (Screening of Outdoor Loading and Storage Areas, and Loading Doors) Et Seq.

2.7 Site Lighting.

(a) All off-street parking facilities shall be provided with nighttime security lighting pursuant to Ontario Municipal Code Section 4-11.08 (Special Residential Building Provisions) and Section 4-11.09 (Special Commercial/Industrial Building Provisions), designed to confine emitted light to the parking areas. Parking facilities shall be lighted from sunset until sunrise, daily, and shall be operated by a photocell switch.

(b) Unless intended as part of a master lighting program, no operation, activity, or lighting fixture shall create illumination on any adjacent property.

2.8 Mechanical and Rooftop Equipment.

(a) All exterior roof-mounted mechanical, heating and air conditioning equipment, and all appurtenances thereto, shall be completely screened from public view by parapet walls or roof screens that are architecturally treated so as to be consistent with the building architecture.

(b) All ground-mounted utility equipment and structures, such as tanks, transformers, HVAC equipment, and backflow prevention devices, shall be located out of view from a public street, or adequately screened through the use of landscaping and/or decorative low garden walls.

2.9 Security Standards. The Project shall comply with all applicable requirements of Ontario Municipal Code Title 4 (Public Safety), Chapter 11 (Security Standards for Buildings).

2.10 Signs. All Project signage shall comply with the requirements of Ontario Development Code Division 8.1 (Sign Regulations) and the Mountain Village Specific Plan.

2.11 Sound Attenuation. The Project shall be constructed and operated in a manner so as not to exceed the maximum interior and exterior noised levels set forth in Ontario Municipal Code Title 5 (Public Welfare, Morals, and Conduct), Chapter 29 (Noise).

2.12 Environmental Review.

(a) The proposed project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA), as amended, and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder, pursuant to **Section 15332 (Class 32, In-Fill Development Projects)** of the CEQA Guidelines, meeting the following conditions:

(i) The Project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies, as well as the applicable zoning designation and regulations;

(ii) The proposed development occurs within city limits, on a project site of no more than five acres, and is substantially surrounded by urban uses;

(iii) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species;

(iv) Approval of the Project will not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and

(v) The Project site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

(b) If human remains are found during project grading/excavation/construction activities, the area shall not be disturbed until any required investigation is completed by the County Coroner and Native American consultation has been completed (if deemed applicable).

(c) If any archeological or paleontological resources are found during project grading/excavation/construction, the area shall not be disturbed until the significance of the resource is determined. If determined to be significant, the resource shall be recovered by a qualified archeologist or paleontologist consistent with current standards and guidelines, or other appropriate measures implemented.

2.13 Indemnification. The applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the City of Ontario, whether by its City Council, Planning Commission or other authorized board or officer. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

2.14 Additional Fees.

(a) Within 5 days following final application approval, the Notice of Determination (NOD) filing fee shall be provided to the Planning Department. The fee shall be paid by check, made payable to the "Clerk of the Board of Supervisors", which shall be forwarded to the San Bernardino County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, along with all applicable environmental forms/notices, pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Failure to provide said fee within the time specified may result in a 180-day extension to the statute of limitations for the filing of a CEQA lawsuit.

(b) After the Project's entitlement approval, and prior to issuance of final building permits, the Planning Department's Plan Check and Inspection fees shall be paid at the rate established by resolution of the City Council.

2.15 Additional Requirements.

(a) The approval of File No. PDEV17-033 shall be final and conclusive upon the approval of File No. PCUP17-015 by the City of Ontario Planning Commission.



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hassan Haghani , Development Director
Scott Murphy, Assistant Development Director (Copy of memo only)
Cathy Wahlstrom, Principal Planner (Copy of memo only)
Charity Hernandez, Economic Development
Kevin Shear, Building Official
Khoi Do, Assistant City Engineer
Carolyn Bell, Landscape Planning Division
Sheldon Yu, Municipal Utility Company
Doug Sorel, Police Department
Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Fire Marshal
Jay Bautista, T. E., Traffic/Transportation Manager
Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Steve Wilson, Engineering/NPDES
Joe De Sousa, Supervising Code Enforcement Officer
Jimmy Chang , IT Department
David Simpson , IT Department (Copy of memo only)

FROM: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner

DATE: November 02, 2017

SUBJECT: FILE #: PDEV17-033

Finance Acct#:

The following project has been resubmitted for review. Please send one (1) copy and email one (1) copy of your DAB report to the Planning Department by **Thursday, November 16, 2017**.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Development Plan to construct a 3,233-square foot drive-thru restaurant (Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers) on 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan (APN: 1008-431-21). Related: PCUP17-015.

The plan does adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.

- No comments
- See previous report for Conditions
- Report attached (1 copy and email 1 copy)
- Standard Conditions of Approval apply

The plan does not adequately address the departmental concerns.

- The conditions contained in the attached report must be met prior to scheduling for Development Advisory Board.

11/29/17

Landscape Planning Carolyn Bell Sr. Landscape Architect
Department Signature Title Date

CITY OF ONTARIO
LANDSCAPE PLANNING DIVISION
 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, CA 91764

PRELIMINARY PLAN CORRECTIONS	
Sign Off	
	11/28/17
Carolyn Bell, Sr. Landscape Planner	Date

Reviewer's Name: Carolyn Bell, Sr. Landscape Planner	Phone: (909) 395-2237
--	---------------------------------

D.A.B. File No.: PDEV17-033 Rev 1	Case Planner: Jeanie Irene Aguilo
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Project Name and Location: Raising Canes Restaurant 1425 N Mountain ave

Applicant/Representative: PMDG, Inc. Mo Mokled 38 Executive Parkway #310 Irvine CA 92614

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A Preliminary Landscape Plan (dated 10/30/17) meets the Standard Conditions for New Development and has been approved with the consideration that the following conditions below be met upon submittal of the landscape construction documents.
<input type="checkbox"/>	A Preliminary Landscape Plan (dated) has not been approved. Corrections noted below are required prior to Preliminary Landscape Plan approval.

CORRECTIONS REQUIRED

Civil Plans

1. Show backflows and transformers on plan, and dimension a 4' set back from paving. **Not corrected. Move backflows away from front entry walk way to larger planter south 50' near light pole.**
2. Locate light standards, fire hydrants, water and sewer lines to not conflict with required tree locations. **Not corrected, Move electrical conduit at transformer crossing tree planter.**
3. Dimension all planters to have a minimum 5' wide inside dimension. **Not corrected.**
4. Locate backflows and provide a 4' set back from paving for landscape screens. **Not corrected. Move backflows away from front entry walk way to larger planter south 50' near light pole.**
5. Show separate irrigation water meter and backflow device.

Landscape Plans

6. Replace short lived, high maintenance or poor performing plants: Yucca. **Not corrected. Reduce quantity of short lived or poor winter appearance plants: Perennials and grasses.**
7. Change to a better upright shade trees in narrow parking lot planter by drive through; instead of Chitalpa consider Callistemon citrinus, Tristania laurina, or similar.
8. Change plant symbols to be legible at 11x17" size. Complicated symbol design is difficult to read.
9. After a project's entitlement approval, the applicant shall pay all applicable fees for landscape plan check and inspections at a rate established by resolution of the City Council. Typical fees are:

Plan Check—5 or more acres	\$2,326.00
Plan Check—less than 5 acres	\$1,301.00
Inspection—Construction (up to 3 inspections per phase)	\$278.00

Landscape construction plans with building permit number for plan check may be emailed to: landscapeplancheck@ontarioca.gov



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Jeanie Aguilo, Assistant Planner
Planning Department

FROM: Paul Ehrman, Fire Marshal
Fire Department

DATE: August 7, 2017

SUBJECT: PDEV17-033 – A Development Plan to construct a 3,233-square foot drive-thru restaurant (Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers) on 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue, within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan (APN: 1008-431-21).
Related: PCUP17-015.

-
- The plan **does** adequately address Fire Department requirements at this time.
- No comments.
 - Standard Conditions of Approval apply, as stated below.
-

SITE AND BUILDING FEATURES:

- A. 2016 CBC Type of Construction: V - Sprinklered
- B. Type of Roof Materials: Ordinary
- C. Ground Floor Area(s): 3233 Sq. Ft.
- D. Number of Stories: One
- E. Total Square Footage: 3233 Sq. Ft.
- F. 2016 CBC Occupancy Classification(s): A-2

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

1.0 GENERAL

- ☒ 1.1 The following are the Ontario Fire Department (“Fire Department”) requirements for this development project, based on the current edition of the California Fire Code (CFC), and the current versions of the Fire Prevention Standards (“Standards.”) It is recommended that the applicant or developer transmit a copy of these requirements to the on-site contractor(s) and that all questions or concerns be directed to the Bureau of Fire Prevention, at (909) 395-2029. For copies of Ontario Fire Department Standards please access the City of Ontario web site at www.ontarioca.gov, click on “Fire Department” and then on “Standards and Forms.”
- ☒ 1.2 These Fire Department conditions of approval are to be included on any and all construction drawings.

2.0 FIRE DEPARTMENT ACCESS

- ☒ 2.2 In order to allow for adequate turning radius for emergency fire apparatus, all turns shall be designed to meet the minimum twenty five feet (25’) inside and forty-five feet (45’) outside turning radius per Standard #B-005.
- ☒ 2.4 Access drive aisles which cross property lines shall be provided with CC&Rs, access easements, or reciprocating agreements, and shall be recorded on the titles of affected properties, and copies of same shall be provided at the time of building plan check.

3.0 WATER SUPPLY

- ☒ 3.1 The required fire flow per Fire Department standards, based on the 2016 California Fire Code, Appendix B, is 1500 gallons per minute (g.p.m.) for 2 hours at a minimum of 20 pounds per square inch (p.s.i.) residual operating pressure.
- ☒ 3.2 Off-site (public) fire hydrants are required to be installed on all frontage streets, at a minimum spacing of three hundred foot (300’) apart, per Engineering Department specifications.
- ☒ 3.4 The water supply, including water mains and fire hydrants, shall be tested and approved by the Engineering Department and Fire Department prior to combustible construction to assure availability and reliability for firefighting purposes.

4.0 FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS

- ☒ 4.2 Underground fire mains which cross property lines shall be provided with CC & R, easements, or reciprocating agreements, and shall be recorded on the titles of affected properties, and copies of same shall be provided at the time of fire department plan check. The shared use of private fire mains or fire pumps is allowable only between immediately adjacent properties and shall not cross any public street.
- ☒ 4.3 An automatic fire sprinkler system is required. The system design shall be in accordance with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 13. All new fire sprinkler systems,

except those in single family dwellings, which contain twenty (20) sprinkler heads or more shall be monitored by an approved listed supervising station. An application along with detailed plans shall be submitted, and a construction permit shall be issued by the Fire Department, prior to any work being done.

- ☒ 4.4 Fire Department Connections (FDC) shall be located on the address side of the building within one hundred fifty feet (150') of a public fire hydrant on the same side of the street. Provide identification for all fire sprinkler control valves and fire department connections per Standard #D-007. Raised curbs adjacent to Fire Department connection(s) shall be painted red, five feet either side, per City standards.
- ☒ 4.6 Portable fire extinguishers are required to be installed prior to occupancy per Standard #C-001. Please contact the Fire Prevention Bureau to determine the exact number, type and placement required.
- ☒ 4.7 A fixed fire extinguishing system is required for the protection of hood, duct, plenum and cooking surfaces. This system must comply with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standards 17A and 96. An application with detailed plans shall be submitted, and a construction permit shall be issued by the Fire Department, prior to any work being done.

5.0 BUILDING CONSTRUCTION FEATURES

- ☒ 5.1 The developer/general contractor is to be responsible for reasonable periodic cleanup of the development during construction to avoid hazardous accumulations of combustible trash and debris both on and off the site.
- ☒ 5.2 Approved numbers or addresses shall be placed on all new and existing buildings in such a position as to be plainly visible and legible from the street or road fronting the property. Multi-tenant or building projects shall have addresses and/or suite numbers provided on the rear of the building. Address numbers shall contrast with their background. See Section 9-1 6.06 of the Ontario Municipal Code and Standards #H-003 and #H-002.
- ☒ 5.6 Knox ® brand key-box(es) shall be installed in location(s) acceptable to the Fire Department. All Knox boxes shall be monitored for tamper by the building fire alarm system. See Standard #H-001 for specific requirements.
- ☒ 5.7 Placards shall be installed in acceptable locations on buildings that store, use or handle hazardous materials in excess of the quantities specified in the CFC. Placards shall meet the requirements of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 704.
- ☒ 5.8 The building shall be provided with a Public Safety 800 MHZ radio amplification system per the Ontario Municipal Code Section 4-11.09 (n) and the CFC. The design and installation shall be approved by the Fire Department.

6.0 OTHER SPECIAL USES

- ☒ 6.1 The storage, use, dispensing, or handling of any hazardous materials shall be approved by the Fire Department, and adequate fire protection features shall be required. If hazardous materials

are proposed, a Fire Department Hazardous Materials Information Packet, including Disclosure Form and Information Worksheet, shall be completed and submitted with Material Safety Data Sheets to the Fire Department along with building construction plans.

<END.>



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Jeanie Aguilo, Planning Department

FROM: Douglas Sorel, Police Department

DATE: August 14, 2017

SUBJECT: PDEV17-033 – A DEVELOPMENT PLAN TO CONSTRUCT A RAISING CANE’S FAST FOOD RESTAURANT WITH DRIVE-THRU AT 1437 NORTH MOUNTAIN AVENUE

The “Standard Conditions of Approval” contained in Resolution No. 2017-027 apply. The applicant shall read and be thoroughly familiar with these conditions, including but not limited to, the requirements listed below.

- Required lighting for all walkways, driveways, doorways, parking areas, and other areas used by the public shall be provided and operate on photosensor. Photometrics shall be provided to the Police Department. Photometrics shall include the types of fixtures proposed and demonstrate that such fixtures meet the vandal-resistant requirement. Planned landscaping shall not obstruct lighting.
- Rooftop addresses shall be installed on the building as stated in the Standard Conditions. The numbers shall be at a minimum 3 feet tall and 1 foot wide, in reflective white paint on a flat black background, and oriented with the bottom of the numbers towards the addressed street.
- The Applicant shall comply with all construction site security requirements as stated in the Standard Conditions.

In addition, the Ontario Police Department places the following conditions on the project:

- The Applicant shall install a video surveillance system on the site. Cameras shall cover at a minimum all entry doors, all cash registers, and at least one camera shall capture any vehicle utilizing the drive-thru. Cameras shall be positioned so as to maximize the coverage of patrons and vehicles in these areas. Cameras shall record at least 15 frames per second and at a minimum of 640x480 lines of resolution. Recordings shall be stored for a minimum of 30 days and made available upon request to any member of the Ontario Police Department.
- The applicant will be responsible for keeping the grounds of the business clean from debris and litter.

- Graffiti abatement by the business owner/licensee, or management shall be immediate and on-going on the premises, but in no event shall graffiti be allowed unabated on the premises for more than 72 hours. Abatement shall take the form of removal, or shall be covered/painted over with a color reasonably matching the color of the existing building, structure, or other surface being abated. Additionally, the business owner/licensee, or management shall notify the City within 24 hours at (909) 395-2626 (graffiti hotline) of any graffiti elsewhere on the property not under the business owner/licensee's or management control so that it may be abated by the property owner and/or the City's graffiti team.

The Applicant is invited to call Douglas Sorel at (909) 395-2873 regarding any questions or concerns.



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hassan Haghani, Development Director
Scott Murphy, Planning Director (Copy of Memo only)
Cathy Wahlstrom, Principal Planner (Copy of memo only)
Charity Hernandez, Economic Development
Kevin Shear, Building Official
Khoi Do, Assistant City Engineer
Carolyn Bell, Landscape Planning Division
Sheldon Yu, Municipal Utility Company
Doug Sorel, Police Department
Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Fire Marshal
Jay Bautista, T. E., Traffic/Transportation Manager
Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Steve Wilson, Engineering/NPDES
Bob Gluck, Code Enforcement Director
Jimmy Chang, IT Department
David Simpson, Development/IT (Copy of memo only)

FROM: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner

DATE: July 27, 2017

SUBJECT: FILE #: PDEV17-033 Finance Acct#:

The following project has been submitted for review. Please send one (1) copy and email one (1) copy of your DAB report to the Planning Department by **Thursday, August 10, 2017**.

- Note:
- Only DAB action is required
 - Both DAB and Planning Commission actions are required
 - Only Planning Commission action is required
 - DAB, Planning Commission and City Council actions are required
 - Only Zoning Administrator action is required
- 21-17

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Development Plan to construct a drive-thru restaurant for Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers totaling 3,233 square feet on approximately 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan Specific Plan (APN: 1008-431-21).

- The plan does adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
 - No comments
 - Report attached (1 copy and email 1 copy)
 - Standard Conditions of Approval apply
- The plan does not adequately address the departmental concerns.
 - The conditions contained in the attached report must be met prior to scheduling for Development Advisory Board.

Airport Planning Department
Signature: Lorena Mejia
Title: Senior Planner
Date: 9/7/17

AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLANNING

CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION REPORT



Project File No.: PDEV17-033
 Address: 1437 N. Mountain Avenue
 APN: 1008-431-21
 Existing Land Use: Vacant Lot
 Proposed Land Use: 3,233 SF fast food restaurant with drive-thru (Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers)
 Site Acreage: 0.81 Proposed Structure Height: 21 ft
 ONT-IAC Project Review: n/a
 Airport Influence Area: ONT

Reviewed By: Lorena Mejia
 Contact Info: 909-395-2276
 Project Planner: Jeanie Aguilo
 Date: 9/7/17
 CD No.: 2017-050
 PALU No.: n/a

The project is impacted by the following ONT ALUCP Compatibility Zones:

- | Safety | Noise Impact | Airspace Protection | Overflight Notification |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Zone 1 | <input type="radio"/> 75+ dB CNEL | <input type="radio"/> High Terrain Zone | <input type="radio"/> Avigation Easement Dedication |
| <input type="radio"/> Zone 1A | <input type="radio"/> 70 - 75 dB CNEL | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAA Notification Surfaces | <input type="radio"/> Recorded Overflight Notification |
| <input type="radio"/> Zone 2 | <input type="radio"/> 65 - 70 dB CNEL | <input type="radio"/> Airspace Obstruction Surfaces | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Real Estate Transaction Disclosure |
| <input type="radio"/> Zone 3 | <input type="radio"/> 60 - 65 dB CNEL | <input type="radio"/> Airspace Avigation Easement Area | |
| <input type="radio"/> Zone 4 | | Allowable Height: 200 ft + | |
| <input type="radio"/> Zone 5 | | | |

The project is impacted by the following Chino ALUCP Safety Zones:

- Zone 1
 Zone 2
 Zone 3
 Zone 4
 Zone 5
 Zone 6
 Allowable Height: _____

CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION

This proposed Project is: Exempt from the ALUCP
 ● Consistent
 Consistent with Conditions
 Inconsistent

The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport (ONT) and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) for ONT.

Airport Planner Signature: _____



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hassan Haghani, Development Director
Scott Murphy, Planning Director (Copy of Memo only)
Cathy Wahlstrom, Principal Planner (Copy of memo only)
Charity Hernandez, Economic Development
Kevin Shear, Building Official
Khoi Do, Assistant City Engineer
Carolyn Bell, Landscape Planning Division
Sheldon Yu, Municipal Utility Company
Doug Sorel, Police Department
Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Fire Marshal
Jay Bautista, T. E., Traffic/Transportation Manager
Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Steve Wilson, Engineering/NPDES
Bob Gluck, Code Enforcement Director
Jimmy Chang, IT Department
David Simpson, Development/IT (Copy of memo only)

FROM: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner

DATE: July 27, 2017

SUBJECT: **FILE #: PDEV17-033** Finance Acct#:

The following project has been submitted for review. Please send one (1) copy and email one (1) copy of your DAB report to the Planning Department by **Thursday, August 10, 2017**.

- Note:**
- Only DAB action is required
 - Both DAB and Planning Commission actions are required
 - Only Planning Commission action is required
 - DAB, Planning Commission and City Council actions are required
 - Only Zoning Administrator action is required

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Development Plan to construct a drive-thru restaurant for Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers totaling 3,233 square feet on approximately 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan Specific Plan (APN: 1008-431-21).

- The plan does adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
 - No comments
 - Report attached (1 copy and email 1 copy)
 - Standard Conditions of Approval apply

- The plan does not adequately address the departmental concerns.
 - The conditions contained in the attached report must be met prior to scheduling for Development Advisory Board.

Building
Department

Signature

Title

Date

CITY OF ONTARIO MEMORANDUM

TO: PLANNING DEPARTMENT, Jeanie Irene Aguilo
FROM: BUILDING DEPARTMENT, Kevin Shear
DATE: July 31, 2017
SUBJECT: PDEV17-033



-
- The plan **does** adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
- No comments
- Report below.

Conditions of Approval

1. Standard Conditions of Approval apply.

KS:lm



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hassan Haghani, Development Director
Scott Murphy, Planning Director (Copy of Memo only)
Cathy Wahlstrom, Principal Planner (Copy of memo only)
Charity Hernandez, Economic Development
Kevin Shear, Building Official
Khoi Do, Assistant City Engineer
Carolyn Bell, Landscape Planning Division
Sheldon Yu, Municipal Utility Company
Doug Sorel, Police Department
Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Fire Marshal
Jay Bautista, T. E., Traffic/Transportation Manager
Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Steve Wilson, Engineering/NPDES
Bob Gluck, Code Enforcement Director
Jimmy Chang, IT Department
David Simpson, Development/IT (Copy of memo only)

FROM: Jeanie Irene Aguilo, Assistant Planner

DATE: July 27, 2017

SUBJECT: FILE #: PDEV17-033 Finance Acct#:

The following project has been submitted for review. Please send one (1) copy and email one (1) copy of your DAB report to the Planning Department by **Thursday, August 10, 2017**.

- Note:**
- Only DAB action is required
 - Both DAB and Planning Commission actions are required
 - Only Planning Commission action is required
 - DAB, Planning Commission and City Council actions are required
 - Only Zoning Administrator action is required

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Development Plan to construct a drive-thru restaurant for Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers totaling 3,233 square feet on approximately 0.81 acres of land located at 1437 North Mountain Avenue within the Main Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan Specific Plan (APN: 1008-431-21).

- The plan does adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
 - No comments
 - Report attached (1 copy and email 1 copy)
 - Standard Conditions of Approval apply
- The plan does not adequately address the departmental concerns.
 - The conditions contained in the attached report must be met prior to scheduling for Development Advisory Board.

Code *J. D. Brown* *Supervisor* 7/31/17
Department Signature Title Date



**ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

(Environmental, Traffic/Transportation Division, Ontario Municipal Utilities Company
Information Technology and Management Services Department conditions incorporated herein)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEVELOPMENT PLAN <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> PARCEL MAP <input type="checkbox"/> TRACT MAP <input type="checkbox"/> FOR CONDOMINIUM PURPOSES
PROJECT FILE NO. PDEV17-033 RELATED FILE NO(S). _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REVISED: 6/27/18	

CITY PROJECT ENGINEER & PHONE NO: **Dean A. Williams Associate Engineer (909) 395-2135**


CITY PROJECT PLANNER & PHONE NO: **Jeanie Aguilo, Assistant Planner (909) 395-2418**


DAB MEETING DATE: **July 2, 2018**

PROJECT NAME / DESCRIPTION: **Raising Cane's Chicken Fingers 3,233 sf drive-thru restaurant on 0.81 acres within the Mountain Village Specific Plan**

LOCATION: **1437 North Mountain Avenue**

APPLICANT: **PMDG, Inc. Mr. Mo Mokled (909) 430-7017**

REVIEWED BY:  6/27/18
 Bryan Lirley, P.E. Date
 Principal Engineer

APPROVED BY:  6/27/18
 For Khoi Do, P.E. Date
 Assistant City Engineer



approved by the City Engineer, whichever is greater.

- 1.11 Provide a preliminary title report current to within 30 days.
- 1.12 File an application, together with an initial deposit (if required), to establish a Community Facilities District (CFD) pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community Facilities District Act of 1982. The application and fee shall be submitted a minimum of three (3) months prior to final subdivision map approval, and the CFD shall be established prior to final subdivision map approval or issuance of building permits, whichever occurs first. The CFD shall be established upon the subject property to provide funding for various City services. An annual special tax shall be levied upon each parcel or lot in an amount to be determined. The special tax will be collected along with annual property taxes. The City shall be the sole lead agency in the formation of any CFD. Contact Management Services at (909) 395-2353 to initiate the CFD application process.
- 1.13 New Model Colony (NMC) Developments:
 - 1) Provide evidence of final cancellation of Williamson Act contracts associated with this tract, prior to approval of any final subdivision map. Cancellation of contracts shall have been approved by the City Council.
 - 2) Provide evidence of sufficient storm water capacity availability equivalents (Certificate of Storm Water Treatment Equivalents).
 - 3) Provide evidence of sufficient water availability equivalents (Certificate of Net MDD Availability).
- 1.14 Other conditions: _____

2. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF ANY PERMITS, APPLICANT SHALL:

**A. GENERAL
 (Permits includes Grading, Building, Demolition and Encroachment)**

- 2.01 Record Parcel Map/Tract Map No. _____ pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act and in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code.
- 2.02 Submit a duplicate photo mylar of the recorded map to the City Engineer's office.
- 2.03 **Note that the subject parcel is comprised of two (2) recognized parcels in the City of Ontario per parcel 1 and parcel 2 of P.M. No. 3244, bk. 29, pg. 17 O.R. San Bernardino county. A lot line adjustment is required to consolidate the parcels.**
- 2.04 Note that the subject parcel is an 'unrecognized' parcel in the City of Ontario and shall require a Certificate of Compliance to be processed unless a deed is provided confirming the existence of the parcel prior to the date of _____ .
- 2.05 **Apply for a:** Certificate of Compliance with a Record of Survey; **Lot Line Adjustment**
 - Make a Dedication of Easement.
- 2.06 **Provide (original document) Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&R's), as applicable to the project, and as approved by the City Attorney and the Engineering and Planning Departments, ready for recordation with the County of San Bernardino. The CC&R's shall provide for, but not be limited to, common ingress and egress, joint maintenance of all common access improvements, common facilities, parking areas, utilities and drive approaches in addition to maintenance requirements established in the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), as applicable to the project.**
- 2.07 For all development occurring south of the Pomona Freeway (60-Freeway) and within the specified boundary limits (per Boundary Map found at <http://tceplumecleanup.com/>), the property developer/owner is made aware of the South Archibald Trichloroethylene (TCE) Plume "Disclosure Letter". Property owner may wish to provide this Letter as part of the Real Estate Transfer Disclosure requirements under California Civil Code Section 1102 et seq. This may include notifications in the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) or other documents related to property transfer and



disclosures. Additional information on the plume is available from the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board at http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T10000004658.

- 2.08 Submit a soils/geology report.**
- 2.09 Other Agency Permit/Approval: Submit a copy of the approved permit and/or other form of approval of the project from the following agency or agencies:
 - State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
 - San Bernardino County Road Department (SBCRD)
 - San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD)
 - Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
 - Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) for sewer/water service
 - United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
 - California Department of Fish & Game
 - Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA)
 - Other: _____
- 2.10 Dedicate to the City of Ontario the right-of-way described below:

_____ feet on _____

Property line corner 'cut-back' required at the intersection of _____ and _____.
- 2.11 Dedicate to the City of Ontario the following easement(s): _____
- 2.12 New Model Colony (NMC) Developments:
 - 1) Submit a copy of the permit from the San Bernardino County Health Department to the Engineering Department and the Ontario Municipal Utilities Company (OMUC) for the destruction/abandonment of the on-site water well. The well shall be destroyed/abandoned in accordance with the San Bernardino County Health Department guidelines.
 - 2) Make a formal request to the City of Ontario Engineering Department for the proposed temporary use of an existing agricultural water well for purposes other than agriculture, such as grading, dust control, etc. Upon approval, the Applicant shall enter into an agreement with the City of Ontario and pay any applicable fees as set forth by said agreement.
 - 3) Design proposed retaining walls to retain up to a maximum of three (3) feet of earth. In no case shall a wall exceed an overall height of nine (9) feet (i.e. maximum 6-foot high wall on top of a maximum 3-foot high retaining wall).
- 2.13 Submit a security deposit to the Engineering Department to guarantee construction of the public improvements required herein valued at 100% of the approved construction cost estimate. Security deposit shall be in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code. Security deposit will be eligible for release, in accordance with City procedure, upon completion and acceptance of said public improvements. 10% of the construction cost estimate shall be retained in accordance with City procedure for warranty security.**
- 2.14 The applicant/developer shall submit all necessary survey documents prepared by a Licensed Surveyor registered in the State of California detailing all existing survey monuments in and around the project site. These documents are to be reviewed and approved by the City Survey Office.
- 2.15 Pay all Development Impact Fees (DIF) to the Building Department. Storm Drain Development Impact Fee, approximately \$17,000.00, shall be paid to the Building Department. Final fee shall be determined based on the approved site plan.**



2.16 Other conditions: _____

B. PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS
 (See attached Exhibit 'A' for plan check submittal requirements.)

2.17 Design and construct full public improvements in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code, current City standards and specifications, master plans and the adopted specific plan for the area, if any. These public improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the following (checked boxes):

Improvement	Mountain Avenue	Sewer Easement	Future Main Street	Street 4
Curb and Gutter	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
AC Pavement	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions
PCC Pavement (Truck Route Only)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Drive Approach	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
Sidewalk	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
ADA Access Ramp	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
Parkway	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)
Raised Landscaped Median	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
Fire Hydrant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation



Sewer (see Sec. 2.C)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral
Water (see Sec. 2.D)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service
Recycled Water (see Sec. 2.E)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service
Traffic Signal System (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Traffic Signing and Striping (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Street Light (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation
Bus Stop Pad or Turn-out (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Storm Drain (see Sec. 2G)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral
Fiber Optics (see Sec. 2K)	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances
Overhead Utilities	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate
Removal of Improvements	_____	_____	_____	_____
Other Improvements	_____	_____	_____	_____

Specific notes for improvements listed in item no. 2.17, above: _____

- 2.18 Construct a 2" asphalt concrete (AC) grind and overlay on the following street(s): _____
- 2.19 Reconstruction of the full pavement structural section, per City of Ontario Standard Drawing number 1011, may be required based on the existing pavement condition and final street design. Minimum limits of reconstruction shall be along property frontage, from street centerline to curb/gutter.



- 2.20 Make arrangements with the Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) to provide water service sewer service to the site. This property is within the area served by the CVWD and Applicant shall provide documentation to the City verifying that all required CVWD fees have been paid.
- 2.21 Overhead utilities shall be under-grounded, in accordance with Title 7 of the City's Municipal Code (Ordinance No. 2804 and 2892). Developer may pay in-lieu fee, approximately _____, for undergrounding of utilities in accordance with Section 7-7.303.e of the City's Municipal Code.
- 2.22 Other conditions: _____

C. SEWER

- 2.23 **An 8-inch sewer main is available for connection by this project in the existing sewer easement along the west side of the property. (Ref: Sewer plan bar code: S11753)**
- 2.24 Design and construct a sewer main extension. A sewer main is not available for direct connection. The closest main is approximately _____ feet away.
- 2.25 Submit documentation that shows expected peak loading values for modeling the impact of the subject project to the existing sewer system. The project site is within a deficient public sewer system area. Applicant shall be responsible for all costs associated with the preparation of the model. Based on the results of the analysis, Applicant may be required to mitigate the project impact to the deficient public sewer system, including, but not limited to, upgrading of existing sewer main(s), construction of new sewer main(s) or diversion of sewer discharge to another sewer.
- 2.26 **Other conditions: 1) developer/applicant shall design and construct a sewer connection to the existing 8-inch main within the easement along the west property line.**
2) Developer/applicant (occupant) shall apply for a wastewater Discharge Permit for this establishment and shall comply with all requirements of the permit. Requirements of the permit may include, but not be limited to, installation of wastewater pretreatment equipment (clarifier). For Wastewater Discharge Permit application questions, contact Mr. Michael Birmelin, Environmental Programs Director at (909) 395-2661.

D. WATER

- 2.27 **A 12-inch water main is available for connection by this project in Mountain Avenue. (Ref: Water plan bar code: unknown)**
- 2.28 Design and construct a water main extension. A water main is not available for direct connection. The closest main is approximately _____ feet away.
- 2.29 **Other conditions: 1) Developer/applicant shall provide two (2) separate points of connection to the 12-inch water main in Mountain Avenue for domestic service and irrigation service with a backflow device.**
2) Developer/applicant shall construct a fire service connection to the 12-inch water main in Mountain Avenue with a DCDA in accordance with City standards.
3) Developer/applicant shall up-grade the existing fire hydrant on project to current City standard.

E. RECYCLED WATER

- 2.30 A _____ inch recycled water main is available for connection by this project in _____. (Ref: Recycled Water plan bar code: _____)
- 2.31 Design and construct an on-site recycled water system for this project. A recycled water main does exist in the vicinity of this project.
- 2.32 Design and construct an on-site recycled water ready system for this project. A recycled water main does not currently exist in the vicinity of this project, but is planned for the near future. If Applicant would like to connect to this recycled water main when it becomes available, the cost for the connection shall be borne solely by the Applicant.



- 2.33 Submit two (2) hard copies and one (1) electronic copy, in PDF format, of the Engineering Report (ER), for the use of recycled water, to the OMUC for review and subsequent submittal to the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) for final approval.

Note: The OMUC and the CDPH review and approval process will be approximately three (3) months. Contact the Ontario Municipal Utilities Company at (909) 395-2687 regarding this requirement.

- 2.34 Other conditions: _____

F. TRAFFIC / TRANSPORTATION

- 2.35 Submit a focused traffic impact study, prepared and signed by a Traffic/Civil Engineer registered in the State of California. The study shall address, but not be limited to, the following issues as required by the City Engineer:
 - 1. On-site and off-site circulation
 - 2. Traffic level of service (LOS) at 'build-out' and future years
 - 3. Impact at specific intersections as selected by the City Engineer
- 2.36 New traffic signal installations shall be added to Southern California Edison (SCE) customer account number # 2-20-044-3877.
- 2.37 Other conditions: _____

G. DRAINAGE / HYDROLOGY

- 2.38 A _____ inch storm drain main is available to accept flows from this project in _____.
(Ref: Storm Drain plan bar code: _____)
- 2.39 **Submit a hydrology study and drainage analysis, prepared and signed by a Civil Engineer registered in the State of California. The study shall be prepared in accordance with the San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual and City of Ontario standards and guidelines. Additional drainage facilities, including, but not limited to, improvements beyond the project frontage, may be required to be designed and constructed, by Applicant, as a result of the findings of this study.**
- 2.40 **An adequate drainage facility to accept additional runoff from the site does not currently exist downstream of the project. Design and construct a storm water detention facility on the project site. 100 year post-development peak flow shall be attenuated such that it does not exceed 80% of pre-development peak flows, in accordance with the approved hydrology study and improvement plans.**
- 2.41 **Submit a copy of a recorded private drainage easement or drainage acceptance agreement to the Engineering Department for the acceptance of any increase to volume and/or concentration of historical drainage flows onto adjacent property, prior to approval of the grading plan for the project.**
- 2.42 Comply with the City of Ontario Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance (Ordinance No. 2409). The project site or a portion of the project site is within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) as indicated on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) and is subject to flooding during a 100 year frequency storm. The site plan shall be subject to the provisions of the National Flood Insurance Program.
- 2.43 Other conditions: _____

H. STORM WATER QUALITY / NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE AND ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)

- 2.44 401 Water Quality Certification/404 Permit – Submit a copy of any applicable 401 Certification or 404 Permit for the subject project to the City project engineer. Development that will affect any body of surface water (i.e. lake, creek, open drainage channel, etc.) may require a 401 Water Quality Certification from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region (RWQCB) and a 404 Permit from the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). The groups of water bodies classified in these requirements are perennial (flow year round) and ephemeral (flow during rain conditions, only) and include, but are not limited to, direct connections into San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD) channels.
If a 401 Certification and/or a 404 Permit are not required, a letter confirming this from Applicant's



engineer shall be submitted.
 Contact information: USACE (Los Angeles District) (213) 452-3414; RWQCB (951) 782-4130.

- 2.45 **Submit a Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP). This plan shall be approved by the Engineering Department prior to approval of any grading plan. The WQMP shall be submitted, utilizing the current San Bernardino County Stormwater Program template, available at: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/land/npdes.asp>.**
- 2.46 Other conditions: _____

J. SPECIAL DISTRICTS

- 2.47 File an application, together with an initial payment deposit (if required), to establish a Community Facilities District (CFD) pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community facilities District Act of 1982. The application and fee shall be submitted a minimum three (3) months prior to final subdivision map approval, and the CFD shall be established prior to final subdivision map approval or issuance of building permits, whichever occurs first. The CFD shall be established upon the subject property to provide funding for various City services. An annual special tax shall be levied upon each parcel or lot in an amount to be determined. The special tax will be collected along with annual property taxes. The City shall be the sole lead agency in the formation of any CFD. Contact the Management Services Department at (909) 395-2353 to initiate the CFD application process.
- 2.48 Other conditions: _____

K. FIBER OPTIC

- 2.49 Design and construct fiber optic system to provide access to the City's conduit and fiber optic system per the City's Fiber Optic Master Plan. Building entrance conduits shall start from the closest OntarioNet hand hole constructed along the project frontage in the ROW and shall terminate in the main telecommunications room for each building. Conduit infrastructure shall interconnect with the primary and/or secondary backbone fiber optic conduit system at the nearest OntarioNet hand hole. **Generally located _____**, see Fiber Optic Exhibit herein.
- 2.50 Refer to the City's Fiber Optic Master Plan for design and layout guidelines. Contact the Information Technology Department at (909) 395-2000, regarding this requirement.

L. Solid Waste

- 2.51 **Onsite solid waste shall be designed in accordance with the City's Solid Waste Manual location at: <http://www.ontarioca.gov/municipal-utilities-company/solid-waste>**
- 2.52 Other conditions: _____

3. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, APPLICANT SHALL:

- 3.01 Set new monuments in place of any monuments that have been damaged or destroyed as a result of construction of the subject project. Monuments shall be set in accordance with City of Ontario standards and to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.
- 3.02 Complete all requirements for recycled water usage.
 - 1) Procure from the OMUC a copy of the letter of confirmation from the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) that the Engineering Report (ER) has been reviewed and the subject site is approved for the use of recycled water.
 - 2) Obtain clearance from the OMUC confirming completion of recycled water improvements and passing of shutdown tests and cross connection inspection, upon availability/usage of recycled water.
 - 3) Complete education training of on-site personnel in the use of recycled water, in accordance with the ER, upon availability/usage of recycled water.
- 3.03 The applicant/developer shall submit all final survey documents prepared by a Licensed Surveyor registered in the State of California detailing all survey monuments that have been preserved, revised, adjusted or set along with any maps, corner records or Records of Survey needed to comply with these Conditions of Approvals and the latest edition of the California Professional Land Survey Act. These documents are to be reviewed and approved by the City Survey Office.



- 3.04 NMC Projects: For developments located at an intersection of any two collector or arterial streets, the applicant/developer shall set a monument if one does not already exist at that intersection. Contact the City Survey office for information on reference benchmarks, acceptable methodology and required submittals.
- 3.05 Confirm payment of all Development Impact Fees (DIF) to the Building Department.
- 3.06 Submit electronic copies (PDF and Auto CAD format) of all approved improvement plans, studie and reports (i.e. hydrology, traffic, WQMP, etc.).



EXHIBIT 'A'

**ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
First Plan Check Submittal Checklist**

Project Number: PDEV 17-033

The following items are required to be included with the first plan check submittal:

1. **A copy of this check list**
2. **Payment of fee for Plan Checking**
3. **One (1) copy of Engineering Cost Estimate (on City form) with engineer's wet signature and stamp.**
4. **One (1) copy of project Conditions of Approval**
5. Two (2) sets of Potable and Recycled Water demand calculations (include water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size).
6. Three (3) sets of Public Street improvement plan with street cross-sections
7. Three (3) sets of Private Street improvement plan with street cross-sections
8. Four (4) sets of Public Water improvement plan (include water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size)
9. Four (4) sets of Recycled Water improvement plan (include recycled water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size and an exhibit showing the limits of areas being irrigated by each recycled water meter)
10. Four (4) sets of Public Sewer improvement plan
11. Five (5) sets of Public Storm Drain improvement plan
12. Three (3) sets of Public Street Light improvement plan
13. Three (3) sets of Signing and Striping improvement plan
14. Three (3) sets of Fiber Optic plan (include Auto CAD electronic submittal)
15. Three (3) sets of Dry Utility plans within public right-of-way (at a minimum the plans must show existing and ultimate right-of-way, curb and gutter, proposed utility location including centerline dimensions, wall to wall clearances between proposed utility and adjacent public line, street work repaired per Standard Drawing No. 1306. Include Auto CAD electronic submittal)
16. Three (3) sets of Traffic Signal improvement plan and One (1) copy of Traffic Signal Specifications with modified Special Provisions. Please contact the Traffic Division at (909) 395-2154 to obtain Traffic Signal Specifications.
17. **Two (2) copies of Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), including one (1) copy of the approved Preliminary WQMP (PWQMP).**
18. **One (1) copy of Hydrology/Drainage study**
19. **One (1) copy of Soils/Geology report**
20. Payment for Final Map/Parcel Map processing fee
21. Three (3) copies of Final Map/Parcel Map
22. One (1) copy of approved Tentative Map
23. **One (1) copy of Preliminary Title Report (current within 30 days)**



- 24. One (1) copy of Traverse Closure Calculations
- 25. One (1) set of supporting documents and maps (legible copies): referenced improvement plans (full size), referenced record final maps/parcel maps (full size, 18"x26"), Assessor's Parcel map (full size, 11"x17"), recorded documents such as deeds, lot line adjustments, easements, etc.
- 26. Two (2) copies of Engineering Report and an electronic file (include PDF format electronic submittal) for recycled water use
- 27. Other: _____



Development Advisory Board Decision

July 2, 2018

DECISION NO.: [insert #]

FILE NO.: PDEV17-047

DESCRIPTION: A Development Plan (File No. PDEV17-047) to construct a 39,056 square foot athletic center (gymnasium and student center) on approximately 26 acres of land within the LDR-5 (Low Density Residential) zoning district, located at 931 West Philadelphia Street (Ontario Christian High School); APNs: 1015-151-01, 1015-171-01, 1015-141-04, 1015-141-05, 1015-141-06 and 1015-141-12; **submitted by Ontario Christian School Association.**

Part I—BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS

ONTARIO CHRISTIAN SCHOOL ASSOCIATION, (herein after referred to as "Applicant") has filed an application requesting Development Plan approval, File No. PDEV17-047, as described in the subject of this Decision (herein after referred to as "Application" or "Project").

(1) **Project Setting:** The project site is comprised of approximately 26 acres of land located at 931 West Philadelphia Street, and is depicted in Exhibit A: Aerial Photograph, attached. Existing land uses, General Plan and zoning designations, and specific plan land uses on and surrounding the project site are as follows:

	<i>Existing Land Use</i>	<i>General Plan Designation</i>	<i>Zoning Designation</i>	<i>Specific Plan Land Use</i>
<i>Site</i>	High School	LDR (Low Density Residential)	LDR-5 (Low Density Residential)	n/a
<i>North</i>	Single Family and Multi-Family Residential	LDR/MDR (Low Density Residential/Medium Density Residential)	LDR-5/MDR-18 (Low Density Residential/Medium Density Residential)	n/a
<i>South</i>	SR60 FWY			
<i>East</i>	Single Family Residential	LDR (Low Density Residential)	LDR-5 (Low Density Residential)	n/a
<i>West</i>	commercial shopping center & restaurants	NC (Neighborhood Commercial)	CN (Commercial Neighborhood)	n/a

(2) **Background/Phasing Plan:** On August 25, 2009 the Planning Commission approved a Mitigated Negative Declaration and Conditional Use Permit (File No. PCUP08-028), for a development Phasing Plan for Ontario Christian High School (OCHS) that included 8 phases. The phasing plan allowed for student enrollment to increase from 700 to 1,200 students. OCHS was established in 1944 and the 26-acre site currently has 4 classroom buildings, a gymnasium, a chapel, a science center, a library, a bus yard, a football field (with existing lights and public address system), a baseball field and a softball field. The southern half of OCHS extends into the City of Chino, as depicted Exhibit A, which consists of the baseball field and football field. The following is a description of each approved phase and improvements completed to date:

- a) **Phase 1:** Phase 1 consisted of the following improvements that have not been completed to date:
- The addition of 4 classrooms, totaling approximately 4,000 square feet, located on the west side of the property;
 - A lobby addition to the existing gymnasium that will total approximately 1,072 square feet;
 - A patio cover extension to the existing Student Center that will total approximately 1,033 square feet;
 - The removal of an existing driveway approach on the west side of the property and paving/re-stripping of the existing parking lot on the west side of the property; and
 - The installation of a new planter on the northwest corner of the property, where a driveway approach is being removed.
- b) **Phase 2:** Phase 2 consisted of the following improvements and to date only the construction of a new Philadelphia Street driveway entrance at the eastern edge of the property has been completed:
- The addition of a standalone classroom building on the west side consisting of 12 classrooms totaling 13,400 square feet;
 - A library addition totaling 1,500 square feet;
 - The addition of two (2) picnic shelters;
 - The construction of a new Philadelphia Street driveway at the eastern edge of the property, accessing a new parking lot with 102 parking spaces;
 - The construction of a new Fire Road access from Cypress Avenue and 57 new parking spaces;
 - The construction of a new bus loading/unloading area;
 - The addition of one fire hydrant; and
 - Street right-of-way improvements along Philadelphia Street and Cypress Avenue.
- c) **Phase 3:** Phase 3 consisted of the following improvements and to date none have been completed:
- The construction of an athletic center that totals 65,600 square feet;
 - The addition of a new driveway and parking lot with required fire turn-around access and additional planter areas;
 - Baseball and softball field back-stop relocation and field improvements on the southwest side of the property; and
 - The demolition the existing bus barn and equestrian center and grading of the area; and
 - The completion of 302 parking spaces.
- d) **Phase 4:** Phase 4 consisted of the following improvements and to date none have been completed:
- A new baseball field, soccer field and tennis courts located at the northeast corner of the project site.
- e) **Phase 5:** Phase 5 consisted of the following improvements and to date none have been completed:

- The construction a new regulation track around the existing football field that will extend the existing track further west;
 - The demolition of existing bleachers, snack bar and field equipment storage; and
 - The construction of a new Sports Center, which includes bleachers, athletic storage, student store, snack bar, restrooms and announcer booth to be constructed where the existing bleachers are located.
- f) **Phase 6:** Phase 6 consisted of a two-story classroom building totaling approximately 13,400 square feet with the capacity for up to 12 classrooms. To date the improvements have been completed.
- g) **Phase 7:** Phase 7 consisted of an Alumni Center totaling approximately 10,400 square feet and, seven additional parking stalls located along Philadelphia Street. To date the improvements have been completed.
- h) **Phase 8:** Phase 8 consisted of a new classroom building totaling 6,300 square feet and the relocation of the original school house. To date the improvements have been completed.

(3) **Project Description:** The proposed Development Plan is for the construction of a 39,056 square foot athletic center (gymnasium and student center), improvements to Parking Lot C and the addition of Parking Lots D and E. The proposed project represents portions of Phase 2 and 3 of the approved phasing plan. The three parking lots being improved/added are part of Phase 2 improvements and the athletic center is part of Phase 3 improvements. The phasing plan allowed for a 65,600 square foot athletic center, however the proposed facility is 26,544 square feet smaller and does not exceed square footage limits approved in the phasing plan.

The proposed athletic center will be located within the center portion of the campus, just to the west parking lots D and E and southwest of parking lot C (**See Exhibit "B", Site Plan**). Access to the athletic center and parking lots will be provided from Philadelphia Street via a 26-foot driveway, located east of parking lot C, and from Cypress Avenue via 26-foot driveway. Parking Lot C is an existing parking lot that has been reconfigured and improved with additional landscaping planters totaling 26 parking spaces. Parking lot D is located directly east of the athletic center and consists of a 101 parking spaces. Parking Lot E is located between parking lot D and Cypress Avenue and consists of 60 parking spaces that will remain unpaved. Lot E shall be paved as part of Phase 5 (football stadium) improvements. The improvements will result in 331 parking spaces that exceed the 309 parking spaces required in the phasing plan.

The square shaped athletic center consists of two floors with the main entrance facing east towards parking lot D and secondary entrances located along the north facing elevation. The athletic center floor plan is described further below:

- a) First Floor totals 32,487 square feet and includes the following:
- 13,366 square foot gymnasium;
 - 135 square foot boosters section;
 - 1,047 square foot lobby;
 - 354 square foot café;
 - 570 square foot women's/men's restroom;
 - 361 square foot snack bar;
 - 266 square foot conference room;
 - 414 square foot coaches/athletic director office;
 - 519 square foot training room;
 - 200 square foot hydro room;

- 386 square foot receptionist/offices;
 - 2,733 square foot weight training center;
 - 5,099 square feet for boys/girls/coaches locker rooms; and
 - 1,657 square foot storage/equipment rooms.
- b) Second Floor totals 6,569 square feet and includes the following:
- 892 square foot exterior balcony;
 - 4 classrooms totaling 3,735 square feet;
 - 320 square foot fitness room;
 - 318 square foot kitchen; and
 - 233 square foot men's/women's bathrooms.

The proposed architecture for the athletic center will match the schools existing architecture and color scheme. The proposed building will be constructed with metal stud framing with a smooth stucco exterior finish with horizontal and vertical reveals, clear glazing and a cool gray split-face block base along the southern and eastern elevations. The building has an earth tone color palette and incorporates light grey, green and brown colors with a metal canopies over the northern entrance and windows on along the first and second story southern elevation. Also, the mechanical equipment will be roof-mounted and obscured from public view by the parapet walls.

The project provides landscaping along both street frontages, the perimeter of the site and throughout the parking areas. A total of 55% of the site is covered with landscaping.

Public utilities (water and sewer) are available to serve the project. Furthermore, the Applicant has submitted a Preliminary Water Quality Management Plan (PWQMP) which establishes the project's compliance with storm water discharge/water quality requirements. The PWQMP includes site design measures that capture runoff and pollutant transport by minimizing impervious surfaces and maximizes low impact development (LID) best management practices (BMPs), such as retention and infiltration. The proposed development will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern. The onsite drainage will be conveyed by local gutters and pipes to an underground storm drain system. Any overflow drainage will continue south towards the existing football and baseball fields.

Part II—RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Application is a project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) ("CEQA"); and

WHEREAS, the environmental impacts of this project were previously reviewed in conjunction with File No. PCUP08-028, a Conditional Use Permit for which a Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted by the Planning Commission on August 25, 2009, and this Application introduces no new significant environmental impacts; and

WHEREAS, the City's "Local Guidelines for the Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)" provide for the use of a single environmental assessment in situations where the impacts of subsequent projects are adequately analyzed; and

WHEREAS, the Application is a project pursuant to CEQA (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.), and an initial study has been prepared to determine possible environmental impacts; and

WHEREAS, Ontario Development Code Table 2.02-1 (Review Matrix) grants the Development Advisory Board ("DAB") the responsibility and authority to review and act on the subject Application; and

WHEREAS, all members of the DAB of the City of Ontario were provided the opportunity to review and comment on the Application, and no comments were received opposing the proposed development; and

WHEREAS, the Project has been reviewed for consistency with the Housing Element of the Policy Plan component of The Ontario Plan, as State Housing Element law (as prescribed in Government Code Sections 65580 through 65589.8) requires that development projects must be consistent with the Housing Element, if upon consideration of all its aspects, it is found to further the purposes, principals, goals, and policies of the Housing Element; and

WHEREAS, the Project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, which encompasses lands within parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties, and is subject to, and must be consistent with, the policies and criteria set forth in the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan ("ALUCP"), which applies only to jurisdictions within San Bernardino County, and addresses the noise, safety, airspace protection, and overflight impacts of current and future airport activity; and

WHEREAS, City of Ontario Development Code Division 2.03 (Public Hearings) prescribes the manner in which public notification shall be provided and hearing procedures to be followed, and all such notifications and procedures have been completed;

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2018, the DAB of the City of Ontario conducted a hearing on the Application and concluded said hearing on that date; and

WHEREAS, all legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Decision have occurred.

Part III—THE DECISION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED by the Development Advisory Board of the City of Ontario, as follows:

SECTION 1: *Environmental Determination and Findings.* As the "decision-making" body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the information contained in the previous "MND" and supporting documentation. Based upon the facts and information contained in the previous "MND" and supporting documentation, the DAB finds as follows:

(1) The environmental impacts of this project were previously reviewed in conjunction with File No. File No. PCUP08-028, a Conditional Use Permit for which a Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted by the Planning Commission on August 25, 2009.

(2) The previous "MND" contains a complete and accurate reporting of the environmental impacts associated with the Project; and

(3) The previous "MND" was completed in compliance with CEQA and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder, and the City of Ontario Local CEQA Guidelines; and

(4) The previous "MND" reflects the independent judgment of the Planning Commission; and

(5) The proposed project will introduce no new significant environmental impacts beyond those previously analyzed in the previous "MND", and all mitigation measures previously adopted with the "MND", are incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 3: Housing Element Compliance. Pursuant to the requirements of California Government Code Chapter 3, Article 10.6, commencing with Section 65580, as the “decision-making” body for the Project, the DAB finds that based on the facts and information contained in the Application and supporting documentation, at the time of Project implementation, the project is consistent with the Housing Element of the Policy Plan (General Plan) component of The Ontario Plan, as the project site is not one of the properties in the Available Land Inventory contained in Table A-3 (Available Land by Planning Area) of the Housing Element Technical Report Appendix.

SECTION 4: Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (“ALUCP”) Compliance. The California State Aeronautics Act (Public Utilities Code Section 21670 et seq.) requires that an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan be prepared for all public use airports in the State; and requires that local land use plans and individual development proposals must be consistent with the policies set forth in the adopted Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. On April 19, 2011, the City Council of the City of Ontario approved and adopted the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (“ALUCP”), establishing the Airport Influence Area for Ontario International Airport (“ONT”), which encompasses lands within parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties, and limits future land uses and development within the Airport Influence Area, as they relate to noise, safety, airspace protection, and overflight impacts of current and future airport activity. As the “decision-making” body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the facts and information contained in the Application and supporting documentation against the ALUCP compatibility factors, including [1] Safety Criteria (ALUCP Table 2-2) and Safety Zones (ALUCP Map 2-2), [2] Noise Criteria (ALUCP Table 2-3) and Noise Impact Zones (ALUCP Map 2-3), [3] Airspace protection Zones (ALUCP Map 2-4), and [4] Overflight Notification Zones (ALUCP Map 2-5). As a result, the DAB, therefore, finds and determines that the Project, when implemented in conjunction with the conditions of approval, will be consistent with the policies and criteria set forth within the ALUCP.

SECTION 5: Concluding Facts and Reasons. Based upon the substantial evidence presented to the DAB during the above-referenced hearing and upon the specific findings set forth in Sections 1 through 4, above, the DAB hereby concludes as follows:

(1) ***The proposed development at the proposed location is consistent with the goals, policies, plans and exhibits of the Vision, Policy Plan (General Plan), and City Council Priorities components of The Ontario Plan.*** The proposed Project is located within the LDR (Low Density Residential) land use district of the Policy Plan Land Use Map, and the LDR-5 zoning district. The development standards and conditions under which the proposed Project will be constructed and maintained, is consistent with the goals, policies, plans, and exhibits of the Vision, Policy Plan (General Plan), and City Council Priorities components of The Ontario Plan; and

(2) ***The proposed development is compatible with those on adjoining sites in relation to location of buildings, with particular attention to privacy, views, any physical constraint identified on the site and the characteristics of the area in which the site is located.*** The Project has been designed consistent with the requirements of the City of Ontario Development Code and the LDR-5 zoning district, including standards relative to the particular land use proposed (high school), as-well-as building intensity, building and parking setbacks, building height, number of off-street parking and loading spaces, on-site and off-site landscaping, and fences, walls and obstructions; and

(3) ***The proposed development will complement and/or improve upon the quality of existing development in the vicinity of the project and the minimum safeguards necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare have been required of the proposed project.*** The Development Advisory Board has required certain safeguards, and impose certain conditions of approval, which have been established to ensure that: [i] the purposes of the “Development Code” are maintained; [ii] the project will not endanger the public health, safety or general welfare; [iii] the project will not result in any significant environmental impacts; [iv] the project will be in harmony with the area in which it is located;

and [v] the project will be in full conformity with the Vision, City Council Priorities and Policy Plan components of The Ontario Plan; and

(4) ***The proposed development is consistent with the development standards and design guidelines set forth in the Development Code, or applicable specific plan or planned unit development.*** The proposed Project has been reviewed for consistency with the general development standards and guidelines of the “Development Code” that are applicable to the proposed Project, including building intensity, building and parking setbacks, building height, amount of off-street parking and loading spaces, parking lot dimensions, design and landscaping, bicycle parking, on-site landscaping, and fences and walls, as-well-as those development standards and guidelines specifically related to the particular land use being proposed (high school). As a result of this review, the Development Advisory Board has determined that the Project, when implemented in conjunction with the conditions of approval, will be consistent with the development standards and guidelines described in the “Development Code”.

SECTION 6: *Development Advisory Board Action.* Based on the findings and conclusions set forth in Sections 1 through 5, above, the DAB hereby APPROVES the Application subject to each and every condition set forth in the Department reports included as Attachment A of this Decision and incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 7: *Indemnification.* The Applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul this approval. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

SECTION 8: *Custodian of Records.* The documents and materials that constitute the record of proceedings on which these findings have been based are located at the City of Ontario City Hall, 303 East “B” Street, Ontario, California 91764. The custodian for these records is the City Clerk of the City of Ontario. The records are available for inspection by any interested person, upon request.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 2nd day of July 2018.

Development Advisory Board Chairman

Exhibit A—PROJECT LOCATION MAP



Exhibit B—SITE PLAN







Exhibit C—EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS




North Elevation



East Elevation



South Elevation



West Elevation




NORTH-EAST VIEW



EAST VIEW

RENDERINGS



WHISPER | EPT-1
DEW340



DILL GRASS | EPT-3
DE5536



PLAY ON GRAY | EPT-2
DE6228



LOG CABIN | EPT-4
DEA162



COOL GRAY | SPLIT FACE
ORCO BLOCK



ARCADIA | WILSON PARTITIONS
ARCADIA #11 / CLEAR AC-2




SOLARBAN | 70 XL (2)
CLEAR GLASS
INSULATING GLASS UNIT

COLORS & MATERIALS



NEW GYMNASIUM & STUDENT CENTER
 Ontario Christian High School | Ontario, CA





Attachment A—Departmental Conditions of Approval

(Departmental conditions of approval follow this page)



City of Ontario
Planning Department
303 East B Street
Ontario, California 91764
Phone: 909.395.2036
Fax: 909.395.2420

*Planning Department
Land Development Division
Conditions of Approval*

Meeting Date: July 2, 2018

File No: PDEV17-047

Related Files: PCUP08-028

Project Description: A Development Plan (File No. PDEV17-047) to construct a 39,056 square foot athletic center (gymnasium and student center) on approximately 26 acres of land within the LDR-5 (Low Density Residential) zoning district, located at 931 West Philadelphia Street (Ontario Christian High School); (APNs: 1015-151-01, 1015-171-01, 1015-141-04, 1015-141-05, 1015-141-06 and 1015-141-12); **submitted by Ontario Christian School Association.**

Prepared By: Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Phone: 909.395.2276 (direct)
Email: lmejia@ontarioca.gov

The Planning Department, Land Development Section, conditions of approval applicable to the above-described Project, are listed below. The Project shall comply with each condition of approval listed below:

1.0 Standard Conditions of Approval. The project shall comply with the *Standard Conditions for New Development*, adopted by City Council Resolution No. 2017-027 on April 18, 2017. A copy of the *Standard Conditions for New Development* may be obtained from the Planning Department or City Clerk/Records Management Department.

2.0 Special Conditions of Approval. In addition to the *Standard Conditions for New Development* identified in condition no. 1.0, above, the project shall comply with the following special conditions of approval:

2.1 Time Limits.

(a) Development Plan approval shall become null and void 2 years following the effective date of application approval, unless a building permit is issued and construction is commenced, and diligently pursued toward completion, or a time extension has been approved by the Planning Director. This condition does not supersede any individual time limits specified herein, or any other departmental conditions of approval applicable to the Project, for the performance of specific conditions or improvements.

2.2 General Requirements. The Project shall comply with the following general requirements:

(a) All construction documentation shall be coordinated for consistency, including, but not limited to, architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, landscape and irrigation, grading, utility and street improvement plans. All such plans shall be consistent with the approved entitlement plans on file with the Planning Department.

(b) The project site shall be developed in conformance with the approved plans on file with the City. Any variation from the approved plans must be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to building permit issuance.

(c) The herein-listed conditions of approval from all City departments shall be included in the construction plan set for project, which shall be maintained on site during project construction.

2.3 Landscaping.

(a) The Project shall provide and continuously maintain landscaping and irrigation systems in compliance with the provisions of Ontario Development Code Division 6.05 (Landscaping).

(b) Comply with the conditions of approval of the Planning Department; Landscape Planning Division.

(c) Landscaping shall not be installed until the Landscape and Irrigation Construction Documentation Plans required by Ontario Development Code Division 6.05 (Landscaping) have been approved by the Landscape Planning Division.

(d) Changes to approved Landscape and Irrigation Construction Documentation Plans, which affect the character or quantity of the plant material or irrigation system design, shall be resubmitted for approval of the revision by the Landscape Planning Division, prior to the commencement of the changes.

2.4 Walls and Fences. All Project walls and fences shall comply with the requirements of Ontario Development Code Division 6.02 (Walls, Fences and Obstructions).

2.5 Parking, Circulation and Access.

(a) The Project shall comply with the applicable off-street parking, loading and lighting requirements of City of Ontario Development Code Division 6.03 (Off-Street Parking and Loading).

(b) Areas provided to meet the City's parking requirements, including off-street parking and loading spaces, access drives, and maneuvering areas, shall not be used for the outdoor storage of materials and equipment, nor shall it be used for any other purpose than parking.

(c) The required number of off-street parking spaces and/or loading spaces shall be provided at the time of site and/or building occupancy. All parking and loading spaces shall be maintained in good condition for the duration of the building or use.

(d) Parking spaces specifically designated and conveniently located for use by the physically disabled shall be provided pursuant to current accessibility regulations contained in State law (CCR Title 24, Part 2, Chapters 2B71, and CVC Section 22507.8).

(e) Bicycle parking facilities, including bicycle racks, lockers, and other secure facilities, shall be provided in conjunction with development projects pursuant to current regulations contained in CALGreen (CAC Title 24, Part 11).

2.6 Site Lighting.

(a) All off-street parking facilities shall be provided with nighttime security lighting pursuant to Ontario Municipal Code Section 4-11.08 (Special Residential Building Provisions) and Section 4-11.09 (Special Commercial/Industrial Building Provisions), designed to confine emitted light to the parking areas. Parking facilities shall be lighted from sunset until sunrise, daily, and shall be operated by a photocell switch.

(b) Unless intended as part of a master lighting program, no operation, activity, or lighting fixture shall create illumination on any adjacent property.

2.7 Mechanical and Rooftop Equipment.

(a) All exterior roof-mounted mechanical, heating and air conditioning equipment, and all appurtenances thereto, shall be completely screened from public view by parapet walls or roof screens that are architecturally treated so as to be consistent with the building architecture.

(b) All ground-mounted utility equipment and structures, such as tanks, transformers, HVAC equipment, and backflow prevention devices, shall be located out of view from a public street, or adequately screened through the use of landscaping and/or decorative low garden walls.

2.8 Security Standards. The Project shall comply with all applicable requirements of Ontario Municipal Code Title 4 (Public Safety), Chapter 11 (Security Standards for Buildings).

2.9 Signs. All Project signage shall comply with the requirements of Ontario Development Code Division 8.1 (Sign Regulations).

2.10 Sound Attenuation. The Project shall be constructed and operated in a manner so as not to exceed the maximum interior and exterior noised levels set forth in Ontario Municipal Code Title 5 (Public Welfare, Morals, and Conduct), Chapter 29 (Noise).

2.11 Environmental Review.

(a) The environmental impacts of this project were previously reviewed in conjunction with File No. PCUP08-028, a Conditional Use Permit for which a Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted by the Planning Commission on August 25, 2009. This application introduces no new significant environmental impacts. The City's "Guidelines for the Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)" provide for the use of a single environmental assessment in situations where the impacts of subsequent projects are adequately analyzed. The previously adopted mitigation measures shall be a condition of project approval, and are incorporated herein by this reference.

(b) If human remains are found during project grading/excavation/construction activities, the area shall not be disturbed until any required investigation is completed by the County Coroner and Native American consultation has been completed (if deemed applicable).

(c) If any archeological or paleontological resources are found during project grading/excavation/construction, the area shall not be disturbed until the significance of the resource is determined. If determined to be significant, the resource shall be recovered by a qualified archeologist or paleontologist consistent with current standards and guidelines, or other appropriate measures implemented.

2.12 Indemnification. The applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the City of Ontario, whether by its City Council, Planning Commission or other authorized board or officer. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

2.13 Additional Fees.

(a) Within 5 days following final application approval, the Notice of Determination (NOD) filing fee shall be provided to the Planning Department. The fee shall be paid by check, made payable to the "Clerk of the Board of Supervisors", which shall be forwarded to the San Bernardino County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, along with all applicable environmental forms/notices, pursuant to the

requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Failure to provide said fee within the time specified may result in a 180-day extension to the statute of limitations for the filing of a CEQA lawsuit.

(b) After the Project's entitlement approval, and prior to issuance of final building permits, the Planning Department's Plan Check and Inspection fees shall be paid at the rate established by resolution of the City Council.

2.14 Additional Requirements.

(a) Lot E shall be completely paved and landscaped as part of Phase 5 (football stadium) improvements.



**ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

(Environmental, Traffic/Transportation Division, Ontario Municipal Utilities Company
Information Technology and Management Services Department conditions incorporated herein)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEVELOPMENT PLAN <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> PARCEL MAP <input type="checkbox"/> TRACT MAP <input type="checkbox"/> FOR CONDOMINIUM PURPOSES
PROJECT FILE NO. PDEV17-047 RELATED FILE NO(S). PCUP08-028	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED: __/__/__	

CITY PROJECT ENGINEER & PHONE NO: **Dean A. Williams, Associate Engineer (909) 395-2135**

CITY PROJECT PLANNER & PHONE NO: **Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner (909) 395-2276**


DAB MEETING DATE: **July 2, 2018**

PROJECT NAME / DESCRIPTION: **Ontario Christian High School
39,056 sf Gymnasium/Student Center**

LOCATION: **931 West Philadelphia Street**


APPLICANT: **Ontario Christian School Association; Michael Bern
(951) 530-8778**

REVIEWED BY:


 Bryan Lirley, P.E.
 Principal Engineer


 Date

APPROVED BY:


 Khoi Do, P.E.
 Assistant City Engineer


 Date



THIS PROJECT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE GENERAL STANDARD CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL (RESOLUTION NO. 2017-027) AND THE PROJECT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL SPECIFIED IN HEREIN. ONLY APPLICABLE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ARE CHECKED. THE APPLICANT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ALL APPLICABLE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL PRIOR TO FINAL MAP OR PARCEL MAP APPROVAL, ISSUANCE OF PERMITS AND/OR OCCUPANCY CLEARANCE, AS SPECIFIED IN THIS REPORT.

1. PRIOR TO FINAL MAP OR PARCEL MAP APPROVAL, APPLICANT SHALL: Check When Complete

- 1.01 Dedicate to the City of Ontario, the right-of-way, described below:
_____ feet on _____
Property line corner 'cut-back' required at the intersection of _____
and _____.
- 1.02 Dedicate to the City of Ontario, the following easement(s): _____

- 1.03 Restrict vehicular access to the site as follows: _____
- 1.04 Vacate the following street(s) and/or easement(s): _____
- 1.05 Submit a copy of a recorded private reciprocal use agreement or easement. The agreement or easement shall ensure, at a minimum, common ingress and egress and joint maintenance of all common access areas and drive aisles.
- 1.06 Provide (original document) Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) as applicable to the project and as approved by the City Attorney and the Engineering and Planning Departments, ready for recordation with the County of San Bernardino. The CC&Rs shall provide for, but not be limited to, common ingress and egress, joint maintenance responsibility for all common access improvements, common facilities, parking areas, utilities, median and landscaping improvements and drive approaches, in addition to maintenance requirements established in the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), as applicable to the project. The CC&Rs shall also address the maintenance and repair responsibility for public improvements/utilities (sewer, water, storm drain, recycled water, etc.) located within open space/easements. In the event of any maintenance or repair of these facilities, the City shall only restore disturbed areas to current City Standards.
- 1.07 For all development occurring south of the Pomona Freeway (60-Freeway) and within the specified boundary limits (per Boundary Map found at <http://tceplumecleanup.com/>), the property developer/owner is made aware of the South Archibald Trichloroethylene (TCE) Plume "Disclosure Letter". Property owner may wish to provide this Letter as part of the Real Estate Transfer Disclosure requirements under California Civil Code Section 1102 et seq. This may include notifications in the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) or other documents related to property transfer and disclosures. Additional information on the plume is available from the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board at http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T10000004658.
- 1.08 File an application for Reapportionment of Assessment, together with payment of a reapportionment processing fee, for each existing assessment district listed below. Contact the Management Services Department at (909) 395-2124 regarding this requirement.
(1) _____
(2) _____
- 1.09 Prepare a fully executed Subdivision Agreement (on City approved format and forms) with accompanying security as required, or complete all public improvements.
- 1.10 Provide a monument bond (i.e. cash deposit) in an amount calculated by the City's approved cost estimate spreadsheet (available for download on the City's website: www.ci.ontario.ca.us) or as specified in writing by the applicant's Registered Engineer or Licensed Land Surveyor of Record and



approved by the City Engineer, whichever is greater.

- 1.11 Provide a preliminary title report current to within 30 days.
- 1.12 File an application, together with an initial deposit (if required), to establish a Community Facilities District (CFD) pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community Facilities District Act of 1982. The application and fee shall be submitted a minimum of three (3) months prior to final subdivision map approval, and the CFD shall be established prior to final subdivision map approval or issuance of building permits, whichever occurs first. The CFD shall be established upon the subject property to provide funding for various City services. An annual special tax shall be levied upon each parcel or lot in an amount to be determined. The special tax will be collected along with annual property taxes. The City shall be the sole lead agency in the formation of any CFD. Contact Management Services at (909) 395-2353 to initiate the CFD application process.
- 1.13 New Model Colony (NMC) Developments:
 - 1) Provide evidence of final cancellation of Williamson Act contracts associated with this tract, prior to approval of any final subdivision map. Cancellation of contracts shall have been approved by the City Council.
 - 2) Provide evidence of sufficient storm water capacity availability equivalents (Certificate of Storm Water Treatment Equivalents).
 - 3) Provide evidence of sufficient water availability equivalents (Certificate of Net MDD Availability).
- 1.14 Other conditions: _____

2. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF ANY PERMITS, APPLICANT SHALL:

**A. GENERAL
 (Permits includes Grading, Building, Demolition and Encroachment)**

- 2.01 Record Parcel Map/Tract Map No. _____ pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act and in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code.
- 2.02 Submit a duplicate photo mylar of the recorded map to the City Engineer's office.
- 2.03 **Note that the subject site is a combination of recognized parcels in the City of Ontario and the City of Chino per Parcel Map No. 9628 as recorded in Book 127 of Parcel Maps, pages 25-26 O.R., a Record of Survey recorded in Book 12, page 75 of Record of Surveys, O.R. and a portion of Lot 1 and Lots 15 and 16, Section 1, Township 2 South Range 7 West SBBM in the City of Ontario and the City of Chino, County of San Bernardino as shown by Map of Subdivision of Part of Rancho Santa Ana Del Chino, on file in Book 6, page 15 of Maps, Records of San Bernardino County.**
- 2.04 Note that the subject parcel is an 'unrecognized' parcel in the City of Ontario and shall require a Certificate of Compliance to be processed unless a deed is provided confirming the existence of the parcel prior to the date of _____.
- 2.05 **Apply for a:** Certificate of Compliance with a Record of Survey; **Lot Line Adjustment**
 - Make a Dedication of Easement.
- 2.06 Provide (original document) Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&R's), as applicable to the project, and as approved by the City Attorney and the Engineering and Planning Departments, ready for recordation with the County of San Bernardino. The CC&R's shall provide for, but not be limited to, common ingress and egress, joint maintenance of all common access improvements, common facilities, parking areas, utilities and drive approaches in addition to maintenance requirements established in the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), as applicable to the project.
- 2.07 For all development occurring south of the Pomona Freeway (60-Freeway) and within the specified _____



boundary limits (per Boundary Map found at <http://tceplumecleanup.com/>), the property developer/owner is made aware of the South Archibald Trichloroethylene (TCE) Plume "Disclosure Letter". Property owner may wish to provide this Letter as part of the Real Estate Transfer Disclosure requirements under California Civil Code Section 1102 et seq. This may include notifications in the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) or other documents related to property transfer and disclosures. Additional information on the plume is available from the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board at http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T10000004658.

2.08 **Submit a soils/geology report.**

2.09 **Other Agency Permit/Approval: Submit a copy of the approved permit and/or other form of approval of the project from the following agency or agencies:**

- State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
- San Bernardino County Road Department (SBCRD)
- San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD)
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
- Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) for sewer/water service
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
- California Department of Fish & Game
- Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA)**
- Other: _____

2.10 **Dedicate to the City of Ontario the right-of-way described below:**

Property line corner 'cut-back' at the SWC of Philadelphia Street and Cypress Avenue to provide for the construction of a standard curb return.

2.11 Dedicate to the City of Ontario the following easement(s): _____

2.12 **New Model Colony (NMC) Developments:**

1) Submit a copy of the permit from the San Bernardino County Health Department to the Engineering Department and the Ontario Municipal Utilities Company (OMUC) for the destruction/abandonment of the on-site water well. The well shall be destroyed/abandoned in accordance with the San Bernardino County Health Department guidelines.

2) Make a formal request to the City of Ontario Engineering Department for the proposed temporary use of an existing agricultural water well for purposes other than agriculture, such as grading, dust control, etc. Upon approval, the Applicant shall enter into an agreement with the City of Ontario and pay any applicable fees as set forth by said agreement.

3) Design proposed retaining walls to retain up to a maximum of three (3) feet of earth. In no case shall a wall exceed an overall height of nine (9) feet (i.e. maximum 6-foot high wall on top of a maximum 3-foot high retaining wall).

2.13 **Submit a security deposit to the Engineering Department to guarantee construction of the public improvements required herein valued at 100% of the approved construction cost estimate. Security deposit shall be in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code. Security deposit will be eligible for release, in accordance with City procedure, upon completion and acceptance of said public improvements.**

2.14 **The applicant/developer shall submit all necessary survey documents prepared by a Licensed Surveyor registered in the State of California detailing all existing survey monuments in and around the project site. These documents are to be reviewed and approved by the City Survey Office.**

2.15 **Pay all Development Impact Fees (DIF) to the Building Department. Storm Drain Development**



Impact Fee, approximately \$81,000.00, shall be paid to the Building Department. This fee is based on the new impervious areas of the site, including the building footprint. Final fee shall be determined based on the approved site plan.

- 2.16 Other conditions: Developer/applicant shall apply for a Lot Line adjustment to relocate the existing property line that the existing chapel is constructed over. Buildings cannot be constructed on parcel lines.

B. PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS
 (See attached Exhibit 'A' for plan check submittal requirements.)

- 2.17 Design and construct full public improvements in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code, current City standards and specifications, master plans and the adopted specific plan for the area, if any. These public improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the following (checked boxes):

Improvement	PHILADELPHIA STREET	CYPRESS AVENUE	Street 3	Street 4
Curb and Gutter	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
AC Pavement	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions
PCC Pavement (Truck Route Only)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Drive Approach	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
Sidewalk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
ADA Access Ramp	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
Parkway	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trees <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)



Raised Landscaped Median	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
Fire Hydrant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation
Sewer (see Sec. 2.C)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral
Water (see Sec. 2.D)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service
Recycled Water (see Sec. 2.E)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service
Traffic Signal System (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Traffic Signing and Striping (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Street Light (see Sec. 2.F)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation
Bus Stop Pad or Turn-out (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Storm Drain (see Sec. 2G)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral
Fiber Optics (see Sec. 2K)	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances
Overhead Utilities	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate
Removal of Improvements	_____	_____	_____	_____
Other Improvements	_____	_____	_____	_____



Specific notes for improvements listed in item no. 2.17, above: 1) Developer/applicant shall remove and replace approximately 34-feet of curb & gutter and sidewalk on the Philadelphia Street frontage in accordance with the approved grading plan.

2) Developer/applicant shall remove and replace two existing substandard drive approaches on the Philadelphia Street frontage with 26-feet wide commercial drive approaches per current City standards in accordance with the approved grading plan. Drive approaches shall include portions of new sidewalk around the radii.

3) Developer/applicant shall install in-fill parkway trees and appropriate irrigation system for same in accordance with the approved landscaping plan.

- 2.18 Construct a 2" asphalt concrete (AC) grind and overlay on the following street(s): _____
- 2.19 Reconstruction of the full pavement structural section, per City of Ontario Standard Drawing number 1011, may be required based on the existing pavement condition and final street design. Minimum limits of reconstruction shall be along property frontage, from street centerline to curb/gutter.
- 2.20 Make arrangements with the Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) to provide water service sewer service to the site. This property is within the area served by the CVWD and Applicant shall provide documentation to the City verifying that all required CVWD fees have been paid.
- 2.21 Overhead utilities shall be under-grounded, in accordance with Title 7 of the City's Municipal Code (Ordinance No. 2804 and 2892). Developer may pay in-lieu fee, approximately _____, for undergrounding of utilities in accordance with Section 7-7.303.e of the City's Municipal Code.
- 2.22 Other conditions: 1) Developer/applicant shall contact the City of Chino and provide to the City of Ontario a letter of approval or other form of permit for any construction work, improvements or other activity in their public right-of-way that would typically require a permit.

2) As part of the next phase of work for this overall project, developer/applicant shall construct all public improvements as required by the City of Ontario in the public right-of-way on the Cypress Avenue frontage, as part of these conditions of approval, whether the phase impacts Cypress Avenue or not. These improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the storm drainage system up-grade and/or modification (undergrounding), removal and replacement of drive approaches, new sidewalk, removal of existing fence within City right-of-way, parkway trees and street lights. They will also include the outstanding parkway landscaping and irrigation system on Philadelphia Street, adjacent to the newly widened portion, as constructed by the City.

3) **NOTE:** Pursuant to the letter from former City of Ontario Traffic/Transportation Manager Tom Danna to Mr. Ryan Groen, Ontario Christian School Association Superintendent, dated January 2, 2013, in consideration of Ontario Christian High School dedicating public right-of-way on the Philadelphia Street frontage for traffic signal improvements and the widening of Philadelphia Street, the City of Ontario offered construction of missing public improvements, including AC paving, curb & gutter, sidewalk and modified left turn lane striping. Developer/applicant shall be responsible for landscaping and the irrigation system along the ultimately improved frontage of the site. The City would also be responsible for all construction costs and the replacement of any and all property improvements impacted by the street widening, including reconstruction of driveways, landscaping, fence relocation and replacement. These improvements are tentatively scheduled for completion by February 2019.

C. SEWER

- 2.23 An 8-inch sewer main is available for connection by this project in Philadelphia Street. (Ref: Sewer plan bar code: S11246)
- 2.24 Design and construct a sewer main extension. A sewer main is not available for direct connection. The closest main is approximately _____ feet away.



- 2.25 Submit documentation that shows expected peak loading values for modeling the impact of the subject project to the existing sewer system. The project site is within a deficient public sewer system area. Applicant shall be responsible for all costs associated with the preparation of the model. Based on the results of the analysis, Applicant may be required to mitigate the project impact to the deficient public sewer system, including, but not limited to, upgrading of existing sewer main(s), construction of new sewer main(s) or diversion of sewer discharge to another sewer.
- 2.26 **Other conditions: 1) Prior to occupancy, developer/applicant shall design and construct an on-site sewer pump station per the Building Department requirements. Subject to the Building Department requirements, the pump station and on-site sewer system shall be designed and constructed to connect all new sewer flows and all sewer flows currently served by an On-site Wastewater Treatment System (OWTS-septic system) to the private sewer pump station and pumped to an on-site gravity sewer that gravity flows to the City sewer system through a sewer lateral, per City standards and requirements. A standard manhole shall be constructed between the private force main from the pump station and the public sewer lateral to the City sewer main. The exact location shall be per the approved plan.**
 - 2) Developer/applicant shall pay all applicable sewer capacity fees for the new/increased sewer flows to the public sewer system.

D. WATER

- 2.27 **A 12-inch water main is available for connection by this project in Philadelphia Street. (Ref: Water plan bar code: W10253)**
- 2.28 Design and construct a water main extension. A water main is not available for direct connection. The closest main is approximately _____ feet away.
- 2.29 **Other conditions: 1) Developer/applicant shall remove the two (2) substandard existing 4" and 6" fire service single check detector checks and replace them with DCDA's that meet or exceed current City standards.**
 - 2) Developer/applicant shall install new DCDA on existing 6" fire service line on Philadelphia Street.
 - 3) Developer/applicant shall ensure that all on-site irrigation systems shall be separated from the on-site private domestic water systems and not connected to any service served from the City's potable water system that is not designated solely for irrigation purposes.

E. RECYCLED WATER

- 2.30 A _____ inch recycled water main is available for connection by this project in _____. (Ref: Recycled Water plan bar code: _____)
- 2.31 Design and construct an on-site recycled water system for this project. A recycled water main does exist in the vicinity of this project.
- 2.32 **Design and construct an on-site recycled water ready system for the entire project site. A recycled water main does not currently exist in the vicinity of this project, but is planned for the near future. Developer/applicant shall be responsible for connection to the recycled water main for approved uses when the main becomes available. The cost for the connection shall be borne solely by the developer/applicant.**
- 2.33 **Submit two (2) hard copies and one (1) electronic copy, in PDF format, of the Engineering Report (ER), for the use of recycled water, to the OMUC for review and subsequent submittal to the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) for final approval.**

Note: The OMUC and the CDPH review and approval process will be approximately three (3) months. Contact the Ontario Municipal Utilities Company at (909) 395-2687 regarding this requirement.
- 2.34 **Other conditions: 1) This development shall comply with City Ordinance No. 2689 and make use of recycled water for all approved uses, including, but not limited to, landscaping irrigation when recycled water becomes available to the project site.**



2) Prior to occupancy, a private on-site irrigation main shall be extended from the existing irrigation system's supply source to the property line along Philadelphia Street to facilitate future connection to the City's public recycled water main and conversion of on-site irrigation system for recycled water use.

F. TRAFFIC / TRANSPORTATION

- 2.35 Submit a focused traffic impact study, prepared and signed by a Traffic/Civil Engineer registered in the State of California. The study shall address, but not be limited to, the following issues as required by the City Engineer:
 - 1. On-site and off-site circulation
 - 2. Traffic level of service (LOS) at 'build-out' and future years
 - 3. Impact at specific intersections as selected by the City Engineer.
- 2.36 New traffic signal installations shall be added to Southern California Edison (SCE) customer account number # 2-20-044-3877.
- 2.37 **Other conditions: Developer/applicant shall replace (up-grade) the existing street light fixtures along the project frontage on Philadelphia Street with the current City approved LED equivalent fixtures. Please refer to the Traffic and Transportation Design Guidelines; Section 1.4 Street Light Plans.**

G. DRAINAGE / HYDROLOGY

- 2.38 A _____ inch storm drain main is available to accept flows from this project in _____.
(Ref: Storm Drain plan bar code: _____)
- 2.39 **Submit a hydrology study and drainage analysis, prepared and signed by a Civil Engineer registered in the State of California. The study shall be prepared in accordance with the San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual and City of Ontario standards and guidelines. Additional drainage facilities, including, but not limited to, improvements beyond the project frontage, may be required to be designed and constructed, by Applicant, as a result of the findings of this study.**
- 2.40 An adequate drainage facility to accept additional runoff from the site does not currently exist downstream of the project. Design and construct a storm water detention facility on the project site. 100 year post-development peak flow shall be attenuated such that it does not exceed 80% of pre-development peak flows, in accordance with the approved hydrology study and improvement plans
- 2.41 Submit a copy of a recorded private drainage easement or drainage acceptance agreement to the Engineering Department for the acceptance of any increase to volume and/or concentration of historical drainage flows onto adjacent property, prior to approval of the grading plan for the project.
- 2.42 Comply with the City of Ontario Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance (Ordinance No. 2409). The project site or a portion of the project site is within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) as indicated on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) and is subject to flooding during a 100 year frequency storm. The site plan shall be subject to the provisions of the National Flood Insurance Program
- 2.43 Other conditions: _____

H. STORM WATER QUALITY / NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE AND ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)

- 2.44 401 Water Quality Certification/404 Permit – Submit a copy of any applicable 401 Certification or 404 Permit for the subject project to the City project engineer. Development that will affect any body of surface water (i.e. lake, creek, open drainage channel, etc.) may require a 401 Water Quality Certification from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region (RWQCB) and a 404 Permit from the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). The groups of water bodies classified in these requirements are perennial (flow year round) and ephemeral (flow during rain conditions, only) and include, but are not limited to, direct connections into San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD) channels.
If a 401 Certification and/or a 404 Permit are not required, a letter confirming this from Applicant's engineer shall be submitted.



Contact information: USACE (Los Angeles District) (213) 452-3414; RWQCB (951) 782-4130.

- 2.45 **Submit a Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP). This plan shall be approved by the Engineering Department prior to approval of any grading plan. The WQMP shall be submitted, utilizing the current San Bernardino County Stormwater Program template, available at: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/land/npdes.asp>.**
- 2.46 Other conditions: _____

J. SPECIAL DISTRICTS

- 2.47 File an application, together with an initial payment deposit (if required), to establish a Community Facilities District (CFD) pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community facilities District Act of 1982. The application and fee shall be submitted a minimum three (3) months prior to final subdivision map approval, and the CFD shall be established prior to final subdivision map approval or issuance of building permits, whichever occurs first. The CFD shall be established upon the subject property to provide funding for various City services. An annual special tax shall be levied upon each parcel or lot in an amount to be determined. The special tax will be collected along with annual property taxes. The City shall be the sole lead agency in the formation of any CFD. Contact the Management Services Department at (909) 395-2353 to initiate the CFD application process.
- 2.48 Other conditions: _____

K. FIBER OPTIC

- 2.49 Design and construct fiber optic system to provide access to the City's conduit and fiber optic system per the City's Fiber Optic Master Plan. Building entrance conduits shall start from the closest OntarioNet hand hole constructed along the project frontage in the ROW and shall terminate in the main telecommunications room for each building. Conduit infrastructure shall interconnect with the primary and/or secondary backbone fiber optic conduit system at the nearest OntarioNet hand hole. Generally located _____, see Fiber Optic Exhibit herein.
- 2.50 Refer to the City's Fiber Optic Master Plan for design and layout guidelines. Contact the Information Technology Department at (909) 395-2000, regarding this requirement.

L. Solid Waste

- 2.51 **On-site solid waste shall be designed in accordance with the City's Solid Waste Manual location at: <http://www.ontarioca.gov/municipal-utilities-company/solid-waste>**
- 2.52 **Other conditions: 1) Solid Waste Handling Plan (SWHP): Developer/applicant shall submit to the City/OMUC a Solid Waste Handling Plan sheet for review and approval. The SWHP sheet shall demonstrate compliance with the service standards in the City's Solid Waste Planning Manual and shall contain, at a minimum, the following elements:**
 - a) **A statement identifying the service requirements being used (e.g. single family detached with automated cans, multi-family/commercial with bins, etc.) and describing the solid waste handling operation (for instance, will there be scouting services, etc.)**
 - b) **A table utilizing the metrics on page 8 of the Planning Manual and calculating the volume (gallons or cubic yards), quantity and service schedule for each type of can and bin required for each service category (refuse, recycled, etc.).**
 - c) **An Engineering Site Plan drawn to scale that shows:**
 - **A detail of the solid waste vehicle with dimensions and annotation that states the minimum turning radii and path of travel widths actually being used on the plan.**
 - **The solid waste vehicle turning movements and path of travel in each direction of travel and at all intersections. All paths of travel shall be 15 feet wide, minimum.**
 - **All parking stalls and parallel parking spaces along all streets, alleys or drive aisles.**
 - **All proposed curbs and areas designated and striped/signed as "No Parking".**



- All proposed trash enclosures and the ADA paths of travel from the buildings.
- A detail for each enclosure footprint delineating the number and size of the bins in order to demonstrate that the enclosure is adequately sized and oriented.

2) **Organics Separation and Collection:** This site shall comply with the requirements of State Assembly Bill AM1826, which requires organic waste to be separated and collect separate from recycling and other refuse wastes.

3) **Proposed New Trash Enclosure in Parking Lot 'D':** Developer/applicant shall redesign the surrounding site and trash enclosure to meet minimum collections access and OMUC trash enclosure design standards. As proposed, the trash enclosure does not meet minimum OMUC standards and may not meet minimum collections access standards. Pedestrian access bins with enclosures must be located along the long side of the bin, the side opposite of the hinges on the bin's lid. Enclosure must be sized to house three (3) four-cubic yard bins (one for refuse, one for recycling and one for organics).

4) **Conversion of Existing Western Trash Collection Location:** Convert existing location of western trash collection storage to a covered enclosure trash enclosure area that meets OMUC standards and requirements. As proposed, the enclosure may not meet minimum standards and requirements.

3. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, APPLICANT SHALL:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3.01 Set new monuments in place of any monuments that have been damaged or destroyed as a result of construction of the subject project. Monuments shall be set in accordance with City of Ontario standards and to the satisfaction of the City Engineer. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3.02 Complete all requirements for recycled water usage. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> 1) Procure from the OMUC a copy of the letter of confirmation from the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) that the Engineering Report (ER) has been reviewed and the subject site is approved for the use of recycled water. | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> 2) Obtain clearance from the OMUC confirming completion of recycled water improvements and passing of shutdown tests and cross connection inspection, upon availability/usage of recycled water. | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> 3) Complete education training of on-site personnel in the use of recycled water, in accordance with the ER, upon availability/usage of recycled water. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3.03 The applicant/developer shall submit all final survey documents prepared by a Licensed Surveyor registered in the State of California detailing all survey monuments that have been preserved, revised, adjusted or set along with any maps, corner records or Records of Survey needed to comply with these Conditions of Approvals and the latest edition of the California Professional Land Survey Act. These documents are to be reviewed and approved by the City Survey Office. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3.04 NMC Projects: For developments located at an intersection of any two collector or arterial streets, the applicant/developer shall set a monument if one does not already exist at that intersection. Contact the City Survey office for information on reference benchmarks, acceptable methodology and required submittals. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3.05 Confirm payment of all Development Impact Fees (DIF) to the Building Department. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3.06 Submit electronic copies (PDF and Auto CAD format) of all approved improvement plans, studie and reports (i.e. hydrology, traffic, WQMP, etc.). | <input type="checkbox"/> |



EXHIBIT 'A'

**ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
First Plan Check Submittal Checklist**

Project Number: PDEV 17-047

The following items are required to be included with the first plan check submittal:

1. **A copy of this check list**
2. **Payment of fee for Plan Checking**
3. **One (1) copy of Engineering Cost Estimate (on City form) with engineer's wet signature and stamp.**
4. **One (1) copy of project Conditions of Approval**
5. Two (2) sets of Potable and Recycled Water demand calculations (include water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size).
6. Three (3) sets of Public Street improvement plan with street cross-sections
7. Three (3) sets of Private Street improvement plan with street cross-sections
8. Four (4) sets of Public Water improvement plan (include water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size)
9. Four (4) sets of Recycled Water improvement plan (include recycled water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size and an exhibit showing the limits of areas being irrigated by each recycled water meter)
10. Four (4) sets of Public Sewer improvement plan
11. Five (5) sets of Public Storm Drain improvement plan
12. **Three (3) sets of Public Street Light improvement plan**
13. Three (3) sets of Signing and Striping improvement plan
14. Three (3) sets of Fiber Optic plan (include Auto CAD electronic submittal)
15. Three (3) sets of Dry Utility plans within public right-of-way (at a minimum the plans must show existing and ultimate right-of-way, curb and gutter, proposed utility location including centerline dimensions, wall to wall clearances between proposed utility and adjacent public line, street work repaired per Standard Drawing No. 1306. Include Auto CAD electronic submittal)
16. Three (3) sets of Traffic Signal improvement plan and One (1) copy of Traffic Signal Specifications with modified Special Provisions. Please contact the Traffic Division at (909) 395-2154 to obtain Traffic Signal Specifications.
17. **Two (2) copies of Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), including one (1) copy of the approved Preliminary WQMP (PWQMP).**
18. **One (1) copy of Hydrology/Drainage study**
19. **One (1) copy of Soils/Geology report**
20. Payment for Final Map/Parcel Map processing fee
21. Three (3) copies of Final Map/Parcel Map
22. One (1) copy of approved Tentative Map



- 23. One (1) copy of Preliminary Title Report (current within 30 days)
- 24. One (1) copy of Traverse Closure Calculations
- 25. One (1) set of supporting documents and maps (legible copies): referenced improvement plans (full size), referenced record final maps/parcel maps (full size, 18"x26"), Assessor's Parcel map (full size, 11"x17"), recorded documents such as deeds, lot line adjustments, easements, etc.
- 26. Two (2) copies of Engineering Report and an electronic file (include PDF format electronic submittal) for recycled water use
- 27. Other: _____

CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: PLANNING DEPARTMENT, Lorena Mejia
FROM: BUILDING DEPARTMENT, Kevin Shear
DATE: October 2, 2017
SUBJECT: PDEV17-047

-
- The plan **does** adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
- No comments
- Report below.

Conditions of Approval

1. Standard Conditions of Approval apply.

KS:lm

CITY OF ONTARIO
LANDSCAPE PLANNING DIVISION
 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, CA 91764

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Sign Off

Carolyn Bell
 Carolyn Bell, Sr. Landscape Planner

3/22/18
 Date

Reviewer's Name: Carolyn Bell, Sr. Landscape Planner	Phone: (909) 395-2237
--	---------------------------------

D.A.B. File No.: PDEV17-047 Rev 1	Case Planner: Lorena Mejia
--------------------------------------	-------------------------------

Project Name and Location:
 Ontario Christian High School
 931 West Philadelphia St

Applicant/Representative:
 Formillus Architecture – Michael Bern
 3080 12th St Ste 105
 Riverside Ca 92507

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A Preliminary Landscape Plan (dated 3/1/18) meets the Standard Conditions for New Development and has been approved with the consideration that the following conditions below be met upon submittal of the landscape construction documents.
<input type="checkbox"/>	A Preliminary Landscape Plan (dated) has not been approved. Corrections noted below are required prior to Preliminary Landscape Plan approval.

CORRECTIONS REQUIRED

Civil/ Site Plans

- Show all parkways and sidewalks – missing on Cypress Ave. **Correct on grading plans**
- C-3.01, C-3.02 Show storm water infiltration areas out of landscape areas or tree locations or show buried with min 4;' of cover. Show on details access to chambers for silt removal. Relocate chambers out of required tree locations
- Show all backflows (fire, domestic and irrigation) and any transformers on plan, and dimension a 4' set back from paving.
- C-5.01 move utility lines out of required tree locations. Coordinate with landscape plans.
- Locate utilities including light standards, fire hydrants, and water and sewer lines to not conflict with required tree locations. Coordinate civil plans with landscape plans
- Show corner ramp and sidewalk per city std. drawing 1213 with max 4' of sidewalk behind ramp.
- Note for compaction to be no greater than 85% at landscape areas. All finished grades at 1 ½" below finished surfaces. Slopes to be maximum 3:1. **Correct on grading plans**
- Dimension all planters to have a minimum 5' wide inside dimension with 6" curbs and 12" wide curbs, or 12" wide pavers or DG paving with edging where parking spaces are adjacent to planters. **Correct on grading plans.**

Landscape Plans – position landscape plans with same north as civil plans.

- Provide a tree inventory for existing trees include genus, species, trunk diameter, canopy width and condition. Show and note existing trees in good condition to remain and note trees proposed to be removed. Include existing trees within 15' of adjacent property that would be affected by new walls, footings or on-site tree planting. **Not corrected.**
- Show all utilities on the landscape plans including underground stormwater chambers shown in C-3.01, C-3.02. Coordinate so utilities are clear of required tree locations. **Not corrected. Trees are shown on top of utilities and storm water chambers LP1.02 check all sheets – coordinate to move utility lines.**
- Check that tree symbols are ¾ mature size. Oak is shown smaller than Feijoa.
- Note tree stream bubblers with pc screens, 2 per tree in legend.
- On construction plans, note an irrigation audit by a certified irrigation auditor shall inspect and audit the existing system and provide recommendations to meet water budget for existing

irrigation. Contractor shall preform all recommendations until system meets California state standards prior to permit approval.

14. Show parkway landscape and street trees spaced 30' oc. For this project: on Philadelphia St - Pittosporum (Auranticarpa) rhombifolium (with Cedrus deodara alternating as background tree; on Cypress Ave show parkway landscape in addition to street trees. Add min. tree trunk caliper and canopy sizes to construction plans.
15. Note for agronomical soil testing and include report on landscape construction plans. Remove plant tabs on details and specs.
16. Show earth anchors (root ball anchors) instead of guy wires in detail for 36" – 48" box trees.
17. Landscape construction plans shall meet the requirements of the Landscape Development Guidelines and MWEL0. See <http://www.ontarioca.gov/landscape-planning/standards>
18. Provide phasing map if a multi-phase projects.
19. After a project's entitlement approval, the applicant shall pay all applicable fees for landscape plan check and inspections at a rate established by resolution of the City Council. Typical fees are:

Plan Check—less than 5 acres	\$1,301.00
Inspection—Construction (up to 3 inspections per phase)	\$278.00
Inspection—Field - additional.....	\$83.00

Landscape construction plans with building permit number for plan check may be emailed to: landscapeplancheck@ontarioca.gov

AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLANNING

CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION REPORT



Project File No.: PDEV17-047
 Address: 931 West Philadelphia Street
 APN: 1015-141-04, 05, 06 10 & 12
 Existing Land Use: Private High School (Ontario Christian HS)
 Proposed Land Use: 39,056 SF Athletic Center
 Site Acreage: 25.9 Proposed Structure Height: 37 ft
 ONT-IAC Project Review: N/A
 Airport Influence Area: ONT

Reviewed By: Lorena Mejia
 Contact Info: 909-395-2276
 Project Planner: Lorena Mejia
 Date: 11/13/17
 CD No.: 2017-070
 PALU No.: n/a

The project is impacted by the following ONT ALUCP Compatibility Zones:

Safety	Noise Impact	Airspace Protection	Overflight Notification
<input type="radio"/> Zone 1	<input type="radio"/> 75+ dB CNEL	<input type="radio"/> High Terrain Zone	<input type="radio"/> Avigation Easement Dedication
<input type="radio"/> Zone 1A	<input type="radio"/> 70 - 75 dB CNEL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAA Notification Surfaces	<input type="radio"/> Recorded Overflight Notification
<input type="radio"/> Zone 2	<input type="radio"/> 65 - 70 dB CNEL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Airspace Obstruction Surfaces	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Real Estate Transaction Disclosure
<input type="radio"/> Zone 3	<input type="radio"/> 60 - 65 dB CNEL	<input type="radio"/> Airspace Avigation Easement Area	
<input type="radio"/> Zone 4		Allowable Height: <u>200 ft +</u>	
<input type="radio"/> Zone 5			

The project is impacted by the following Chino ALUCP Safety Zones:

Zone 1
 Zone 2
 Zone 3
 Zone 4
 Zone 5
 Zone 6

Allowable Height: _____

CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION

This proposed Project is: Exempt from the ALUCP
 Consistent
 Consistent with Conditions
 Inconsistent

The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport (ONT) and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) for ONT.

Airport Planner Signature: _____



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Planning Department

FROM: Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Marshal
Fire Department

DATE: October 5, 2017

SUBJECT: PDEV17-047 - A Development Plan to construct a 39,056-square foot athletic center for Ontario Christian High School, on 17.2 acres of land located at 931 West Philadelphia Street, within the LDR-5 (Low Density Residential 2.1 to 5.0 DU/Acre) zoning district (APNs:1015-141-04, 1015-141-05, 1015-141-06, 1015-141-10, and 1015-141-12). Related File: PCUP08-028.

-
- The plan **does** adequately address Fire Department requirements at this time.
- No comments.
- Standard Conditions of Approval apply, as stated below.
-

SITE AND BUILDING FEATURES:

- A. 2016 CBC Type of Construction: Not Listed
- B. Type of Roof Materials: Flat
- C. Ground Floor Area(s): 39,056 Sq. Ft.
- D. Number of Stories: 1
- E. Total Square Footage: 39,056 Sq. Ft.
- F. 2016 CBC Occupancy Classification(s): A

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

1.0 GENERAL

- ☒ 1.1 The following are the Ontario Fire Department (“Fire Department”) requirements for this development project, based on the current edition of the California Fire Code (CFC), and the current versions of the Fire Prevention Standards (“Standards.”) It is recommended that the applicant or developer transmit a copy of these requirements to the on-site contractor(s) and that all questions or concerns be directed to the Bureau of Fire Prevention, at (909) 395-2029. For copies of Ontario Fire Department Standards please access the City of Ontario web site at www.ontarioca.gov, click on “Fire Department” and then on “Standards and Forms.”
- ☒ 1.2 These Fire Department conditions of approval are to be included on any and all construction drawings.

2.0 FIRE DEPARTMENT ACCESS

- ☒ 2.1 Fire Department vehicle access roadways shall be provided to within 150 ft. of all portions of the exterior walls of the first story of any building, unless specifically approved. Roadways shall be paved with an all-weather surface and shall be a minimum of twenty-four (24) ft. wide. See Standard #B-004.
- ☒ 2.2 In order to allow for adequate turning radius for emergency fire apparatus, all turns shall be designed to meet the minimum twenty five feet (25’) inside and forty-five feet (45’) outside turning radius per Standard #B-005.
- ☒ 2.3 Fire Department access roadways that exceed one hundred and fifty feet (150’) in length shall have an approved turn-around per Standard #B-002.
- ☒ 2.4 Access drive aisles which cross property lines shall be provided with CC&Rs, access easements, or reciprocating agreements, and shall be recorded on the titles of affected properties, and copies of same shall be provided at the time of building plan check.
- ☒ 2.5 "No Parking-Fire Lane" signs and /or red painted curbs with lettering are required to be installed in interior access roadways, in locations where vehicle parking would obstruct the minimum clear width requirement. Installation shall be per Standard #B-001.
- ☒ 2.6 Security gates or other barriers on fire access roadways shall be provided with a Knox brand key switch or padlock to allow Fire Department access. See Standards #B-003, B-004 and H-001.
- ☒ 2.7 Any time PRIOR to on-site combustible construction and/or storage, a minimum twenty-four (24) ft. wide circulating all weather access roads shall be provided to within 150 ft. of all portions of the exterior walls of the first story of any building, unless specifically approved by fire department and other emergency services.~

3.0 WATER SUPPLY

- ☒ 3.1 The required fire flow per Fire Department standards, based on the 2016 California Fire Code, Appendix B, is 2625 gallons per minute (g.p.m.) for 4 hours at a minimum of 20 pounds per square inch (p.s.i.) residual operating pressure.

- ☒ 3.2 Off-site (public) fire hydrants are required to be installed on all frontage streets, at a minimum spacing of three hundred foot (300') apart, per Engineering Department specifications.
- ☒ 3.4 The water supply, including water mains and fire hydrants, shall be tested and approved by the Engineering Department and Fire Department prior to combustible construction to assure availability and reliability for firefighting purposes.

4.0 FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS

- ☒ 4.1 On-site private fire hydrants are required per Standard #D-005, and identified in accordance with Standard #D-002. Installation and locations(s) are subject to the approval of the Fire Department. An application with detailed plans shall be submitted, and a construction permit shall be issued by the Fire Department, prior to any work being done.
- ☒ 4.2 Underground fire mains which cross property lines shall be provided with CC & R, easements, or reciprocating agreements, and shall be recorded on the titles of affected properties, and copies of same shall be provided at the time of fire department plan check. The shared use of private fire mains or fire pumps is allowable only between immediately adjacent properties and shall not cross any public street.
- ☒ 4.3 An automatic fire sprinkler system is required. The system design shall be in accordance with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard . All new fire sprinkler systems, except those in single family dwellings, which contain twenty (20) sprinkler heads or more shall be monitored by an approved listed supervising station. An application along with detailed plans shall be submitted, and a construction permit shall be issued by the Fire Department, prior to any work being done.
- ☒ 4.4 Wood frame buildings that are to be sprinkled shall have these systems in service (but not necessarily finalized) before the building is enclosed.
- ☒ 4.5 Fire Department Connections (FDC) shall be located on the address side of the building within one hundred fifty feet (150') of a public fire hydrant on the same side of the street. Provide identification for all fire sprinkler control valves and fire department connections per Standard #D-007. Raised curbs adjacent to Fire Department connection(s) shall be painted red, five feet either side, per City standards.
- ☒ 4.6 A fire alarm system is required. The system design shall be in accordance with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 72. An application along with detailed plans shall be submitted, and a construction permit shall be issued by the Fire Department, prior to any work being done.
- ☒ 4.7 Portable fire extinguishers are required to be installed prior to occupancy per Standard #C-001. Please contact the Fire Prevention Bureau to determine the exact number, type and placement required.

5.0 BUILDING CONSTRUCTION FEATURES

- ☒ 5.1 The developer/general contractor is to be responsible for reasonable periodic cleanup of the development during construction to avoid hazardous accumulations of combustible trash and debris both on and off the site.
- ☒ 5.2 Approved numbers or addresses shall be placed on all new and existing buildings in such a position as to be plainly visible and legible from the street or road fronting the property. Multi-tenant or building projects shall have addresses and/or suite numbers provided on the rear of the building. Address numbers shall contrast with their background. See Section 9-1 6.06 of the Ontario Municipal Code and Standards #H-003 and #H-002.
- ☒ 5.3 Single station smoke alarms and carbon monoxide alarms are required to be installed per the California Building Code and the California Fire Code.
- ☒ 5.6 Knox ® brand key-box(es) shall be installed in location(s) acceptable to the Fire Department. All Knox boxes shall be monitored for tamper by the building fire alarm system. See Standard #H-001 for specific requirements.



Development Advisory Board Decision

July 2, 2018

DECISION NO.: [insert #]

FILE NO.: PDEV18-004

DESCRIPTION: A Development Plan (File No. PDEV18-004) to construct a 10,858 square foot office building on 0.71 acres of land within the Sixth Street District land use designation of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, located at the northwest corner of Palmetto Avenue and Sixth Street. (APNs: 1008-261-47 and 1008-261-48) **submitted by Ken Cheng.**

Part I—BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS

KEN CHENG, (herein after referred to as "Applicant") has filed an application requesting Development Plan approval, File No. PDEV18-004, as described in the subject of this Decision (herein after referred to as "Application" or "Project").

(1) **Project Setting:** The project site is comprised of 0.71 acres of land located at northwest corner of Palmetto Avenue and Sixth Street, and is depicted in Exhibit A: Aerial Photograph, attached. Existing land uses, General Plan and zoning designations, and specific plan land uses on and surrounding the project site are as follows:

	<i>Existing Land Use</i>	<i>General Plan Designation</i>	<i>Zoning Designation</i>	<i>Specific Plan Land Use</i>
<i>Site:</i>	Vacant	GC – General Commercial	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Sixth Street District
<i>North:</i>	Vacant	GC – General Commercial	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Sixth Street District
<i>South:</i>	Religious Assembly	LDR – Low Density Residential	RE-4 (Residential Estate)	N/A
<i>East:</i>	Financial Institution	GC – General Commercial	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Sixth Street District
<i>West:</i>	Commercial Shopping Center/Parking Lot	GC – General Commercial	Mountain Village Specific Plan	Sixth Street District

(1) **Project Description:** The project site is located on the northwest corner of Palmetto Avenue and Sixth Street and is presently vacant. The proposed 10,858 square foot 2-story office building is L-shaped and is situated on the southeast corner of the site. The main entrance of the building is oriented towards Sixth Street and is setback 7-feet and 11-inches from the front property line where landscaping has been provided. The eastern street side of the building is located along Palmetto Avenue and a 6-foot and 7-inch landscape setback has been provided. The proposed parking lot wraps around the building on the north and west portion of the project site. There is one point of access to the project site from Palmetto Avenue located on the northeast corner of the project site via a 24-foot driveway. The proposed development is required to provide a minimum of 43 off-street parking spaces pursuant to the parking standards specified in the Development Code and 43 have been provided. The proposed Floor Area Ratio is 0.35 which meets the maximum allowed within the Mountain Village Specific Plan.

The project proposes a modern style architectural design theme that illustrates the type of high-quality architecture promoted by the Development Code and Mountain Village Specific Plan. The project proposes articulation in the building footprint, tower elements that extend outwards and varying parapet/roof line along the street facing elevations. The mixture of building materials proposed includes a smooth-stucco finish with an earth tone color palette with horizontal and vertical reveals, a metal canopy over the main entrance, light-grey tinted glazing for the windows and a stone veneer applied to the south facing tower and base of the first-floor entrance. Additionally, mechanical equipment will be roof-mounted and obscured from public view by parapet walls.

The project provides landscaping along both street frontages, the perimeter of the site and throughout the parking areas. A total of 15% landscaping is required and 23% has been provided.

Public utilities (water and sewer) are available to serve the project. Furthermore, the Applicant has submitted a Preliminary Water Quality Management Plan (PWQMP) which establishes the project's compliance with storm water discharge/water quality requirements. The PWQMP includes site design measures that capture runoff and pollutant transport by minimizing impervious surfaces and maximizes low impact development (LID) best management practices (BMPs), such as retention and infiltration. The proposed development will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern. The onsite drainage will be conveyed by local gutters and pipes to an underground infiltration system located within the western parking lot. Any overflow drainage will be conveyed to the curb and gutter along Sixth Street.

Part II—RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Application is a project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) ("CEQA"); and

WHEREAS, the Project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to a categorical exemption (listed in CEQA Guidelines Article 19, commencing with Section 15300) and the application of that categorical exemption is not barred by one of the exceptions set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2; and

WHEREAS, Ontario Development Code Table 2.02-1 (Review Matrix) grants the Development Advisory Board ("DAB") the responsibility and authority to review and "act" on the subject Application; and

WHEREAS, all members of the DAB of the City of Ontario were provided the opportunity to review and comment on the Application, and no comments were received opposing the proposed development; and

WHEREAS, the Project has been reviewed for consistency with the Housing Element of the Policy Plan component of The Ontario Plan, as State Housing Element law (as prescribed in Government Code Sections 65580 through 65589.8) requires that development projects must be consistent with the Housing Element, if upon consideration of all its aspects, it is found to further the purposes, principals, goals, and policies of the Housing Element; and

WHEREAS, the Project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport, which encompasses lands within parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties, and is subject to, and must be consistent with, the policies and criteria set forth in the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan ("ALUCP"), which applies only to jurisdictions within San Bernardino County, and addresses the noise, safety, airspace protection, and overflight impacts of current and future airport activity; and

WHEREAS, City of Ontario Development Code Division 2.03 (Public Hearings) prescribes the manner in which public notification shall be provided and hearing procedures to be followed, and all such notifications and procedures have been completed;

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2018, the DAB of the City of Ontario conducted a hearing on the Application and concluded said hearing on that date; and

WHEREAS, all legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Decision have occurred.

Part III—THE DECISION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED by the Development Advisory Board of the City of Ontario, as follows:

SECTION 1: Environmental Determination and Findings. As the “decision-making” body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the information contained in the administrative record for the Project. Based upon the facts and information contained in the administrative record, including all written and oral evidence presented to the DAB, the DAB finds as follows:

(1) The project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15332 (Class 32, In-fill Development Projects) of the CEQA Guidelines. The proposed project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations. The proposed development occurs within city limits and the area being developed is 0.71 acres less than the five-acre threshold and is substantially surrounded by urban land uses. The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species. Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality. Also, the site is adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

(2) The application of the categorical exemption is not barred by one of the exceptions set forth in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2; and

(3) The determination of CEQA exemption reflects the independent judgment of the DAB.

SECTION 2: Housing Element Compliance. Pursuant to the requirements of California Government Code Chapter 3, Article 10.6, commencing with Section 65580, as the “decision-making” body for the Project, the DAB finds that based on the facts and information contained in the Application and supporting documentation, at the time of Project implementation, the project is consistent with the Housing Element of the Policy Plan (General Plan) component of The Ontario Plan, as the project site is not one of the properties in the Available Land Inventory contained in Table A-3 (Available Land by Planning Area) of the Housing Element Technical Report Appendix.

SECTION 3: Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (“ALUCP”) Compliance. The California State Aeronautics Act (Public Utilities Code Section 21670 et seq.) requires that an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan be prepared for all public use airports in the State; and requires that local land use plans and individual development proposals must be consistent with the policies set forth in the adopted Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. On April 19, 2011, the City Council of the City of Ontario approved and adopted the Ontario International Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (“ALUCP”), establishing the Airport Influence Area for Ontario International Airport (“ONT”), which encompasses lands within parts of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties, and limits future land uses and development within the Airport Influence Area, as they relate to noise, safety, airspace protection, and overflight impacts of current and future airport activity. As the “decision-making” body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the facts and information contained in the Application and supporting documentation against the ALUCP compatibility factors, including [1] Safety Criteria (ALUCP Table 2-2) and Safety Zones (ALUCP Map 2-2), [2] Noise Criteria (ALUCP Table 2-3) and Noise Impact Zones (ALUCP Map 2-3), [3] Airspace protection Zones (ALUCP Map 2-4), and [4] Overflight Notification Zones (ALUCP Map 2-5). As a result, the DAB, therefore, finds and determines that the Project, when implemented in

conjunction with the conditions of approval, will be consistent with the policies and criteria set forth within the ALUCP.

SECTION 4: Concluding Facts and Reasons. Based upon the substantial evidence presented to the DAB during the above-referenced hearing and upon the specific findings set forth in Sections 1 through 4, above, the DAB hereby concludes as follows:

(1) **The proposed development at the proposed location is consistent with the goals, policies, plans and exhibits of the Vision, Policy Plan (General Plan), and City Council Priorities components of The Ontario Plan.** The proposed Project is located within the GC (General Commercial) land use district of the Policy Plan Land Use Map, and the Sixth Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan. The development standards and conditions under which the proposed Project will be constructed and maintained, is consistent with the goals, policies, plans, and exhibits of the Vision, Policy Plan (General Plan), and City Council Priorities components of The Ontario Plan; and

(2) **The proposed development is compatible with those on adjoining sites in relation to location of buildings, with particular attention to privacy, views, any physical constraint identified on the site and the characteristics of the area in which the site is located.** The Project has been designed consistent with the requirements of the City of Ontario Development Code and the Sixth Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, including standards relative to the particular land use proposed (office), as-well-as building intensity, building and parking setbacks, building height, number of off-street parking and loading spaces, on-site and off-site landscaping, and fences, walls and obstructions; and

(3) **The proposed development will complement and/or improve upon the quality of existing development in the vicinity of the project and the minimum safeguards necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare have been required of the proposed project.** The Development Advisory Board has required certain safeguards, and impose certain conditions of approval, which have been established to ensure that: [i] the purposes of the Sixth Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan are maintained; [ii] the project will not endanger the public health, safety or general welfare; [iii] the project will not result in any significant environmental impacts; [iv] the project will be in harmony with the area in which it is located; and [v] the project will be in full conformity with the Vision, City Council Priorities and Policy Plan components of The Ontario Plan, and the Mountain Village Specific Plan; and

(4) **The proposed development is consistent with the development standards and design guidelines set forth in the Development Code, or applicable specific plan or planned unit development.** The proposed Project has been reviewed for consistency with the general development standards and guidelines of the Mountain Village Specific Plan that are applicable to the proposed Project, including building intensity, building and parking setbacks, building height, amount of off-street parking and loading spaces, parking lot dimensions, design and landscaping, bicycle parking, on-site landscaping, and fences and walls, as-well-as those development standards and guidelines specifically related to the particular land use being proposed (office). As a result of this review, the Development Advisory Board has determined that the Project, when implemented in conjunction with the conditions of approval, will be consistent with the development standards and guidelines described in the Mountain Village Specific Plan.

SECTION 5: Development Advisory Board Action. Based on the findings and conclusions set forth in Sections 1 through 4, above, the DAB hereby APPROVES the Application subject to each and every condition set forth in the Department reports included as Attachment A of this Decision, and incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 6: Indemnification. The Applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul this

approval. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

SECTION 7: Custodian of Records. The documents and materials that constitute the record of proceedings on which these findings have been based are located at the City of Ontario City Hall, 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, California 91764. The custodian for these records is the City Clerk of the City of Ontario. The records are available for inspection by any interested person, upon request.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 2nd day of July 2018.

Development Advisory Board Chairman

Exhibit A—PROJECT LOCATION MAP



Exhibit B—SITE PLAN






Exhibit C—ELEVATIONS




North Elevation



West Elevation




East Elevation



South Elevation

Exhibit D—LANDSCAPE PLAN



PLANTING NOTES

TREE	
2	CUPANIOPSIS ANACARDIODES
36"BOX	CARROTWOOD TREE (M.E.)
11	CUPANIOPSIS ANACARDIODES
24"BOX	CARROTWOOD TREE (M.E.)
4	CERCIS OCCIDENTALIS
24"BOX	WESTERN REDBUD TREE (L.E.)
1	CERCIS OCCIDENTALIS
48"BOX	WESTERN REDBUD TREE (L.E.)
10	BRACHYCHITON POPULNEUS
24"BOX	BOTTLE TREE (L.E.)
SHRUBS	
123	PRUNUS CAROLINIANA
5 GAL.	CAROLINA CHERRY LAUREL (M.E.)
14	DIETES VEGETA
5 GAL.	FORTNIGHT LILY (L.E.)

80	STRELITZIA REGINAE
5 GAL.	BIRD OF PARADISE (M.E.)
31	ROSA ICEBERG
5 GAL.	ROSE (M.D/E.)
12	PHOBIUM 'YELLOW WAVE'
5 GAL.	YELLOW WAVE PHOBIUM (M.E.)
164	LIGUSTRUM JAPONICUM
5 GAL.	JAPANESE PRIVET (M.E.)
139	RHAPHIOLEPIS INDICA 'BALLERINA'
5 GAL.	INDIAN HAWTHORN (M.E.)
22	PHORMIUM 'SUNDOWNER'
5 GAL.	SUNDOWNER PHOBIUM (M.E.)
226	EUONYMUS JAPONICUS MICROPHYLLUS
5 GAL.	MEXICAN FEATHER GRASS (M.E.)

Attachment A—Departmental Conditions of Approval

(Departmental conditions of approval follow this page)



City of Ontario
Planning Department
303 East B Street
Ontario, California 91764
Phone: 909.395.2036
Fax: 909.395.2420

*Planning Department
Land Development Division
Conditions of Approval*

Meeting Date: July 2, 2018

File No: PDEV18-004

Related Files: N/A

Project Description: A Development Plan (File No. PDEV18-004) to construct a 10,858 square foot office building on 0.71 acres of land within the Sixth Street District land use designation of the Mountain Village Specific Plan, located at the northwest corner of Palmetto Avenue and Sixth Street. (APNs: 1008-261-47 and 1008-261-48) **submitted by Ken Cheng.**

Prepared By: Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Phone: 909.395.2276 (direct)
Email: lmejia@ontarioca.gov

The Planning Department, Land Development Section, conditions of approval applicable to the above-described Project, are listed below. The Project shall comply with each condition of approval listed below:

1.0 Standard Conditions of Approval. The project shall comply with the *Standard Conditions for New Development*, adopted by City Council Resolution No. 2017-027 on April 18, 2017. A copy of the *Standard Conditions for New Development* may be obtained from the Planning Department or City Clerk/Records Management Department.

2.0 Special Conditions of Approval. In addition to the *Standard Conditions for New Development* identified in condition no. 1.0, above, the project shall comply with the following special conditions of approval:

2.1 Time Limits.

(a) Development Plan approval shall become null and void 2 years following the effective date of application approval, unless a building permit is issued and construction is commenced, and diligently pursued toward completion, or a time extension has been approved by the Planning Director. This condition does not supersede any individual time limits specified herein, or any other departmental conditions of approval applicable to the Project, for the performance of specific conditions or improvements.

2.2 General Requirements. The Project shall comply with the following general requirements:

(a) All construction documentation shall be coordinated for consistency, including, but not limited to, architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, landscape and irrigation, grading, utility and street improvement plans. All such plans shall be consistent with the approved entitlement plans on file with the Planning Department.

(b) The project site shall be developed in conformance with the approved plans on file with the City. Any variation from the approved plans must be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to building permit issuance.

(c) The herein-listed conditions of approval from all City departments shall be included in the construction plan set for project, which shall be maintained on site during project construction.

2.3 Landscaping.

(a) The Project shall provide and continuously maintain landscaping and irrigation systems in compliance with the provisions of Ontario Development Code Division 6.05 (Landscaping).

(b) Comply with the conditions of approval of the Planning Department; Landscape Planning Division.

(c) Landscaping shall not be installed until the Landscape and Irrigation Construction Documentation Plans required by Ontario Development Code Division 6.05 (Landscaping) have been approved by the Landscape Planning Division.

(d) Changes to approved Landscape and Irrigation Construction Documentation Plans, which affect the character or quantity of the plant material or irrigation system design, shall be resubmitted for approval of the revision by the Landscape Planning Division, prior to the commencement of the changes.

2.4 Parking, Circulation and Access.

(a) The Project shall comply with the applicable off-street parking, loading and lighting requirements of City of Ontario Development Code Division 6.03 (Off-Street Parking and Loading).

(b) All drive approaches shall be provided with an enhanced pavement treatment. The enhanced paving shall extend from the back of the approach apron, into the site, to the first intersecting drive aisle or parking space.

(c) Areas provided to meet the City's parking requirements, including off-street parking and loading spaces, access drives, and maneuvering areas, shall not be used for the outdoor storage of materials and equipment, nor shall it be used for any other purpose than parking.

(d) The required number of off-street parking spaces and/or loading spaces shall be provided at the time of site and/or building occupancy. All parking and loading spaces shall be maintained in good condition for the duration of the building or use.

(e) Parking spaces specifically designated and conveniently located for use by the physically disabled shall be provided pursuant to current accessibility regulations contained in State law (CCR Title 24, Part 2, Chapters 2B71, and CVC Section 22507.8).

(f) Bicycle parking facilities, including bicycle racks, lockers, and other secure facilities, shall be provided in conjunction with development projects pursuant to current regulations contained in CALGreen (CAC Title 24, Part 11).

2.5 Site Lighting.

(a) All off-street parking facilities shall be provided with nighttime security lighting pursuant to Ontario Municipal Code Section 4-11.08 (Special Residential Building Provisions) and Section 4-11.09 (Special Commercial/Industrial Building Provisions), designed to confine emitted light to the parking areas. Parking facilities shall be lighted from sunset until sunrise, daily, and shall be operated by a photocell switch.

(b) Unless intended as part of a master lighting program, no operation, activity, or lighting fixture shall create illumination on any adjacent property.

2.6 Mechanical and Rooftop Equipment.

(a) All exterior roof-mounted mechanical, heating and air conditioning equipment, and all appurtenances thereto, shall be completely screened from public view by parapet walls or roof screens that are architecturally treated so as to be consistent with the building architecture.

(b) All ground-mounted utility equipment and structures, such as tanks, transformers, HVAC equipment, and backflow prevention devices, shall be located out of view from a public street, or adequately screened through the use of landscaping and/or decorative low garden walls.

2.7 Security Standards. The Project shall comply with all applicable requirements of Ontario Municipal Code Title 4 (Public Safety), Chapter 11 (Security Standards for Buildings).

2.8 Signs. All Project signage shall comply with the requirements of Ontario Development Code Division 8.1 (Sign Regulations).

2.9 Sound Attenuation. The Project shall be constructed and operated in a manner so as not to exceed the maximum interior and exterior noised levels set forth in Ontario Municipal Code Title 5 (Public Welfare, Morals, and Conduct), Chapter 29 (Noise).

2.10 Environmental Review.

(a) The proposed project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA), as amended, and the Guidelines promulgated thereunder, pursuant to **Section 15332 (Class 32, In-Fill Development Projects)** of the CEQA Guidelines, meeting the following conditions:

(i) The Project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies, as well as the applicable zoning designation and regulations;

(ii) The proposed development occurs within city limits, on a project site of no more than five acres, and is substantially surrounded by urban uses;

(iii) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species;

(iv) Approval of the Project will not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and

(v) The Project site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

(b) If human remains are found during project grading/excavation/construction activities, the area shall not be disturbed until any required investigation is completed by the County Coroner and Native American consultation has been completed (if deemed applicable).

(c) If any archeological or paleontological resources are found during project grading/excavation/construction, the area shall not be disturbed until the significance of the resource is determined. If determined to be significant, the resource shall be recovered by a qualified archeologist or paleontologist consistent with current standards and guidelines, or other appropriate measures implemented.

2.11 Indemnification. The applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the City of

Ontario, whether by its City Council, Planning Commission or other authorized board or officer. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

2.12 Additional Fees.

(a) Within 5 days following final application approval, the Notice of Determination (NOD) filing fee shall be provided to the Planning Department. The fee shall be paid by check, made payable to the "Clerk of the Board of Supervisors", which shall be forwarded to the San Bernardino County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, along with all applicable environmental forms/notices, pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Failure to provide said fee within the time specified may result in a 180-day extension to the statute of limitations for the filing of a CEQA lawsuit.

(b) After the Project's entitlement approval, and prior to issuance of final building permits, the Planning Department's Plan Check and Inspection fees shall be paid at the rate established by resolution of the City Council.



**ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

(Environmental, Traffic/Transportation Division, Ontario Municipal Utilities Company
Information Technology and Management Services Department conditions incorporated herein)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEVELOPMENT PLAN <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> PARCEL MAP <input type="checkbox"/> TRACT MAP <input type="checkbox"/> FOR CONDOMINIUM PURPOSES
PROJECT FILE NO. PDEV18-004 RELATED FILE NO(S). _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED: __/__/__	

CITY PROJECT ENGINE & PHONE NO:	Dean A. Williams Associate Engineer (909) 395-2135
CITY PROJECT PLANNER & HONE NO:	Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner (909) 395-2276
DAB MEETING DATE:	July 2, 2018
PROJECT NAME / DESCRIPTION:	A 10,858 sf Commercial Building on 0.71 acres within the Sixth Street District of the Mountain Village Specific Plan
LOCATION:	NWC Sixth Street and Palmetto Avenue
APPLICANT:	Palmetto Offices, LLC Robert Chiang (909) 973-6999
REVIEWED BY:	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center; flex: 1;"> <hr style="width: 80%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Bryan Lirley, P.E. Principal Engineer </div> <div style="text-align: center; flex: 1;"> <hr style="width: 80%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Date </div> </div>
APPROVED BY:	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center; flex: 1;"> <hr style="width: 80%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Khoi Do, P.E. Assistant City Engineer </div> <div style="text-align: center; flex: 1;"> <hr style="width: 80%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Date </div> </div>



THIS PROJECT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE GENERAL STANDARD CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL (RESOLUTION NO. 2017-027) AND THE PROJECT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL SPECIFIED IN HEREIN. ONLY APPLICABLE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ARE CHECKED. THE APPLICANT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ALL APPLICABLE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL PRIOR TO FINAL MAP OR PARCEL MAP APPROVAL, ISSUANCE OF PERMITS AND/OR OCCUPANCY CLEARANCE, AS SPECIFIED IN THIS REPORT.

1. PRIOR TO FINAL MAP OR PARCEL MAP APPROVAL, APPLICANT SHALL: Check When Complete

- 1.01 Dedicate to the City of Ontario, the right-of-way, described below:
_____ feet on _____
Property line corner 'cut-back' required at the intersection of _____
and _____.
- 1.02 Dedicate to the City of Ontario, the following easement(s): _____

- 1.03 Restrict vehicular access to the site as follows: _____
- 1.04 Vacate the following street(s) and/or easement(s): _____
- 1.05 Submit a copy of a recorded private reciprocal use agreement or easement. The agreement or easement shall ensure, at a minimum, common ingress and egress and joint maintenance of all common access areas and drive aisles.
- 1.06 Provide (original document) Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) as applicable to the project and as approved by the City Attorney and the Engineering and Planning Departments, ready for recordation with the County of San Bernardino. The CC&Rs shall provide for, but not be limited to, common ingress and egress, joint maintenance responsibility for all common access improvements, common facilities, parking areas, utilities, median and landscaping improvements and drive approaches, in addition to maintenance requirements established in the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), as applicable to the project. The CC&Rs shall also address the maintenance and repair responsibility for public improvements/utilities (sewer, water, storm drain, recycled water, etc.) located within open space/easements. In the event of any maintenance or repair of these facilities, the City shall only restore disturbed areas to current City Standards.
- 1.07 For all development occurring south of the Pomona Freeway (60-Freeway) and within the specified boundary limits (per Boundary Map found at <http://tceplumecleanup.com/>), the property developer/owner is made aware of the South Archibald Trichloroethylene (TCE) Plume "Disclosure Letter". Property owner may wish to provide this Letter as part of the Real Estate Transfer Disclosure requirements under California Civil Code Section 1102 et seq. This may include notifications in the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) or other documents related to property transfer and disclosures. Additional information on the plume is available from the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board at http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T10000004658.
- 1.08 File an application for Reapportionment of Assessment, together with payment of a reapportionment processing fee, for each existing assessment district listed below. Contact the Management Services Department at (909) 395-2124 regarding this requirement.
 - (1) _____
 - (2) _____
- 1.09 Prepare a fully executed Subdivision Agreement (on City approved format and forms) with accompanying security as required, or complete all public improvements.



- 1.10 Provide a monument bond (i.e. cash deposit) in an amount calculated by the City's approved cost estimate spreadsheet (available for download on the City's website: www.ci.ontario.ca.us) or as specified in writing by the applicant's Registered Engineer or Licensed Land Surveyor of Record and approved by the City Engineer, whichever is greater.
- 1.11 Provide a preliminary title report current to within 30 days.
- 1.12 File an application, together with an initial deposit (if required), to establish a Community Facilities District (CFD) pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community Facilities District Act of 1982. The application and fee shall be submitted a minimum of three (3) months prior to final subdivision map approval, and the CFD shall be established prior to final subdivision map approval or issuance of building permits, whichever occurs first. The CFD shall be established upon the subject property to provide funding for various City services. An annual special tax shall be levied upon each parcel or lot in an amount to be determined. The special tax will be collected along with annual property taxes. The City shall be the sole lead agency in the formation of any CFD. Contact Management Services at (909) 395-2353 to initiate the CFD application process.
- 1.13 New Model Colony (NMC) Developments:
 - 1) Provide evidence of final cancellation of Williamson Act contracts associated with this tract, prior to approval of any final subdivision map. Cancellation of contracts shall have been approved by the City Council.
 - 2) Provide evidence of sufficient storm water capacity availability equivalents (Certificate of Storm Water Treatment Equivalents).
 - 3) Provide evidence of sufficient water availability equivalents (Certificate of Net MDD Availability).
- 1.14 Other conditions: _____

2. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF ANY PERMITS, APPLICANT SHALL:

**A. GENERAL
 (Permits includes Grading, Building, Demolition and Encroachment)**

- 2.01 Record Parcel Map/Tract Map No. _____ pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act and in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code.
- 2.02 Submit a duplicate photo mylar of the recorded map to the City Engineer's office.
- 2.03 **Note that the subject parcel is comprised of two (2) separate recognized parcels in the City of Ontario per Parcels 5 and 6 inclusive, of Parcel Map No. 13960, as per map recorded in Book 166 of Parcel Maps, Pages 90 and 91, Official Records of San Bernardino County (APN# 1008-261-47-0-000 and APN# 1008-261-48-0-000).**
- 2.04 Note that the subject parcel is an 'unrecognized' parcel in the City of Ontario and shall require a Certificate of Compliance to be processed unless a deed is provided confirming the existence of the parcel prior to the date of _____.
- 2.05 **Apply for a:** Certificate of Compliance with a Record of Survey; **Lot Line Adjustment (see item no. 2.16[2], below)** Make a Dedication of Easement.
- 2.06 Provide (original document) Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&R's), as applicable to the project, and as approved by the City Attorney and the Engineering and Planning Departments, ready for recordation with the County of San Bernardino. The CC&R's shall provide for, but not be limited to, common ingress and egress, joint maintenance of all common access improvements, common facilities, parking areas, utilities and drive approaches in addition to maintenance requirements established in the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), as applicable to the project.



- 2.07 For all development occurring south of the Pomona Freeway (60-Freeway) and within the specified boundary limits (per Boundary Map found at <http://tceplumecleanup.com/>), the property developer/owner is made aware of the South Archibald Trichloroethylene (TCE) Plume "Disclosure Letter". Property owner may wish to provide this Letter as part of the Real Estate Transfer Disclosure requirements under California Civil Code Section 1102 et seq. This may include notifications in the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) or other documents related to property transfer and disclosures. Additional information on the plume is available from the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board at http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=T10000004658.
- 2.08 **Submit a soils/geology report.**
- 2.09 Other Agency Permit/Approval: Submit a copy of the approved permit and/or other form of approval of the project from the following agency or agencies:
 - State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
 - San Bernardino County Road Department (SBCRD)
 - San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD)
 - Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
 - Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) for sewer/water service
 - United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
 - California Department of Fish & Game
 - Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA)
 - Other: _____
- 2.10 **Dedicate to the City of Ontario the right-of-way described below:**

Property line corner 'cut-back' required at the intersection of Palmetto Avenue and Sixth Street.
- 2.11 **Dedicate to the City of Ontario the following easement(s):**

A 5-foot wide easement, approximately 124-feet long, for sidewalk purposes to accommodate the existing sidewalk along the property frontage on Sixth Street.
- 2.12 New Model Colony (NMC) Developments:
 - 1) Submit a copy of the permit from the San Bernardino County Health Department to the Engineering Department and the Ontario Municipal Utilities Company (OMUC) for the destruction/abandonment of the on-site water well. The well shall be destroyed/abandoned in accordance with the San Bernardino County Health Department guidelines.
 - 2) Make a formal request to the City of Ontario Engineering Department for the proposed temporary use of an existing agricultural water well for purposes other than agriculture, such as grading, dust control, etc. Upon approval, the Applicant shall enter into an agreement with the City of Ontario and pay any applicable fees as set forth by said agreement.
 - 3) Design proposed retaining walls to retain up to a maximum of three (3) feet of earth. In no case shall a wall exceed an overall height of nine (9) feet (i.e. maximum 6-foot high wall on top of a maximum 3-foot high retaining wall).
- 2.13 **Submit a security deposit to the Engineering Department to guarantee construction of the public improvements required herein valued at 100% of the approved construction cost estimate. Security deposit shall be in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code. Security deposit will be eligible for release, in accordance with City procedure, upon completion and acceptance of said public improvements.**
- 2.14 **The developer/applicant shall submit all necessary survey documents prepared by a Licensed Surveyor registered in the State of California detailing all existing survey monuments in and around the project site. These documents are to be reviewed and approved by the City Survey Office.**



2.15 Pay all Development Impact Fees (DIF) to the Building Department. Storm Drain Development Impact Fee of approximately \$15,000.00, shall be paid to the Building Department. Final fee shall be determined based on the approved site plan.

2.16 Other conditions: 1) Developer/applicant shall provide non-interference letters of approval (i.e. no conflict with their facilities) from the easement holders of the utility easements along the westerly property line of the site. These holders include General Telephone Company or successor in interest, Southern California Edison Company, City of Ontario for public utility purposes and any other entity that may be disclosed in recorded documents as listed in the Preliminary Title Report, prepared by Stewart Title of California, dated January 8, 2018 or later. Developer/applicant shall provide copies of the easement documents referenced in said PTR as back-up information to support the non-interference letters. These letters must be provided prior to issuance of the building permit.

2) Developer/applicant shall process a Lot Line Adjustment to remove the common parcel line between the two subject parcels. Lot Line Adjustment shall be filed and the conforming deed recorded prior to issuance of the building permit. The building cannot be permitted for construction over an existing parcel line.

B. PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS
 (See attached Exhibit 'A' for plan check submittal requirements.)

2.17 Design and construct full public improvements in accordance with the City of Ontario Municipal Code, current City standards and specifications, master plans and the adopted specific plan for the area, if any. These public improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the following (checked boxes):

Improvement	Palmetto Ave.	Sixth Street	Street 3	Street 4
Curb and Gutter	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace 100 ft.+/-	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New; ___ ft. from C/L <input type="checkbox"/> Replace damaged <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
AC Pavement	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Widen ___ additional feet along frontage, including pavm't transitions
PCC Pavement (Truck Route Only)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Drive Approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace



Sidewalk	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
ADA Access Ramp	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
Parkway	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trees <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trees <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)	<input type="checkbox"/> Trees <input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping (w/irrigation)
Raised Landscaped Median	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Remove and replace
Fire Hydrant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation
Sewer (see Sec. 2.C)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral
Water (see Sec. 2.D)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service
Recycled Water (see Sec. 2.E)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Service
Traffic Signal System (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Traffic Signing and Striping (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Street Light (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation	<input type="checkbox"/> New / Upgrade <input type="checkbox"/> Relocation
Bus Stop Pad or Turn-out (see Sec. 2.F)	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modify existing
Storm Drain (see Sec. 2.G)	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral	<input type="checkbox"/> Main <input type="checkbox"/> Lateral



Fiber Optics (see Sec. 2K)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduit / Appurtenances
Overhead Utilities	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground <input type="checkbox"/> Relocate
Removal of Improvements	_____	_____	_____	_____
Other Improvements	_____	_____	_____	_____

Specific notes for improvements listed in item no. 2.17, above: Developer/applicant shall remove approximately 100-feet of existing curb and 12" gutter and replace with new curb and 24" gutter per current City standards, including proper gutter transitions to existing, at the proposed drive approach on Palmetto Avenue.

- 2.18 Construct a 2" asphalt concrete (AC) grind and overlay on the following street(s): Palmetto Avenue. Grind shall be from west gutter to centerline of street and a slurry seal from the centerline of the street to the east gutter. The street is in need of surfacing and developer/applicant is required to construct a new water main and tie overs the existing services on the east side of the street to the new main.
- 2.19 Reconstruction of the full pavement structural section, per City of Ontario Standard Drawing number 1011, may be required based on the existing pavement condition and final street design. Minimum limits of reconstruction shall be along property frontage, from street centerline to curb/gutter.
- 2.20 Make arrangements with the Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) to provide water service sewer service to the site. This property is within the area served by the CVWD and Applicant shall provide documentation to the City verifying that all required CVWD fees have been paid.
- 2.21 Overhead utilities shall be under-grounded, in accordance with Title 7 of the City's Municipal Code (Ordinance No. 2804 and 2892). Developer may pay in-lieu fee, approximately _____, for undergrounding of utilities in accordance with Section 7-7.303.e of the City's Municipal Code.
- 2.22 Other conditions: _____

C. SEWER

- 2.23 An 8- inch sewer main is available for connection by this project in Palmetto Avenue (Ref: Sewer plan bar code: S12910)
- 2.24 Design and construct a sewer main extension. A sewer main is not available for direct connection. The closest main is approximately _____ feet away.
- 2.25 Submit documentation that shows expected peak loading values for modeling the impact of the subject project to the existing sewer system. The project site is within a deficient public sewer system area. Applicant shall be responsible for all costs associated with the preparation of the model. Based on the results of the analysis, Applicant may be required to mitigate the project impact to the deficient public sewer system, including, but not limited to, upgrading of existing sewer main(s), construction of new sewer main(s) or diversion of sewer discharge to another sewer.
- 2.26 Other conditions: _____

D. WATER



2.27 A 12-inch water main and an 8-inch water main are available for connection by this project in Sixth Street.
 (Ref: Water plan bar code: W11097)

2.28 Design and construct a water main extension. A water main is not available for direct connection. The closest main is approximately _____ feet away.

2.29 Other conditions: 1) All water services shall have independent connections to the main. "Teeing off" of another service is not allowable in the City of Ontario.

2) Utilities System Map: Developer/applicant shall submit a complete utilities systems map with the building permit submittal. The systems map shall comply with the Ontario Municipal Utilities Company requirements which include showing and labeling all existing and proposed utilities (including all appurtenances such as backflow devices, DCDAs, monitoring manholes, etc.), points of connection and sizes.

3) Water Main Improvement: Developer/applicant shall design and construct a new 12" water main to replace the existing 6" water main in Palmetto Avenue from the intersection of Palmetto Avenue and Sixth Street to the northerly property line of the project site. Construction shall include, but not be limited to, abandonment of the replaced portion of the existing 6" water main, tying over all services and appurtenances connected to the abandoned portion of the main, reconnection to the portion of the 6" main to remain in service, up-grading existing fire hydrant (east side of street) to current standard, trench backfill and repair and grinding and overlaying/slurry seal combination of finished surface.

4) Points of Connection: Contrary to the proposed plan, all service points of connection shall be made from Palmetto Avenue, not Sixth Street.

E. RECYCLED WATER

2.30 A _____ inch recycled water main is available for connection by this project in _____.
 (Ref: Recycled Water plan bar code: _____)

2.31 Design and construct an on-site recycled water system for this project. A recycled water main does exist in the vicinity of this project.

2.32 Design and construct an on-site recycled water ready system for this project. A recycled water main does not currently exist in the vicinity of this project, but is planned for the near future. If Applicant would like to connect to this recycled water main when it becomes available, the cost for the connection shall be borne solely by the Applicant.

2.33 Submit two (2) hard copies and one (1) electronic copy, in PDF format, of the Engineering Report (ER), for the use of recycled water, to the OMUC for review and subsequent submittal to the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) for final approval.

Note: The OMUC and the CDPH review and approval process will be approximately three (3) months. Contact the Ontario Municipal Utilities Company at (909) 395-2687 regarding this requirement.

2.34 Other conditions: _____

F. TRAFFIC / TRANSPORTATION

2.35 Submit a focused traffic impact study, prepared and signed by a Traffic/Civil Engineer registered in the State of California. The study shall address, but not be limited to, the following issues as required by the City Engineer:
 1. On-site and off-site circulation
 2. Traffic level of service (LOS) at 'build-out' and future years
 3. Impact at specific intersections as selected by the City Engineer



- 2.36 New traffic signal installations shall be added to Southern California Edison (SCE) customer account number # 2-20-044-3877.
- 2.37 **Other conditions: 1) Developer/applicant shall upgrade the existing access ramp at the corner of Palmetto Avenue and Sixth Street to be in compliance with City of Ontario Standard Drawing No. 1213.**
 - 2) Developer/applicant shall design and construct the proposed drive approach in accordance with City of Ontario Standard Drawing No. 1204 for Commercial Driveway Approach. Decorative paving shall not be allowed in the City right-of-way. The current design location of the drive approach will require the relocation of the existing street light next to it.
 - 3) Developer/applicant shall remove and replace approximately 100-feet of existing curb and 12" gutter with new curb and 24" gutter per current City standards, including proper transitions to existing curb and gutter. New curb and gutter shall start at the northerly property line and continue south 100-feet.

G. DRAINAGE / HYDROLOGY

- 2.38 A 60/66-inch storm drain main is available to accept flows from this project in Sixth Street. (Ref: Storm Drain plan bar code: D11047)
- 2.39 Submit a hydrology study and drainage analysis, prepared and signed by a Civil Engineer registered in the State of California. The study shall be prepared in accordance with the San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual and City of Ontario standards and guidelines. Additional drainage facilities, including, but not limited to, improvements beyond the project frontage, may be required to be designed and constructed, by Applicant, as a result of the findings of this study.
- 2.40 An adequate drainage facility to accept additional runoff from the site does not currently exist downstream of the project. Design and construct a storm water detention facility on the project site. 100 year post-development peak flow shall be attenuated such that it does not exceed 80% of pre-development peak flows, in accordance with the approved hydrology study and improvement plans.
- 2.41 Submit a copy of a recorded private drainage easement or drainage acceptance agreement to the Engineering Department for the acceptance of any increase to volume and/or concentration of historical drainage flows onto adjacent property, prior to approval of the grading plan for the project.
- 2.42 Comply with the City of Ontario Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance (Ordinance No. 2409). The project site or a portion of the project site is within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) as indicated on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) and is subject to flooding during a 100 year frequency storm. The site plan shall be subject to the provisions of the National Flood Insurance Program.
- 2.43 Other conditions: _____

H. STORM WATER QUALITY / NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE AND ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)

- 2.44 401 Water Quality Certification/404 Permit – Submit a copy of any applicable 401 Certification or 404 Permit for the subject project to the City project engineer. Development that will affect any body of surface water (i.e. lake, creek, open drainage channel, etc.) may require a 401 Water Quality Certification from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region (RWQCB) and a 404 Permit from the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). The groups of water bodies classified in these requirements are perennial (flow year round) and ephemeral (flow during rain conditions, only) and include, but are not limited to, direct connections into San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD) channels.
 If a 401 Certification and/or a 404 Permit are not required, a letter confirming this from Applicant's engineer shall be submitted.
 Contact information: USACE (Los Angeles District) (213) 452-3414; RWQCB (951) 782-4130.
- 2.45 **Submit a Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP). This plan shall be approved by the Engineering Department prior to approval of any grading plan. The WQMP shall be submitted,**



utilizing the current San Bernardino County Stormwater Program template, available at:
<http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/land/npdes.asp>.

2.46 Other conditions: _____

J. SPECIAL DISTRICTS

2.47 File an application, together with an initial payment deposit (if required), to establish a Community Facilities District (CFD) pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community facilities District Act of 1982. The application and fee shall be submitted a minimum three (3) months prior to final subdivision map approval, and the CFD shall be established prior to final subdivision map approval or issuance of building permits, whichever occurs first. The CFD shall be established upon the subject property to provide funding for various City services. An annual special tax shall be levied upon each parcel or lot in an amount to be determined. The special tax will be collected along with annual property taxes. The City shall be the sole lead agency in the formation of any CFD. Contact the Management Services Department at (909) 395-2353 to initiate the CFD application process.

2.48 Other conditions: _____

K. FIBER OPTIC

2.49 Design and construct fiber optic system to provide access to the City's conduit and fiber optic system per the City's Fiber Optic Master Plan. Building entrance conduits shall start from the closest OntarioNet hand hole constructed along the project frontage in the ROW and shall terminate in the main telecommunications room for each building. Conduit infrastructure shall interconnect with the primary and/or secondary backbone fiber optic conduit system at the nearest OntarioNet hand hole, generally located on Sixth Street.

2.50 Refer to the City's Fiber Optic Master Plan for design and layout guidelines. Contact the Information Technology Department at (909) 395-2000, regarding this requirement.

L. Solid Waste

2.51 Onsite solid waste shall be designed in accordance with the City's Solid Waste Manual location at: <http://www.ontarioca.gov/municipal-utilities-company/solid-waste>

2.52 Other conditions: 1) Solid Waste Handling Plan (SWHP): Developer/applicant shall submit to the City/OMUC a Solid Waste Handling Plan sheet for review and approval, prior to the issuance of a building permit. The SWHP sheet shall demonstrate compliance with the service standards in the City's Solid Waste Planning Manual and shall contain, at a minimum, the following elements:

a) A statement identifying the service requirements being used (e.g. single family detached with automated cans, multi-family/commercial with bins, etc.) and describing the solid waste handling operation (*for instance, will there be scouting services, etc.*)

b) A table utilizing the metrics on page 8 of the Planning Manual and calculating the volume (gallons or cubic yards), quantity and service schedule for each type of can and bin required for each service category (refuse, recycled, etc.).

c) An Engineering Site Plan drawn to scale that shows:

- A detail of the solid waste vehicle with dimensions and annotation that states the minimum turning radii and path of travel widths actually being used on the plan.
- The solid waste vehicle turning movements and path of travel in each direction of travel and at all intersections. All paths of travel shall be 15 feet wide, minimum.
- All parking stalls and parallel parking spaces along all streets, alleys or drive aisles.



- All proposed curbs and areas designated and striped/signed as “No Parking”.
- All proposed trash enclosures and the ADA paths of travel from the buildings.
- A detail for each enclosure footprint delineating the number and size of the bins in order to demonstrate that the enclosure is adequately sized and oriented.

2) Organics Separation and Collection: This site shall comply with the requirements of State Assembly Bill AM1826, which requires organic waste to be diverted and collected separately from recycling and other refuse wastes.

3. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, APPLICANT SHALL:

- 3.01 **Set new monuments in place of any monuments that have been damaged or destroyed as a result of construction of the subject project. Monuments shall be set in accordance with City of Ontario standards and to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.**
- 3.02 Complete all requirements for recycled water usage.
 - 1) Procure from the OMUC a copy of the letter of confirmation from the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) that the Engineering Report (ER) has been reviewed and the subject site is approved for the use of recycled water.
 - 2) Obtain clearance from the OMUC confirming completion of recycled water improvements and passing of shutdown tests and cross connection inspection, upon availability/usage of recycled water.
 - 3) Complete education training of on-site personnel in the use of recycled water, in accordance with the ER, upon availability/usage of recycled water.
- 3.03 **The applicant/developer shall submit all final survey documents prepared by a Licensed Surveyor registered in the State of California detailing all survey monuments that have been preserved, revised, adjusted or set along with any maps, corner records or Records of Survey needed to comply with these Conditions of Approvals and the latest edition of the California Professional Land Survey Act. These documents are to be reviewed and approved by the City Survey Office.**
- 3.04 NMC Projects: For developments located at an intersection of any two collector or arterial streets, the applicant/developer shall set a monument if one does not already exist at that intersection. Contact the City Survey office for information on reference benchmarks, acceptable methodology and required submittals.
- 3.05 **Confirm payment of all Development Impact Fees (DIF) to the Building Department.**
- 3.06 **Submit electronic copies (PDF and Auto CAD format) of all approved improvement plans, studies and reports (i.e. hydrology, traffic, WQMP, etc.).**



EXHIBIT 'A'

ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT First Plan Check Submittal Checklist

Project Number: **PDEV 18-004**

The following items are required to be included with the first plan check submittal:

1. **A copy of this check list**
2. **Payment of fee for Plan Checking**
3. **One (1) copy of Engineering Cost Estimate (on City form) with engineer's wet signature and stamp.**
4. **One (1) copy of project Conditions of Approval**
5. Two (2) sets of Potable and Recycled Water demand calculations (include water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size).
6. Three (3) sets of Public Street improvement plan with street cross-sections
7. Three (3) sets of Private Street improvement plan with street cross-sections
8. **Four (4) sets of Public Water improvement plan (include water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size)**
9. Four (4) sets of Recycled Water improvement plan (include recycled water demand calculations showing low, average and peak water demand in GPM for the proposed development and proposed water meter size and an exhibit showing the limits of areas being irrigated by each recycled water meter)
10. Four (4) sets of Public Sewer improvement plan
11. Five (5) sets of Public Storm Drain improvement plan
12. Three (3) sets of Public Street Light improvement plan
13. Three (3) sets of Signing and Striping improvement plan
14. **Three (3) sets of Fiber Optic plan (include Auto CAD electronic submittal)**
15. Three (3) sets of Dry Utility plans within public right-of-way (at a minimum the plans must show existing and ultimate right-of-way, curb and gutter, proposed utility location including centerline dimensions, wall to wall clearances between proposed utility and adjacent public line, street work repaired per Standard Drawing No. 1306. Include Auto CAD electronic submittal)
16. Three (3) sets of Traffic Signal improvement plan and One (1) copy of Traffic Signal Specifications with modified Special Provisions. Please contact the Traffic Division at (909) 395-2154 to obtain Traffic Signal Specifications.
17. **Two (2) copies of Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP), including one (1) copy of the approved Preliminary WQMP (PWQMP).**
18. **One (1) copy of Hydrology/Drainage study**
19. **One (1) copy of Soils/Geology report**
20. Payment for Final Map/Parcel Map processing fee
21. Three (3) copies of Final Map/Parcel Map
22. One (1) copy of approved Tentative Map



- 23. **One (1) copy of Preliminary Title Report (current within 30 days)**
- 24. One (1) copy of Traverse Closure Calculations
- 25. One (1) set of supporting documents and maps (legible copies): referenced improvement plans (full size), referenced record final maps/parcel maps (full size, 18"x26"), Assessor's Parcel map (full size, 11"x17"), recorded documents such as deeds, lot line adjustments, easements, etc.
- 26. Two (2) copies of Engineering Report and an electronic file (include PDF format electronic submittal) for recycled water use
- 27. Other: _____

AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLANNING

CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION REPORT



Project File No.: PDEV18-004
 Address: NWC Sixth Street & Palmetto Avenue
 APN: 1008-261-47 & 48
 Existing Land Use: Vacant
 Proposed Land Use: Development Plan to construct a 10,858 SF office building
 Site Acreage: 0.71 Proposed Structure Height: 31FT
 ONT-IAC Project Review: N/A
 Airport Influence Area: ONT

Reviewed By: Lorena Mejia
 Contact Info: 909-395-2276
 Project Planner: Lorena Mejia
 Date: 3/7/2018
 CD No.: 2018-008
 PALU No.: n/a

The project is impacted by the following ONT ALUCP Compatibility Zones:

Safety	Noise Impact	Airspace Protection	Overflight Notification
<input type="radio"/> Zone 1	<input type="radio"/> 75+ dB CNEL	<input type="radio"/> High Terrain Zone	<input type="radio"/> Avigation Easement Dedication
<input type="radio"/> Zone 1A	<input type="radio"/> 70 - 75 dB CNEL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAA Notification Surfaces	<input type="radio"/> Recorded Overflight Notification
<input type="radio"/> Zone 2	<input type="radio"/> 65 - 70 dB CNEL	<input type="radio"/> Airspace Obstruction Surfaces	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Real Estate Transaction Disclosure
<input type="radio"/> Zone 3	<input type="radio"/> 60 - 65 dB CNEL	<input type="radio"/> Airspace Avigation Easement Area	
<input type="radio"/> Zone 4		Allowable Height: 200 ft +	
<input type="radio"/> Zone 5			

The project is impacted by the following Chino ALUCP Safety Zones:

Zone 1
 Zone 2
 Zone 3
 Zone 4
 Zone 5
 Zone 6
 Allowable Height: _____

CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION

This proposed Project is: Exempt from the ALUCP
 Consistent
 Consistent with Conditions
 Inconsistent

The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport (ONT) and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) for ONT.

Airport Planner Signature: _____



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lorena Mejia, Planning Department

FROM: Douglas Sorel, Police Department

DATE: February 6, 2018

SUBJECT: PDEV18-004 – A DEVELOPMENT PLAN TO CONSTRUCT AN OFFICE BUILDING AT SIXTH STREET AND PALMETTO AVENUE


The “Standard Conditions of Approval” contained in Resolution No. 2017-027 apply. The applicant shall read and be thoroughly familiar with these conditions, including but not limited to, the requirements listed below.

- Required lighting for all walkways, driveways, doorways, parking areas, and other areas used by the public shall be provided and operate on photosensor. Photometrics shall be provided to the Police Department. Photometrics shall include the types of fixtures proposed and demonstrate that such fixtures meet the vandal-resistant requirement. Planned landscaping shall not obstruct lighting.
- Rooftop addresses shall be installed on the building as stated in the Standard Conditions. The numbers shall be at a minimum 3 feet tall and 1 foot wide, in reflective white paint on a flat black background, and oriented with the bottom of the numbers towards the addressed street.
- The Applicant shall comply with all construction site security requirements as stated in the Standard Conditions.

The requirement for the rooftop addresses will be waived upon installation of the planned solar panels.

The Applicant is invited to call Douglas Sorel at (909) 395-2873 regarding any questions or concerns.

CITY OF ONTARIO
LANDSCAPE PLANNING DIVISION
 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, CA 91764

DAB CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	
Sign Off	
	5/16/18
Carolyn Bell, Sr. Landscape Planner	Date

Reviewer's Name: Carolyn Bell, Sr. Landscape Planner	Phone: (909) 395-2237
--	---------------------------------

D.A.B. File No.: PDEV18-004 Rev 1	Related Files:	Case Planner: Lorena Mejia
--------------------------------------	----------------	-------------------------------

Project Name and Location: Office Development NWC of Sixth St and Palmetto Avenue

Applicant/Representative: Robert Chiang 2361 Fullercreek Road Chino Hills, Ca 91709
--

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A site plan (dated 4/16/18) meets the Standard Conditions for New Development and has been approved with the consideration that the following conditions below be met.
<input type="checkbox"/>	A site plan (dated) has not been approved. Corrections noted below are required prior to DAB approval.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Civil/ Site Plans

- Show swale on east side connected to the retention system or as required by Engineering dept.
- Show transformers located in planter areas, set back 5' from paving for landscape screening. Identify square symbol south of driveway. If transformer, move west and show actual size of transformer pad –do not include clearance area (allowed to be landscape).
- Show backflow devices set back min 4' from paving. Locate on level grade. Move devices on Sixth Street 4' back from sidewalk for screening.
- Locate utilities including light standards, fire hydrants, and water and sewer lines to not conflict with required tree locations. Coordinate civil plans with landscape plans. Show parking lots lights clear of tree locations.
- Note for compaction to be no greater than 85% at landscape areas. All finished grades at 1 ½" below finished surfaces. Slopes to be maximum 3:1.
- Dimension all planters to have a minimum 5' wide inside dimension with 6" curbs and 12" wide curbs, where parking spaces are adjacent to planters. Show in plan and detail.
- Show landscape planters min 5' inside dimension at each parking row end. Change concrete island to landscape at the inside corner of the parking lot. Change paved area at south end of parking lot top landscape.
- Change paved area east of north stairwell (behind trash enclosure) that does not lead to a door to landscaping. Change paved area south of south stairway/ ramp on Sixth Street to landscape areas where there is no pedestrian access.

Landscape Plans

- Provide a tree inventory for existing trees include genus, species, trunk diameter, canopy width and condition. Show and note existing trees in good condition to remain and note trees proposed to be removed. Include existing trees within 15' of adjacent property that would be affected by new walls, footings or on-site tree planting. Add tree protection notes on construction and demo plans. Show existing trees (Platanus acerifolia) and landscape on 6th street parkways to remain. Call out name and contact number of maintenance district of Sixth Street.
- Show backflows and transformers with setbacks noted above with landscape screening: strappy leave shrubs in masses at backflow devices (fire, domestic and irrigation) and tall evergreen shrubs at transformers sides and back and 18" high groundcovers in front.
- Show all utilities (parking lot lights, fire hydrants, etc.) on the landscape plans. Coordinate so

utilities are clear of required tree locations.

12. Show all easements and identify limitations of easement use; west side of property. Change tree type if required under power lines (evergreen, max 25' high) or to tall shrubs (Toyon, Arbutus etc.) if underground lines.
13. Add planter islands as noted in above #7 and 8.
14. Show parkway landscape (durable groundcover, not turf) such as Honeysuckle, Iceplant etc.
15. Remove storm water catch basin plants in parkway. This is a concrete device not a rain garden.
16. Verify hydrozones do not mix plants with different water uses on the same irrigation system.
17. Note that irrigation plans shall provide separate systems for tree stream spray bubblers with pc screens, not bubblers.
18. Replace short lived, high maintenance or poor performing plants: Cupaniopsis (root damage and fruit) Phormium (short lived) Strelitzia (high maintenance), Euonymus is ok, Feather Grass (shown as Euonymus common name) is not. Correct common name in legend. Consider Pistache or Ulmus parvifolia for parking lot trees. Dianella instead of Phormium; Dietes instead of Strelitzia.
19. Show plant symbols equal to the mature plant width (Euonymus, etc.). Show shrubs 12" clear of building walls for maintenance. Show an even spacing of shrubs adjacent to walkways and curbs and not triangulated spacing.
20. Add a 3' high evergreen shrubs adjacent to parking spaces and trash enclosure visible from streets – Palmetto.
21. storm water infiltration swales: refer to the city standard bioswale hydroseed mix or a bioswale mix from a seed supplier. Avoid invasives (Romneya) or perennials Rudbeckia in mix.
22. Show outline of storm water infiltration swales.
23. Provide agronomical soil tests at 12" depth and include independent lab report on landscape construction plans. Sewage sludge or biosolids are not allowed. Note "Contractor shall install amendments per plan and then take a new soil test and provide report to landscape architect and city inspector to verify amendments installed are satisfactory prior to planting. Landscape architect shall verify report with amendments receipts on certificate of compliance.
24. Call out all fences and walls, materials proposed and heights.
25. Show concrete mowstrips to identify property lines along open areas or to separate ownership or between maintenance areas: parkways or landscape areas next to adjacent properties no walls or fences are shown.
26. Show minimum on-site tree sizes per the Landscape Development standards, see the Landscape Planning website. 5% 48" box, 10% 36 box, 30% 24" box, 55% 15 gallon.
27. Show 25% of trees as California native (Platanus racemosa, Quercus agrifolia, Quercus wislizenii, Quercus douglasii, Cercis occidentalis, etc.) in appropriate locations.
28. Landscape construction plans shall meet the requirements of the Landscape Development Guidelines. See <http://www.ontarioca.gov/landscape-planning/standards>
29. Add Note to Grading and Landscape Plans: Landscape areas where compaction has occurred due to grading activities and where trees or storm water infiltration areas are located shall be loosened by soil fracturing. For trees a 12'x12'x18" deep area; for storm water infiltration the entire area shall be loosened. The following information shall be included on the plans: The back hoe method of soil fracturing shall be used to break up compaction. A 4" layer of Compost is spread over the soil surface before fracturing is begun. The back hoe shall dig into the soil lifting and then drop the soil immediately back into the hole. The bucket then moves to the adjacent soil and repeats. The Compost falls into the spaces between the soil chunks created. Fracturing shall leave the soil surface quite rough with large soil clods. These must be broken by additional tilling. Tilling in more Compost to the surface after fracturing per the soil report will help create an A horizon soil. Imported or reused Topsoil can be added on top of the fractured soil as needed for grading. The Landscape Architect shall be present during this process and provide certification of the soil fracturing. For additional reference see Urban Tree Foundation – Planting Soil Specifications.
30. After a project's entitlement approval, the applicant shall pay all applicable fees for landscape plan check and inspections at a rate established by resolution of the City Council. Typical fees are:

Plan Check—less than 5 acres	\$1,301.00
Inspection—Construction (up to 3 inspections per phase)	\$278.00
Total.....	\$1,579.00

Landscape construction plans with building permit number for plan check may be emailed to: landscapeplancheck@ontarioca.gov

CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: PLANNING DEPARTMENT, Lorena Mejia
FROM: BUILDING DEPARTMENT, Kevin Shear
DATE: January 29, 2018
SUBJECT: PDEV18-004

-
- The plan **does** adequately address the departmental concerns at this time.
- No comments
- Report below.

Conditions of Approval

1. The site address is 1515 N Palmetto Ave
2. Lot line adjustment required to remove property line

KS:lm



CITY OF ONTARIO

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lorena Mejia, Senior Planner
Planning Department

FROM: Paul Ehrman, Deputy Fire Chief/Fire Marshal
Fire Department

DATE: February 1, 2018

SUBJECT: PDEV18-004 - A Development Plan to construct 10,858 SF commercial building on 0.71-acres, located on the northwest corner of Sixth Street and Palmetto Avenue, within the Sixth Street District land use designation of the Mountain Village Specific Plan (APNs: 1008-261-47 & 1008-261-48)

-
- The plan **does** adequately address Fire Department requirements at this time.
- Standard Conditions of Approval apply, as stated below.
-

SITE AND BUILDING FEATURES:

- A. 2016 CBC Type of Construction: Not Listed (Type V)
- B. Type of Roof Materials: Ordinary
- C. Ground Floor Area(s): 7,015 Sq. Ft.
- D. Number of Stories: Two
- E. Total Square Footage: 10,858 Sq. Ft.
- F. 2016 CBC Occupancy Classification(s): B

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

1.0 GENERAL

- ☒ 1.1 The following are the Ontario Fire Department (“Fire Department”) requirements for this development project, based on the current edition of the California Fire Code (CFC), and the current versions of the Fire Prevention Standards (“Standards.”) It is recommended that the applicant or developer transmit a copy of these requirements to the on-site contractor(s) and that all questions or concerns be directed to the Bureau of Fire Prevention, at (909) 395-2029. For copies of Ontario Fire Department Standards please access the City of Ontario web site at www.ontarioca.gov, click on “Fire Department” and then on “Standards and Forms.”
- ☒ 1.2 These Fire Department conditions of approval are to be included on any and all construction drawings.

2.0 FIRE DEPARTMENT ACCESS

- ☒ 2.1 Fire Department vehicle access roadways shall be provided to within 150 ft. of all portions of the exterior walls of the first story of any building, unless specifically approved. Roadways shall be paved with an all-weather surface and shall be a minimum of twenty-four (24) ft. wide. See Standard #B-004.
- ☒ 2.2 In order to allow for adequate turning radius for emergency fire apparatus, all turns shall be designed to meet the minimum twenty five feet (25’) inside and forty-five feet (45’) outside turning radius per Standard #B-005.
- ☒ 2.3 Fire Department access roadways that exceed one hundred and fifty feet (150’) in length shall have an approved turn-around per Standard #B-002.
- ☒ 2.4 Access drive aisles which cross property lines shall be provided with CC&Rs, access easements, or reciprocating agreements, and shall be recorded on the titles of affected properties, and copies of same shall be provided at the time of building plan check.
- ☒ 2.5 "No Parking-Fire Lane" signs and /or red painted curbs with lettering are required to be installed in interior access roadways, in locations where vehicle parking would obstruct the minimum clear width requirement. Installation shall be per Standard #B-001.
- ☒ 2.6 Security gates or other barriers on fire access roadways shall be provided with a Knox brand key switch or padlock to allow Fire Department access. See Standards #B-003, B-004 and H-001.
- ☒ 2.7 Any time PRIOR to on-site combustible construction and/or storage, a minimum twenty-six (26) ft. wide circulating all weather access roads shall be provided to within 150 ft. of all portions of the exterior walls of the first story of any building, unless specifically approved by fire department and other emergency services..

3.0 WATER SUPPLY

- ☒ 3.1 The required fire flow per Fire Department standards, based on the 2016 California Fire Code, Appendix B, is 2750 gallons per minute (g.p.m.) for 2 hours at a minimum of 20 pounds per square inch (p.s.i.) residual operating pressure.
- ☒ 3.2 Off-site (public) fire hydrants are required to be installed on all frontage streets, at a minimum spacing of three hundred foot (300') apart, per Engineering Department specifications.
- ☒ 3.4 The water supply, including water mains and fire hydrants, shall be tested and approved by the Engineering Department and Fire Department prior to combustible construction to assure availability and reliability for firefighting purposes.

4.0 FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS

- ☒ 4.2 Underground fire mains which cross property lines shall be provided with CC & R, easements, or reciprocating agreements, and shall be recorded on the titles of affected properties, and copies of same shall be provided at the time of fire department plan check. The shared use of private fire mains or fire pumps is allowable only between immediately adjacent properties and shall not cross any public street.
- ☒ 4.3 An automatic fire sprinkler system is required. The system design shall be in accordance with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard . All new fire sprinkler systems, except those in single family dwellings, which contain twenty (20) sprinkler heads or more shall be monitored by an approved listed supervising station. An application along with detailed plans shall be submitted, and a construction permit shall be issued by the Fire Department, prior to any work being done.
- ☒ 4.4 Wood frame buildings that are to be sprinkled shall have these systems in service (but not necessarily finalized) before the building is enclosed.
- ☒ 4.5 Fire Department Connections (FDC) shall be located on the address side of the building within one hundred fifty feet (150') of a public fire hydrant on the same side of the street. Provide identification for all fire sprinkler control valves and fire department connections per Standard #D-007. Raised curbs adjacent to Fire Department connection(s) shall be painted red, five feet either side, per City standards.
- ☒ 4.6 A fire alarm system is required. The system design shall be in accordance with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 72. An application along with detailed plans shall be submitted, and a construction permit shall be issued by the Fire Department, prior to any work being done.
- ☒ 4.7 Portable fire extinguishers are required to be installed prior to occupancy per Standard #C-001. Please contact the Fire Prevention Bureau to determine the exact number, type and placement required.

5.0 BUILDING CONSTRUCTION FEATURES

- ☒ 5.1 The developer/general contractor is to be responsible for reasonable periodic cleanup of the development during construction to avoid hazardous accumulations of combustible trash and debris both on and off the site.
- ☒ 5.2 Approved numbers or addresses shall be placed on all new and existing buildings in such a position as to be plainly visible and legible from the street or road fronting the property. Multi-tenant or building projects shall have addresses and/or suite numbers provided on the rear of the building. Address numbers shall contrast with their background. See Section 9-1 6.06 of the Ontario Municipal Code and Standards #H-003 and #H-002.
- ☒ 5.3 Single station smoke alarms and carbon monoxide alarms are required to be installed per the California Building Code and the California Fire Code.
- ☒ 5.4 Multiple unit building complexes shall have building directories provided at the main entrances. The directories shall be designed to the requirements of the Fire Department, see Section 9-1 6.06 of the Ontario Municipal Code and Standard #H-003.
- ☒ 5.6 Knox ® brand key-box(es) shall be installed in location(s) acceptable to the Fire Department. All Knox boxes shall be monitored for tamper by the building fire alarm system. See Standard #H-001 for specific requirements.

6.0 OTHER SPECIAL USES

- ☒ 6.1 The storage, use, dispensing, or handling of any hazardous materials shall be approved by the Fire Department, and adequate fire protection features shall be required. If hazardous materials are proposed, a Fire Department Hazardous Materials Information Packet, including Disclosure Form and Information Worksheet, shall be completed and submitted with Material Safety Data Sheets to the Fire Department along with building construction plans.
- ☒ 6.2 Any High Piled Storage, or storage of combustible materials greater than twelve (12') feet in height for ordinary (Class I-IV) commodities or storage greater than six feet (6') in height of high hazard (Group A plastics, rubber tires, flammable liquids, etc.) shall be approved by the Fire Department, and adequate fire protection features shall be required. If High Piled Storage is proposed, a Fire Department High Piled Storage Worksheet shall be completed and detailed racking plans or floor plans submitted prior to occupancy of the building.
- ☒ 6.3 Underground fuel tanks, their associated piping and dispensers shall be reviewed, approved, and permitted by Ontario Building Department, Ontario Fire Department, and San Bernardino County Fire Department Hazardous Materials Division. In fueling facilities, an exterior emergency pump shut-off switch shall be provided.



Development Advisory Board Decision

July 2, 2018

DECISION NO.: [insert #]

FILE NO.: PADV18-004

DESCRIPTION: Construction of 5 miles of one-way buffered bike lanes (Class IV) and 3 miles of sidewalks on Mission Blvd., from Benson to Bon View Avenues, including curb and gutter, parkway, street lights and bike detection of signalized intersections; **submitted by:** City of Ontario.

Part I—BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS

CITY OF ONTARIO, (herein after referred to as “Applicant”) has received funding to construct improvements on Mission Blvd. as part of a Transformative Climate Communities (TCC) Grant as described in the Description of this Decision (herein after referred to as "Application" or "Project").

(1) **Project Setting:** The Project site is comprised of 2.5 miles of right of way located on Mission Blvd. between Benson and Bon View Avenues. Existing land uses, General Plan and zoning designations, and specific plan land uses on and surrounding the Project site are as follows:

	<i>Existing Land Use</i>	<i>General Plan Designation</i>	<i>Zoning Designation</i>	<i>Specific Plan Land Use</i>
<i>Site:</i>	Right of Way	Right of Way	Right of Way	Not Applicable
<i>North:</i>	Industrial Commercial Single Family Residential	Neighborhood Commercial Low Density Residential Low-Medium Density Residential Business Park	IL, Light Industrial CN, Neighborhood Commercial MBH, Mobile Home Park BP, Business Park	Not Applicable
<i>South:</i>	Commercial Single Family Residential Multi-Family Residential	Low Density Residential Low-Medium Density Residential Medium Density Residential High Density Residential Neighborhood Commercial Industrial	LDR-5, Low Density Residential MDR-11, Low-Medium Density Residential MDR-18, Medium Density Residential HDR-45, High Density Residential CN, Neighborhood Commercial IL, Light Industrial	Not Applicable
<i>East:</i>	Single Family Residential Industrial Right of Way	Single Family Residential Industrial Right of Way	LDR-5, Low Density Residential IL, Light Industrial	Not Applicable

	<i>Existing Land Use</i>	<i>General Plan Designation</i>	<i>Zoning Designation</i>	<i>Specific Plan Land Use</i>
West:	Industrial and Right of Way in City of Montclair	City of Montclair	City of Montclair	Not Applicable

(2) **Project Description:** The Project analyzed under the Addendum to Mission Blvd. Bike and Pedestrian Improvements (included as Attachment 1: Initial Study/Addendum, attached) consists of a City initiated proposal to construct bike and pedestrian improvements on Mission Blvd. between Benson and Bon View Avenues to facilitate non-motorists. Mission Blvd. is currently a four lane divided arterial which carries 10,500 vehicles per day with posted speeds of 45 – 50 MPH. Mission Blvd. is a truck route. Five miles of one-way Class IV Bike Lane is proposed on both sides of the street which will allow a 3’ – 4’ wide striped buffer between the drive lane and the curb adjacent bike lane. In order to create a seamless bike facility, curb and gutter will be installed in the segments where none exists so bicycles are not required to travel in the existing gravel edge. Bike detection will be provided at signalized intersections. Three miles of sidewalks, handicap ramps, street lights and landscaped parkways are proposed in the segments where they are missing to accommodate active transportation.

Part II—RECITALS

WHEREAS, the environmental impacts associated with this Project were previously reviewed in conjunction with an Environmental Impact Report (SCH# 2008101140) adopted by City Council on January 27, 2010 in conjunction with The Ontario Plan (herein after referred to as “TOP EIR”); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Director of the City of Ontario has prepared an Initial Study, and approved for circulation, an Addendum to the aforementioned previous Certified Environmental Impact Report (Certified EIR) prepared for Mission Blvd. Bike and Pedestrian Improvements (hereinafter referred to as “Initial Study/Addendum”), all in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, together with state and local guidelines implementing said Act, all as amended to date (collectively referred to as “CEQA”); and

WHEREAS, the Initial Study/Addendum concluded that implementation of the Project would not result in significant effects on the environment beyond what was identified in “TOP EIR”; and

WHEREAS, in connection with the approval of a project involving the preparation of an initial study/mitigated negative declaration that identifies one or more significant environmental effects, CEQA requires the approving authority of the lead agency to incorporate feasible mitigation measures that would reduce those significant environmental effects to a less-than-significant level; however no new significant environmental effects have been identified and no mitigation measures will be made a condition of project approval, and

WHEREAS, the City of Ontario is the lead agency on the Project, and the Development Advisory Board is the approving authority for the proposed approval to construct and otherwise undertake the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Development Advisory Board has reviewed and considered the Initial Study/Addendum and related documents for the Project, and intends to take actions on the Project in compliance with CEQA and state and local guidelines implementing CEQA; and

WHEREAS, the Initial Study/Addendum and related documents are on file in the City of Ontario Planning Department, located at 303 East B Street, Ontario, CA 91764, and are available for inspection by any interested person at that location and are, by this reference, incorporated into this Resolution as if fully set forth herein.

WHEREAS, City of Ontario Development Code Table 2.02-1 (Review Matrix) grants the Development Advisory Board (“DAB”) the responsibility and authority to review and act, or make recommendation to the Planning Commission, on the subject Application; and

WHEREAS, City of Ontario Development Code Division 2.03 (Public Hearings) prescribes the manner in which the public notification of environmental actions shall be provided and hearing procedures to be followed, and all such notifications and procedures have been accomplished pursuant to Development Code requirements;

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2018, the DAB of the City of Ontario conducted a hearing on the Project, and concluded said hearing on that date; and

WHEREAS, all legal prerequisites to the hearing and adoption of this Decision have occurred.

Part III—THE DECISION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED by the Development Advisory Board of the City of Ontario, as follows:

SECTION 1: *Environmental Determination and Findings.* As the decision-making body for the Project, the DAB has reviewed and considered the information contained in the Addendum, the initial study, and the administrative record for the Project, including all written and oral evidence provided during the comment period. Based upon the facts and information contained in the Addendum, the initial study, and the administrative record, including all written and oral evidence presented to the DAB, the DAB finds as follows:

(1) The Initial Study/Addendum and administrative record have been completed in compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines, and the City of Ontario Local CEQA Guidelines; and

(2) The DAB has independently reviewed and analyzed the Initial Study/Addendum and other information in the record, and has considered the information contained therein, prior to acting on the Project; and

(3) The Initial Study/Addendum contains a complete and accurate reporting of the environmental impacts associated with the Project, and reflects the independent judgment of the DAB; and

(4) There is no substantial evidence in the administrative record supporting a fair argument that the Project may result in significant environmental impacts; and

(5) The Project will introduce no new significant environmental impacts beyond those previously analyzed in the Environmental Impact Report, and all mitigation measures previously adopted by the Environmental Impact Report, are incorporated herein by this reference.

(6) The Initial Study/Addendum represents the independent judgment and analysis of the City of Ontario, as lead agency for the Project.

SECTION 2: *Subsequent or Supplemental Environmental Review Not Required.* Based on the Initial Study/Addendum, all related information presented to the DAB, and the specific findings set forth in Section 1, above, the DAB finds that the preparation of a subsequent or supplemental Certified EIR is not required for the Project, as the Project:

(1) Does not constitute substantial changes to TOP EIR and will require no major revisions to the Certified EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; and

(2) Does not constitute substantial changes with respect to the circumstances under which the Certified EIR was prepared, that will require major revisions to the Certified EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of the previously identified significant effects; and

(3) Does not contain new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the Certified EIR was certified/adopted, that shows any of the following:

(a) The Project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the Certified EIR; or

(b) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the Certified EIR; or

(c) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the Project, but the City declined to adopt such measures; or

(d) Mitigation measures or alternatives considerably different from those analyzed in the Certified EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but which the City declined to adopt.

SECTION 3: *Development Advisory Board Action.* The DAB does hereby find that based upon the entire record of proceedings before it, and all information received, that there is no substantial evidence that the Project will constitute substantial changes to the Certified EIR, and does hereby APPROVE the adoption of the Initial Study/Addendum to the Certified EIR, included as Attachment 1 of this Decision.

SECTION 4: *Indemnification.* The Applicant shall agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City of Ontario or its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the City of Ontario or its agents, officers or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul this approval. The City of Ontario shall promptly notify the applicant of any such claim, action or proceeding, and the City of Ontario shall cooperate fully in the defense.

SECTION 5: *Custodian of Records.* The Initial Study/Addendum and all other documents and materials that constitute the record of proceedings on which these findings have been based, are located at the City of Ontario City Hall, 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, California 91764. The custodian for these records is the City Clerk of the City of Ontario. The records are available for inspection by any interested person, upon request.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 2nd day of July, 2018.

Development Advisory Board Chairman

Attachment 1—Initial Study/Addendum

(Initial Study/Addendum follows this page)

CITY OF ONTARIO

ADDENDUM TO THE CERTIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE ONTARIO PLAN TO CONSTRUCT BICYCLE (CLASS IV BUFFERED BIKE LANES) AND SIDEWALKS ON MISSION BOULEVARD FROM BENSON TO BON VIEW AVENUES (2.5 MILES) WHICH WILL INCLUDE WIDENING SEGMENTS TO ACCOMMODATE THESE FACILITIES, BIKE DETECTION AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS, MISSING STREET LIGHTS, PARKWAY LANDSCAPING, HANDICAP RAMPS AND A BIKE REPAIR STATION.

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1. Project Title:** PADV18-004 Mission Blvd. Bike and Pedestrian Improvements which include:
 - 1) Construction of curb and gutter in segments with a gravel edge, mostly between Cypress and Bon View Avenues;
 - 2) Restriping the existing pavement for a Class IV Buffered Bike Lane;
 - 3) Installation of bicycle detection at signalized intersections;
 - 4) Installation of parkway landscaping (including trees);
 - 5) Construction of missing sidewalks; and
 - 6) Installation of missing street lights.
- 2. Lead Agency Name and Address:** City of Ontario
303 East "B" Street
Ontario, CA 91764
- 3. Contact Person(s) and Phone** Melanie Mullis, Principal Planner – Mobility (909) 395-2430
- 4. Project Location:** Mission Boulevard between Benson and Bon View Avenues

BACKGROUND:

On January 27, 2010, the Ontario City Council adopted The Ontario Plan (TOP). TOP serves as the framework for the City's business plan and provides a foundation for the City to operate as a municipal corporation that consists of six (6) distinct components: 1) Vision; 2) Governance Manual; 3) Policy Plan; 4) Council Priorities; 5) Implementation; and 6) Tracking and Feedback. The Policy Plan component of TOP meets the functional and legal mandate of a General Plan and contains nine elements; Land Use, Housing, Parks and Recreation, Environmental Resources, Community Economics, Safety, Mobility, Community Design and Social Resources.

An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was prepared for TOP (SCH # 2008101140) and certified by the City Council on January 27, 2010 that included Mitigation Findings and a Statement of Overriding Considerations pursuant to CEQA. TOP EIR analyzed the direct and physical changes in the environment that would be caused by TOP. The plan identifies backbone bike facilities which will be needed for the City achieve its vision. Mission Blvd. is one of the bike facilities identified. In addition, TOP has a Complete Policy which necessitates the need to include sidewalks for pedestrians within the scope of the project. The significant unavoidable adverse impacts that were identified in the EIR included; agriculture resources, air quality, cultural resources, greenhouse gas emissions, noise and transportation/traffic.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The City is proposing to construct bike and pedestrian improvements on Mission Blvd. to facilitate non-motorists. Mission Blvd. is currently a four lane divided arterial which carries 10,500 vehicles per day with posted speeds of 45 – 50 MPH. Mission Blvd. is a truck route and is part of the State of California DOT Extralegal Load Network. In order to protect the bicyclists from automobile and truck traffic, a one-way Class IV Bike Lane is proposed on both sides of the street which will allow a 3’ – 4’ wide striped buffer between the drive lane and the curb adjacent 5’ – 6’ wide bike lane. In order to create a seamless bike facility, curb and gutter will be installed in the segments where none exists so bicycles are not required to travel in the existing gravel edge along with bike detection at signalized intersections. Sidewalks and handicap ramps are proposed in the segments where they are missing to accommodate walking. In addition, street lights will be installed where they are missing to increase the safety of both bicyclists and pedestrians. A Bike Repair Station will be installed on the route. The project concept is shown in Exhibit A.

ANALYSIS:

According to the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Section 15164, an Addendum to a previously certified EIR may be used if some changes or additions are necessary, but none of the conditions described in Section 15162 requiring the preparation of a subsequent Negative Declaration or EIR have occurred. The CEQA Guidelines require that a brief explanation be provided to support the findings that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration are needed for further discretionary approval. These findings are described below:

1. *Required Finding: Substantial changes are not proposed for the project that will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new, significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified effects.*

TOP calls for Mission Blvd. to be a Bicycle Corridor and specifies that the street network needs to accommodate all users. With the construction of the proposed project, the segment of Mission Blvd. between Benson and Bon View Avenues will be able to fully accommodate automobiles, trucks, transit, bicycles, and pedestrians. Since any road widening will be done to only accommodate bicycle and pedestrian facilities, no new significant environmental effects or the severity of previously identified effects is anticipated beyond what was originally analyzed in TOP EIR, no revisions to the EIR are required. In addition, all previously adopted mitigation measures are a condition of project approval and are incorporated herein by reference. The attached Initial Study provides an analysis of the Project and verification that the Project will not cause environmental impacts such that any of the circumstances identified in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15162 are present.

2. *Required Finding: Substantial changes have not occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, that would require major revisions of the previous Environmental Impact Report due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.*

Substantial changes have not occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project was undertaken, that would require major revisions to TOP EIR in that the proximity of drive lanes on Mission Blvd will not be closer to adjacent sensitive land uses along Mission Blvd. than they are currently. In addition, the proposed project will help to mitigate traffic hazards by providing a protected bike lane and designated pedestrian facilities. These improvements will improve the safety of both bicyclists and pedestrians. Therefore, no proposed changes or revisions to the EIR are required. In addition, all previously adopted mitigation measures are a condition of project approval and are incorporated herein by reference. The attached Initial Study provides an analysis of the Project and verification that the Project will not cause environmental impacts such that any of the circumstances identified in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15162 are present.

3. *Required Finding. No new information has been provided that would indicate that the proposed project would result in one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR.*

No new information has been provided that would indicate the proposed project would result in any new significant effects not previously discussed in TOP EIR. Therefore, no proposed changes or revisions to the EIR are required. In addition, all previously adopted mitigation measures are a condition of project approval and are incorporated herein by reference. The attached Initial Study provides an analysis of the Project and verification that the Project will not cause environmental impacts such that any of the circumstances identified in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15162 are present.

CEQA REQUIREMENTS FOR AN ADDENDUM:

If changes to a project or its circumstances occur or new information becomes available after adoption of an EIR or negative declaration, the lead agency may: (1) prepare a subsequent EIR if the criteria of State CEQA Guidelines § 15162(a) are met, (2) prepare a subsequent negative declaration, (3) prepare an addendum, or (4) prepare no further documentation. (State CEQA Guidelines § 15162(b).) When only minor technical changes or additions to the EIR or negative declaration are necessary and none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for the preparation of a subsequent EIR or negative declaration have occurred, CEQA allows the lead agency to prepare and adopt an addendum. (State CEQA Guidelines, § 15164(b).)

Under Section 15162, a subsequent EIR or negative declaration is required only when:

- (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;
- (2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the negative declaration due to the involvement of any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or
- (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the negative declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:
 - (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous negative declaration;
 - (B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;
 - (C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
 - (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

Thus, if the Project does not result in any of the circumstances listed in Section 15162 (i.e., no new or substantially greater significant impacts), the City may properly adopt an Addendum to TOP EIR.

CONCLUSION:

The Ontario Plan Environmental Impact Report (TOP EIR), certified by City Council on January 27, 2010, was prepared as a Program EIR in accordance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines, and the City's Rules for the Implementation of CEQA. In accordance with Section 15121(a) of the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3). The EIR considered the direct physical changes and reasonably foreseeable indirect physical changes in the environment that would be caused by The Ontario Plan..

Accordingly, and based on the findings and information contained in the previously certified TOP EIR, the analysis above, the attached Initial Study, and the CEQA statute and State CEQA Guidelines, including Sections 15164 and 15162, the Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in TOP EIR. No changes or additions to TOP EIR analyses are necessary, nor is there a need for any additional mitigation measures. Therefore, pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15164, the Council hereby adopts this Addendum to TOP EIR.

California Environmental Quality Act Environmental Checklist Form

City of Ontario
Planning Department
303 East "B" Street
Ontario, California
Phone: (909) 395-2036
Fax: (909) 395-2420



Project Title/File No.: PADA18-004 Mission Blvd. Bike and Pedestrian Improvements

Lead Agency: City of Ontario, 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, California 91764, (909) 395-2036

Contact Person: Melanie Mullis, Principal Planner - Mobility (909)395-2430

Project Sponsor: City of Ontario, 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, California 91764

Project Location: The project site is located in southwestern San Bernardino County, within the City of Ontario. The City of Ontario is located approximately 40 miles from downtown Los Angeles, 20 miles from downtown San Bernardino, and 30 miles from Orange County. As illustrated on Figures 1 through 4, below, the project site consists of various parcels located throughout the City as shown in Exhibit A.

Figure 1: Regional Location Map





Figure 2—Vicinity Maps

Mission Blvd. Bike and Pedestrian Improvements



Description of Project: A City initiated request to:

- 1) Construct roadway improvements to accommodate a Class IV Buffered Bike lane, sidewalk and parkway on Mission Blvd. from Benson Avenue to Bon View Avenue.

Project Setting: The western portion of the project (Benson to Cypress Avenues) for the most part has existing curb and gutter, sidewalk, street lights, handicap ramps and parkway. The improvements proposed in the western portion of the project will include construction of missing sidewalk, handicap ramps, curb and gutter and street lights. The eastern portion has a mostly unimproved roadway edge and the proposed project will include construction of curb and gutter, sidewalk, parkway, sidewalks, handicap ramps, and street lights to accommodate the existing two lanes of traffic each way and sidewalks. Both the western and eastern segments of the project will include restriping to accommodate a Class IV Buffered Bike Lane.

Surrounding Land Uses: The uses along Mission Blvd. include various commercial and industrial uses and single family and multi-family residences.

Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval or participation agreement): None

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Resources |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology / Soils |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation / Traffic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |

DETERMINATION (To be completed by the Lead Agency):

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier Certified The Ontario Plan (TOP) Environmental Impact Report (EIR) pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Certified EIR, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, the analysis from the Certified TOP EIR was used as a basis for this Addendum, nothing further is required.

Signature

May 30, 2018
Date

Melanie Mullis
Printed Name

Ontario Engineering Department
For

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside

a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect is significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from the "Earlier Analyses" Section may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analyses Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources. A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

<i>Issues</i>	<i>Potentially Significant Impact</i>	<i>Less Than Significant With Mitigation</i>	<i>Less Than Significant Impact</i>	<i>No Impact</i>
1) AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2) AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3) AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<i>Issues</i>	<i>Potentially Significant Impact</i>	<i>Less Than Significant With Mitigation</i>	<i>Less Than Significant Impact</i>	<i>No Impact</i>
4) BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5) CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6) GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18 1 B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<i>Issues</i>	<i>Potentially Significant Impact</i>	<i>Less Than Significant With Mitigation</i>	<i>Less Than Significant Impact</i>	<i>No Impact</i>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7) GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emission of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8) HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within the safety zone of the airport land use compatibility plan for ONT or Chino Airports, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9) HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:				
a) Violate any other water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or potential for discharge of storm water pollutants from areas of material storage, vehicle or equipment fueling, vehicle or equipment maintenance (including washing), waste handling, hazardous materials handling or storage, delivery areas or loading docks, or other outdoor work areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site or volume of storm water runoff to cause environmental harm or potential for significant increase in erosion of the project site or surrounding areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<i>Issues</i>	<i>Potentially Significant Impact</i>	<i>Less Than Significant With Mitigation</i>	<i>Less Than Significant Impact</i>	<i>No Impact</i>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site or potential for significant changes in the flow velocity or volume of storm water runoff to cause environmental harm?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff during construction and/or post-construction activity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality or potential for discharge of storm water to affect the beneficial uses of receiving water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
j) Expose people or structures to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10) LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, airport land use compatibility plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11) MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12) NOISE. Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within the noise impact zones of the airport land use compatibility plan for ONT and Chino Airports, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<i>Issues</i>	<i>Potentially Significant Impact</i>	<i>Less Than Significant With Mitigation</i>	<i>Less Than Significant Impact</i>	<i>No Impact</i>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13) POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of road or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
14) PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project:				
a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
i) Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
v) Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
15) RECREATION. Would the project:				
a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
16) TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to, level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<i>Issues</i>	<i>Potentially Significant Impact</i>	<i>Less Than Significant With Mitigation</i>	<i>Less Than Significant Impact</i>	<i>No Impact</i>
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
17) UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? In making this determination, the City shall consider whether the project is subject to the water supply assessment requirements of Water Code Section 10910, et seq. (SB 610), and the requirements of Government Code Section 664737 (SB 221).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
18) MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current project, and the effects of probable future projects.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; *Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka* (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; *Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; *San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

EXPLANATION OF ISSUES

1) **AESTHETICS.** Would the project:

a) **Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed Project will not have a significant adverse effect aesthetically. As provided in TOP EIR, the City of Ontario's physical setting lends opportunities for many views of the community and surrounding natural features, including panoramic views of the San Bernardino and San Gabriel Mountains and stretches of open space and undeveloped land south of Riverside Drive. TOP EIR provides that compliance with TOP Policy CD1-5 in the Community Design Element will avoid significant impacts to scenic vista by making it the policy of the City to protect public views of the San Gabriel Mountains. The project under consideration only proposes the construction of bike and pedestrian improvements along Mission Blvd. that will not impede views of the San Bernardino and San Gabriel Mountains. It will not conflict with Policy CD1-5 as it will not alter existing public views of the San Gabriel Mountains. Since no adverse aesthetic impacts are expected, no mitigation is necessary.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) **Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, tress, rock outcroppings and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?**

Discussion of Effects: Mission Blvd. is not a designated scenic highway. The proposed project may result in the removal of approximately 200 mature trees in order to accommodate the roadway widening and construction of curb, gutter and sidewalk. There are some historic resources along the project, however, no right of way will be required for the project. This will result in no impacts to any historic resources. The project will not impact the scenic or historic character of SR-83 or any of the various properties listed on the Ontario Register (List of Historic Resources). Therefore, it will not result in significant adverse environmental impacts.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) **Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?**

Discussion of Effects: The project will not degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site or its surroundings. The project site is located in an area that is characterized by development and is surrounded by urban land uses. The proposed project will improve the existing roadway edge which will improve both the aesthetic character and functionality of the roadway. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) **Create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?**

Discussion of Effects: Mission Blvd. is in the urbanized portion of Ontario. While the introduction of street lighting in segments of the project will increase lighting, it is designed to be directed downward to the street and sidewalk to make traveling on the roadway safer for all users. The project will not introduce new lighting to the surrounding area beyond what was anticipated in the Certified TOP FEIR. Therefore, no new adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

2) **AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES.** In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range

Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

a) **Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?**

Discussion of Effects: The project is within the urbanized portion of Ontario. It will not create any new impacts to agricultural uses in the vicinity which were not identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. As a result, no new adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) **Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?**

Discussion of Effects: There are no properties zoned for agricultural use or have a Williamson Act along the proposed project. Therefore, no new adverse environmental impacts to agricultural uses are anticipated, nor will there be any conflict with any Williamson Act contracts.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) **Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?**

Discussion of Effects: The project proposes to construct bike and pedestrian improvements and will not result in the rezoning of forest land, timberland, or timberland zoned Timberland Production because such land use designations do not exist within the City of Ontario. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) **Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?**

Discussion of Effects: There is currently no land in the City of Ontario that qualifies as forest land as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g). Neither The Ontario Plan nor the City's Zoning Code provide designations for forest land. Consequently, the proposed project would not result in the loss or conversion of forest land.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

e) **Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could individually or cumulatively result in loss of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?**

Discussion of Effects: Implementation of the Project would not result in changes to the existing environment other than those previously addressed in TOP FEIR. While conversion of farmland increases the potential for adjacent areas to also be converted from farmland to urban uses, the Project does not directly result in conversion of farmland. No new cumulative impacts beyond those identified in TOP FEIR would result from Project implementation. The potential for growth inducement due to extension of utility systems into the City is addressed in TOP FEIR. The project will not result in new adverse environmental impacts in regards to loss of Farmland to non-agricultural use.

Additionally, there is currently no land in the City of Ontario that qualifies as forest land as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g). Neither The Ontario Plan nor the City's Zoning Code provide designations for forest land. Consequently, to

the extent that the proposed project would result in changes to the existing environment, those changes would not impact forest land.

Mitigation Required: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

3) **AIR QUALITY.** Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

a) **Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?**

Discussion of Effects: The City is located in a non-attainment region of South Coast Air Basin (SCAB). However, this impact has already been evaluated and mitigated to the extent feasible in TOP FEIR. TOP FEIR has addressed short-term construction impacts, however, and adequate mitigation (Mitigation Measure 3-1) has been adopted by the City that would help reduce emissions and air quality impacts. No new impacts beyond those identified in TOP FEIR would result from Project implementation. Construction of a Class IV Buffered Bike Lane and sidewalks should result in a mode shift by some users and result in fewer vehicles on Mission Blvd. if the project were not constructed.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) **Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?**

Discussion of Effects: Constructing bike and pedestrian facilities will not generate significant new or greater air quality impacts than identified in TOP FEIR. Adequate mitigation (Mitigation Measure 3-1) has already been adopted by the City that would reduce emissions and air quality impacts to a less-than-significant level. No new impacts beyond those identified in TOP FEIR would result from Project implementation.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) **Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?**

Discussion of Effects: Constructing bike and pedestrian facilities will not generate significant new or greater air quality impacts than identified in TOP FEIR. Adequate mitigation (Mitigation Measure 3-1) has already been adopted by the City that would reduce emissions and air quality impacts to a less-than-significant level. No new impacts beyond those identified in TOP FEIR would result from Project implementation.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) **Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?**

Discussion of Effects: As discussed in Section 5.3 of TOP FEIR, the proposed Project is within a non-attainment region of the SCAB. Essentially this means that any new contribution of emissions into the SCAB would be considered significant and adverse. The proposed project will not generate significant new or greater air quality impacts than identified in TOP FEIR nor will it bring pollutants closer to the sensitive receptors along the route since the road widening will only accommodate new bike and pedestrian facilities. Adequate mitigation (Mitigation Measure 3-1) has already been adopted by the City that would reduce air pollutants to a less-than-significant level. No new impacts beyond those identified in TOP FEIR would result from Project implementation.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will create an objectionable odors or bring any orders from passing vehicles closer to residential uses along the route. The Project will not create significant objectionable odors. Therefore the Project will not introduce new odors beyond those previously analyzed in TOP EIR

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

4) BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

Discussion of Effects: The project site is not located within an area that has been identified as containing species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies or regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

Discussion of Effects: The site does not contain any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified by the Department of Fish & Game or Fish & Wildlife Service. Therefore, no adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

Discussion of Effects: No wetland habitat is present on site. Therefore, project implementation would have no impact on these resources.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

Discussion of Effects: Mission Blvd. is within the urbanized portion of Ontario. It is not identified as a corridor for native or migratory species. The proposed project will include only minor roadway widening and will not impact the existing landscape median. Therefore, no adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

Discussion of Effects: The City of Ontario does not have any ordinances protecting biological resources. While approximately 200 trees will be removed to accommodate the project, 400 trees will be planted in the parkway. As a result, no adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

f) **Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP), or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?**

Discussion of Effects: The site is not part of an adopted HCP, NCCP or other approved habitat conservation plan. As a result, no adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

5) **CULTURAL RESOURCES.** Would the project:

a) **Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?**

Discussion of Effects: The project contains no buildings constructed more than 50 years ago and cannot be considered for eligibility for listing in the California Register of Historic Resources. In addition, Title 9, Chapter 1, Article 4, Section 9-1.0412 and 9-1.0413, and Article 26 of the City of Ontario Municipal Code protects sensitive historical resources of local interest. No new impacts beyond those identified in TOP FEIR would result from the Project.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) **Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?**

Discussion of Effects: The Ontario Plan FEIR (Section 5.5) indicates no archeological sites or resources have been recorded in the City with the Archeological Information Center at San Bernardino County Museum. However, only about 10 percent of the City of Ontario has been adequately surveyed for prehistoric or historic archaeology. The site was previously rough graded when the property was subdivided and/or graded for the existing development and no archaeological resources were found. While no adverse impacts to archeological resources are anticipated at this site due to its urbanized nature, standard conditions will be imposed on future development that in the event of unanticipated archeological discoveries, construction activities will not continue or will moved to other parts of the project site and a qualified archaeologist shall be contacted to determine significance of these resources. If the find is discovered to be historical or unique archaeological resources, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, avoidance or other appropriate measures shall be implemented.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) **Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?**

Discussion of Effects: The City of Ontario is underlain by deposits of Quaternary and Upper-Pleistocene sediments deposited during the Pliocene and early Pleistocene time, Quaternary Older Alluvial sediments may contain significant, nonrenewable, paleontological resources and are, therefore, considered to have high sensitivity at depths of 10 feet or more below ground surface. In addition, the Ontario Plan FEIR (Section 5.5) indicates that one paleontological resource has been discovered in the City. However, the Project does not directly propose excavation and standard conditions will be imposed on any future development that in the event that unanticipated paleontological resources are identified during excavation, construction activities will not continue or will moved to other parts of the project site and a qualified paleontologist shall be contacted to determine the significance of these resources. If the find is determined to be significant, avoidance or other appropriate measures shall be implemented.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project is not anticipated to impact whether human remains may be discovered during construction since the proposed project is in an area that has been previously disturbed by development. No known religious or sacred sites exist within the project area. Thus, human remains are not expected to be encountered during any construction activities. However, in the unlikely event that human remains are discovered, existing regulations, including the California Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, would afford protection for human remains discovered during development activities. Furthermore, standard conditions will be imposed on future development that in the event that unanticipated discoveries of human remains are identified during excavation, construction activities, the area shall not be disturbed until any required investigation is completed by the County Coroner and/or Native American consultation has been completed, if deemed applicable.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

6) GEOLOGY & SOILS. Would the project:

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:

i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.

Discussion of Effects: There are no active faults known on the site and the project site is located outside the Fault Rupture Hazard Zone (formerly Alquist-Priolo Zone). The Ontario Plan FEIR (Section 5.7/Figure 5.7-2) identifies eight active or potentially active fault zones near the City. Given that the closest fault zone is located more than ten miles from the project site, fault rupture within the project area is not likely. All future development will comply with the Uniform Building Code seismic design standards to reduce geologic hazard susceptibility. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?

Discussion of Effects: There are no active faults known on the site and the project site is located outside the Fault Rupture Hazard Zone (formerly Alquist-Priolo Zone). The Policy Plan (General Plan) FEIR (Section 5.7/Figure 5.7-2) identifies eight active or potentially active fault zones near the City. The proposed change in land use designation will not approve any new construction. All future construction will be in compliance with the California Building Code, the Ontario Municipal Code, The Ontario Plan and all other ordinances adopted by the City related to construction and safety. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

Discussion of Effects: As identified in TOP FEIR (Section 5.7), groundwater saturation of sediments is required for earthquake induced liquefaction. In general, groundwater depths shallower than 10 feet to the surface can cause the highest liquefaction susceptibility. Depth to ground water at the project site during the winter months is estimated to be between 250 to 450 feet below ground surface. Therefore, the liquefaction potential within the project area is minimal. Implementation of The Ontario Plan strategies, Uniform Building Code and Ontario Municipal code would reduce impacts to a less than significant level.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

iv) Landslides?

Discussion of Effects: The project would not expose people or structures to potential adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving landslides because the relatively flat topography of the project site (less than 2 percent slope across the City) makes the chance of landslides remote. Changing the General Plan land use designations will not create greater landslide potential impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. Implementation of The Ontario Plan strategies, Uniform Building Code and Ontario Municipal Code for any future development would reduce impacts to a less than significant level.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

Discussion of Effects: Construction of the Mission Blvd. Bike and Pedestrian Project will not create greater erosion impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

Discussion of Effects: The project is not located in an area prone to landslides. The proposed project will not create greater landslide potential impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?

Discussion of Effects: The majority of Ontario, including the project site, is located on alluvial soil deposits. These types of soils are not considered to be expansive. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated beyond those identified in the Certified TOP FEIR

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

Discussion of Effects: The area is served by the local sewer system and the use of alternative systems is not necessary. There will be no impact to the sewage system.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

7) GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

Discussion of Effects: The impact of buildout of The Ontario Plan on the environment due to the emission of greenhouse gases (“GHGs”) was analyzed in the Environmental Impact Report (“EIR”) for the Policy Plan (General Plan). According to the EIR, this impact would be significant and unavoidable. (Re-circulated Portions of the Ontario Plan Draft Environmental Impact Report, p. 2-118.) This EIR was certified by the City on January 27, 2010, at which time a statement of overriding considerations was also adopted for The Ontario Plan’s significant and unavoidable impacts, including that concerning the emission of greenhouse gases.

The construction of the Mission Blvd. Bike and Pedestrian project will not increase roadway capacity for vehicles but it will add bike and pedestrian improvements and support the recent realignment of bus route 86. These improvements should result

in a potential mode shift and result in a reduction in GHG than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.3, this impact need not be analyzed further, because (1) the proposed project would result in an impact that was previously analyzed in The Ontario Plan EIR, which was certified by the City; (2) the proposed project would not result in any greenhouse gas impacts that were not addressed in The Ontario Plan EIR; (3) the proposed project is consistent with The Ontario Plan.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary. The mitigation measures adopted as part of TOP FEIR adequately addresses any potential significant impacts and there is no need for any additional mitigation measures.

b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

Discussion of Effects: The Ontario Plan designates Mission Blvd. as a Bike Corridor in order facilitate biking along the corridor. The proposed project will not create significantly greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The proposed project is consistent with The Ontario Plan Goal ER 4 of improving air quality by, among other things, implementation of Policy ER4-3, regarding the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in accordance with regional, state and federal regulations. In addition, the proposed project is consistent with the policies outlined in Section 5.6.4 of the Environmental Impact Report for The Ontario Plan, which aims to reduce the City's contribution of greenhouse gas emissions at build-out by fifteen (15%), because the project is upholding the applicable City's adopted mitigation measures as represented in 6-1 through 6-6. Therefore, the proposed project does not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

8) HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not involve the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated. However, in the unlikely event of an accident, implementation of the strategies included in The Ontario Plan will decrease the potential for health and safety risks from hazardous materials to a less than significant impact.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project is not anticipated to involve the use or disposal of hazardous materials during project implementation. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated. However, in the unlikely event of an accident, implementation of the strategies included in The Ontario Plan will decrease the potential for health and safety risks from hazardous materials to a less than significant impact.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project does not include the use, emissions or handling of hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances or waste. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- d) **Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project site is not listed on the hazardous materials site compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. Therefore, the project would not create a hazard to the public or the environment and no impact is anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- e) **For a project located within the safety zone of the airport land use compatibility plan for ONT or Chino Airports, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?**

Discussion of Effects: A portion of Mission Blvd. is located within the Ontario International Airport safety zones 2, 3 and 4 as shown in Exhibit B. Adding a bike lane and sidewalks will result in increasing the safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. Therefore, no significantly different impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- f) **For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?**

Discussion of Effects: The project site is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- g) **Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The City's Safety Element, as contained within The Ontario Plan, includes policies and procedures to be administered in the event of a disaster. The Ontario Plan seeks interdepartmental and inter-jurisdictional coordination and collaboration to be prepared for, respond to and recover from every day and disaster emergencies. In addition, the project will comply with the requirements of the Ontario Fire Department and all City requirements for fire and other emergency access. The proposed project will not change emergency access along the route. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- h) **Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?**

Discussion of Effects: The project site is not located in or near wildlands. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

9) **HYDROLOGY & WATER QUALITY.** Would the project:

- a) **Violate any other water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or potential for discharge of storm water pollutants from areas of material storage, vehicle or equipment fueling, vehicle or equipment maintenance (including washing), waste handling, hazardous materials handling or storage, delivery areas or loading docks, or other outdoor work areas?**

Discussion of Effects: The project site is served by City water and sewer service and will not affect water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. The proposed project does not authorize any new development and therefore no adverse impacts are anticipated. Compliance with established Codes and standards for any future development would reduce any impacts to below a level of significance.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- b) **Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. No increases in the current amount of water flow to the project site are anticipated, and the proposed project will not deplete groundwater supplies, nor will it interfere with recharge. The neighborhood edge will continue to allow storm water percolation. While the parkway landscaping will require some water, low water demand trees will be used. The water use associated with the proposed project will be negligible. The construction of the project will require the grading of the roadway edge and excavation is expected to be less than three feet and would not affect the existing aquifer, estimated to be about 230 to 250 feet below the ground surface. No adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- c) **Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site or volume of storm water runoff to cause environmental harm or potential for significant increases in erosion of the project site or surrounding areas?**

Discussion of Effects: The existing drainage pattern of the project site will not be altered and it will have no significant impact on downstream hydrology. Stormwater generated by the development of the project will be discharged in compliance with the statewide NPDES General Construction Activities Stormwater Permit and San Bernardino County MS4 permit requirements. No streams or streambeds are present in the area of the project site. No changes in erosion off-site are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- d) **Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site or potential for significant changes in the flow velocity or volume of storm water runoff to cause environmental harm?**

Discussion of Effects: The future development of the project site is not anticipated to increase the flow velocity or volume of storm water runoff to cause environmental harm from the site and will not create a burden on existing infrastructure.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff (a & b) during construction and/or post-construction activity?

Discussion of Effects: Construction of the project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will not substantially increase runoff. It is not anticipated that the project would create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or create or contribute stormwater runoff pollutants during construction and/or post-construction activity. Pursuant to the requirements of The Ontario Plan, the City's Development Code, and the San Bernardino County MS4 Permit's "Water Quality Management Plan" (WQMP). Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality or potential for discharge of storm water to affect the beneficial uses of receiving water?

Discussion of Effects: The development of the project will be required to comply with the statewide NPDES General Construction Permit and the City of Ontario's Municipal Code (Title 6, Chapter 6 (Stormwater Drainage System)) to minimize water pollution. Thus it is anticipated that there is no potential for discharges of stormwater during construction that will affect the beneficial uses of the receiving waters. However, with the General Construction Permit requirement and implementation of the policies in The Ontario Plan, any impacts associated with the project would be less than significant.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area and will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area, structures that would impede or redirect flood flows?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. No levees or dams are located near the project site. Therefore, no adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

j) Expose people or structures to inundation by seiche, tsunami or mudflow?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. There are no lakes or substantial reservoirs near the project site; therefore, impacts from seiche are not anticipated. The City of Ontario has relatively flat topography, less than two percent across the City, and the chance of mudflow is remote. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

10) **LAND USE & PLANNING.** Would the project:

a) **Physically divide an established community?**

Discussion of Effects: The project site is located in an area that is currently developed with urban land uses. The proposed project will not result in additional barriers in the community and will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will reduce existing barriers within the community by making the area more walkable and bikeable. No adverse impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) **Conflict with applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of agencies with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to general plan, airport land use compatibility plan, specific plan, or development code) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigation an environmental effect?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project does not interfere with any policies for environmental protection. As such, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) **Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?**

Discussion of Effects: There are no adopted habitat conservation plans in the project area. As such no conflicts or impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

11) **MINERAL RESOURCES.** Would the project:

a) **Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?**

Discussion of Effects: The project site is located within a mostly developed area surrounded by urban land uses. There are no known mineral resources in the area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) **Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?**

Discussion of Effects: There are no known mineral resources in the area of the proposed project. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

12) **NOISE.** Would the project result in:

a) **Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not increase roadway capacity or bring the vehicular lanes closer to any sensitive uses along the route. The project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will not expose people to or generate noise levels in excess of standards as established in The Ontario Plan FEIR (Section 5.12).

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The uses associated with this proposed project are required to comply with the environmental standards contained in the City of Ontario Development Code and as such, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will not increase roadway capacity or bring the vehicular lanes closer to the adjacent uses along the route. As such no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will not increase roadway capacity or bring the vehicular lanes closer to the adjacent uses along the route. As such no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

e) For a project located within the noise impact zones of the airport land use compatibility plan for ONT and Chino Airports, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

Discussion of Effects: As shown in Exhibit B, the western portion of the proposed project is located within 60 – 65 dB CNEL and the eastern portion is within 65 – 70 dB CNEL Noise Impact Zones of Ontario International Airport identified in the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The proposed project will not expose more people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels. Exhibit B depicts the specific location of the proposed project in relation to the Airport Land Use Compatibility Safety Zones and Noise Contours. Noise Impact Zones and is consistent with the ONT ALUCP. Therefore, no significantly different impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

Discussion of Effects: The project site is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

13) **POPULATION & HOUSING.** Would the project:

- a) **Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of road or other infrastructure)?**

Discussion of Effects: The project does not involve the development of new residential or commercial uses. The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- b) **Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?**

Discussion of Effects: The project will not displace any existing housing units. The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will not impact any private property.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- c) **Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not impact any existing housing units and will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

14) **PUBLIC SERVICES.** Would the project:

- a) **Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:**

- i) **Fire protection?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The site is in a developed area currently served by the Ontario Fire Department. The project will not require the construction of any new facilities or alteration of any existing facilities or cause a decline in the levels of service, which could cause the need to construct new facilities. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- ii) **Police protection?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The site is in a developed area, currently served by the Ontario Police Department. The project will not require the construction of any new facilities or alteration of any existing facilities or cause a decline in the levels of service, which could cause the need to construct new facilities. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

- iii) **Schools?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not impact any schools within the project area or generate the need for new or expanded school facilities. The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

iv) Parks?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The proposed project is currently served by the City of Ontario. The project will not require the construction of any new facilities or alteration of any existing facilities or cause a decline in the levels of service, which could cause the need to construct new facilities. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

v) Other public facilities?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The site is in a developed area, currently served by the City of Ontario. The project will not require the construction of any new facilities or alteration of any existing facilities or cause a decline in the levels of service, which could cause the need to construct new facilities. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

15) RECREATION. Would the project:

a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. This project is not proposing any new housing or large employment generator that would cause an increase in the use of neighborhood parks or other recreational facilities. The proposed project will provide 2.5 miles of bike facilities that can be used for recreational purposes. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. This project is not proposing any new housing or large employment generator that would require the construction of neighborhood parks or other recreational facilities. The proposed project will provide 2.5 miles of bike facilities that can be used for recreational purposes. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

16) TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will provide biking and pedestrian facilities that were identified in The Ontario Plan Mobility Element and identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will complete the street improvements along the route which will better serve the existing circulation system. The project will improve non-motorized travel choices. No significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to, level of service standard and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project is in an area that is mostly developed with most street improvements existing. The project will provide multi-modal options for users of the route which should result in fewer impacts than if the project were not constructed. The project will not conflict with an applicable congestion management program or negatively impact the level of service standards on adjacent arterials. Less than significant impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will not create a substantial safety risk or interfere with air traffic patterns at Ontario International Airport as it is outside of areas with FAA-imposed height restrictions. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?

Discussion of Effects: The project is in an area that is mostly developed and most street improvements are complete. The project will not create a substantial increase in hazards due to a design feature. Construction of a Class IV Buffered Bike Lane and separate pedestrian facilities will make use of the route by non-motorists safer. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

e) Result in inadequate emergency access?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not modify emergency access along the route and will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not impact existing on-street parking and will therefore not impact parking capacity along the route. Existing parking along the western portion of the project will be retained. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

Discussion of Effects: The project does not conflict with any transportation policies, plans or programs. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

17) **UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.** Would the project:

a) **Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not significantly alter wastewater treatment needs of Ontario and will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) **Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. The project will not generate any additional demand for water or wastewater facilities. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) **Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will be served by the City of Ontario. The project includes some minor drainage improvements to mitigate existing storm water drainage issues. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

d) **Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? In making this determination, the City shall consider whether the project is subject to the water supply assessment requirements of Water Code Section 10910, et seq. (SB 610), and the requirements of Government Code Section 664737 (SB 221).**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater demand for water beyond what was identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

e) **Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not generate any additional wastewater requiring treatment. It will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

f) **Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR upon landfills. No impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

g) **Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?**

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project will comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations and will not create greater impacts than were identified in the Certified TOP FEIR.

Mitigation: No new mitigation measures required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

18) **MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat or a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Discussion of Effects: The proposed project does not have the potential to reduce wildlife habitat and threaten a wildlife species. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

a) **Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals?**

Discussion of Effects: The project does not have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

b) **Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current project, and the effects of probable future projects.)**

Discussion of Effects: The project will not have negative cumulative impacts beyond what was assumed in the certified TOP EIR, resulting in fewer impacts. The project does not have impacts that are cumulatively considerable.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

c) **Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?**

Discussion of Effects: The project does not have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

Mitigation: None required. The Project will not result in any new, increased or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Certified TOP FEIR. No changes or additions to TOP FEIR analyses are necessary.

EARLIER ANALYZES

(Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, one or more effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D)):

1) Earlier analyzes used. Identify earlier analyzes used and state where they are available for review.

- a) The Ontario Plan Final EIR
- b) The Ontario Plan

All documents listed above are on file with the City of Ontario Planning Department, 303 East "B" Street, Ontario, California 91764, (909) 395-2036.

2) Impacts adequately addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards.

Comments III.A and C were addressed in The Ontario Plan FEIR and considered a significant adverse effect that could not be mitigated. A statement of overriding considerations was adopted for The Ontario Plan FEIR.


MITIGATION MEASURES

The Mitigation Measures contained in the Certified TOP Environmental Impact Report adequately mitigate the impacts of the proposed project. These mitigation measures are contained in the Mitigation Monitoring Program.

Exhibit A Mission Blvd. Bike and Pedestrian Concept Plan




Legend	
ROW	Striped Buffer
Class IV Bike Lane - 6' Wide	New Sidewalk
Landscaped Parkway	Sidewalk by Others
New Curb	Handicap Ramp
Lane Striping	Replace Ramp
Domes	New Street Light
Stormwater Inlet/Outlet	Relocate Fire Hydrant
Driveway Reconstruction	Remove/Replace Landscaping
Pedestrian Refuge	Modify Rail Crossing




Legend	
ROW	Striped Buffer
Class IV Bike Lane - 6' Wide	New Sidewalk
Landscaped Parkway	Sidewalk by Others
New Curb	Handicap Ramp
Lane Striping	Replace Ramp
Domes	New Street Light
Stormwater Inlet/Outlet	Relocate Fire Hydrant
Driveway Reconstruction	Remove/Replace Landscaping
Pedestrian Refuge	Modify Rail Crossing









Segment 3 - Magnolia to Palmetto



Segment 4 - Palmetto to Cypress








Exhibit B Airport Land Use Compatibility Review

